UWS Academic Portal

Reliability and validity of a novel futsal special performance test designed to measure skills and anaerobic performance

Farhani, Farid; Rajabi, Hamid; Negaresh, Raoof ; Ali, Ajmol; Shalamzari, Sadegh Amani; Baker, Julien S.

Published in:
International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance

DOI:
[10.1123/ijsp.2018-0850](https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2018-0850)

E-pub ahead of print: 31/01/2019

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication on the UWS Academic Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Farhani, F., Rajabi, H., Negaresh, R., Ali, A., Shalamzari, S. A., & Baker, J. S. (2019). Reliability and validity of a novel futsal special performance test designed to measure skills and anaerobic performance. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 14(8), 1096-1102. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2018-0850>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the UWS Academic Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact pure@uws.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**Reliability and Validity of a Novel Futsal Special Performance Test: Designed As a Skills
and Anaerobic Performance test**

Submission Type: Original Investigation

Authors: Farid Farhani^{1*}, Hamid Rajabi¹, Raoof Negaresh², Ajmol Ali³, Sadegh Amani
Shalamzari¹, Julien S Baker⁴

Affiliation:

¹ Department of Exercise Physiology, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Sciences,
Kharazmi University, Tehran, Iran

² Department of Physical Education & Sport Sciences, Faculty of Humanities, Tarbiat Modares
University, Tehran, Iran

³ School of Sport, Exercise and Nutrition Massey University Auckland New Zealand

⁴ Applied Physiology Research Laboratory, School of Health and Life Sciences, University of
the West of Scotland, Lanarkshire Campus, Scotland, UK

Corresponding author: Farid Farhani

Department of Exercise Physiology, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Sciences,
Kharazmi University, Tehran, Iran.

Phone: +989359382091

E-mail: F.Farid1993@yahoo.com

Running head: Novel Futsal Special Performance Test

Abstract word count: 216

Text-only word count: 3218

Number of Figure: 3

Number of Table: 3

For Peer Review

Reliability and Validity of a Novel Futsal Special Performance Test: Designed As a

Skills and Anaerobic Performance test

Abstract

Purpose: This study examined the validity and reliability of a novel futsal special performance test (FSPT) as a measure of futsal performance and skills. **Methods:** Thirty six futsal players with different levels of experience were recruited and divided into two groups (elite and non-elite). Players participated in four sessions (at least 7 days apart); a) familiarization session, b) anaerobic power (Wingate test), c) FSPT trial 1, and d) FSPT trial 2. The FSPT was carried out on a futsal court (wooden sprung floor) and examined skills such as dribbling, rotation, long and short passing and shooting. Content validity was assessed using 6 experienced futsal coaches and instructors. **Results:** There was a significant correlation between FSPT and various aspects of anaerobic power ($r=0.5$ to 0.91 , $p\leq0.001$). Moreover, significant large correlations were observed between test and re-test of FSPT ($r= 0.77$; 95% confidence intervals (CI)= 0.56 to 0.98 ; $p\leq0.001$). All instructors and coaches confirmed the content validity. There was high inter-rater reliability of the FSPT ($r=0.89$; 95% CI= 0.85 to 0.93 ; $p<0.001$). FSPT total ($p=0.001$), penalty ($p=0.022$) and performance ($p=0.001$) time was superior in elite relative to non-elite players. Anaerobic power was greater in elite players ($p<0.001$). **Conclusion:** Our results support the use of the FSPT to assess futsal players’ performance in conjunction with skill and anaerobic fitness.

Keywords: FSPT, Futsal skills, Dribbling, Passing, Anaerobic power

Introduction

26

Futsal is the 5-a-side version of soccer, played in a smaller area than a football pitch (40 m length and 20 m wide) and typically played indoors.¹ Futsal consists of intermittent high-intensity exercise activities, that change more often than soccer (every ~ 3.2 s),² resulting in higher agility and sprint running performance, but lower vertical jump and half-squat power performance than soccer.^{3,4} The ratio of activity to rest in futsal is about 1:1, and although there is a high anaerobic demand, more than 75% of all energy is resynthesized by the oxidative phosphorylation pathway during match play.^{5,6} Previous analysis estimated that professional futsal players perform at a high intensity (> 80% $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$) which consists of 46% of total game distance or time.^{7,8} Although there is some research on physiological demands of futsal include agility and high-intensity running,^{5,11,10,11} investigations into futsal skill performance which include shooting and dribbling are rare,¹¹ and thus may hinder coaches' ability to optimize training.

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Furthermore, current futsal tests may have some limitations such as examination of one parameter (i.e., aerobic fitness).¹² There may also be limitations in evaluating the skills associated with the game which include focusing only on one skill (i.e., pass).¹³ Although, tests such as the Futsal Intermittent Endurance Test (FIET),⁵ Yo-Yo¹⁴, Hoff¹⁵ and Massey Futsal Shooting Test (MFST)¹⁰ are designed and used to determine the level of fitness in soccer and futsal, these tests are not specific to futsal,^{5,14} only consider one aspect of futsal (i.e. shooting,¹³), or are more applicable to soccer.¹⁵ Therefore, it seems that previous tests are general and not wholly representative of futsal. The popularity of futsal is rising, there is specificity of motor patterns⁶ and there are different demands to those of soccer performance^{2,5} but there is a lack of studies reporting a special, valid and reliable holistic futsal test. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to design a futsal-specific test, which consists of evaluation of futsal skills (dribbling, dribble, long pass,

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

short pass, ball control, rotation, combined movement, shoot and return from attack to defence), and assess its validity and reliability, in Iranian futsal players. Futsal is one of the most popular sports in Iran ¹⁶ and Iran has been ranked among the top 10 teams in the world.¹⁷ Moreover, most Iranian professional soccer players started with futsal prior to playing soccer.^{18,19} As the assessment of anaerobic power is useful to select players for optimal performance, ⁸ a further objective was to quantify measures of the error rate and establish anerobic power (i.e., peak power) profiles of the players.

Methods

Participants

Thirty-six healthy, male, outfield futsal players, with at least 4 years’ experience, volunteered to participate in the study. Of the participants that were eligible for the study, 18 players were elite futsal players and 18 players were non-elite futsal players (Table 1). Elite players were defined as those playing for the national team or played in the Iranian Golden League. Non-elite players were categorized if they played in the second or third division of the Iranian futsal league, or players who were physical education and sports science students. Following examination by the physician, to establish the health status of the participants, all risks and benefits of the study were explained to players. All participants then signed written informed consent forms. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Physical Education and Sport Sciences of Iran (IR.SSRI.REC.1396.187).

Research design

Participants were invited to a preliminary session within the exercise physiology laboratory of Kharazmi University to explain the objectives and process of the research and to collect demographic and anthropometric data. Players participated in three other sessions which were separated by seven days; in session one, the Wingate anaerobic test was performed; and in both sessions two and three, the FSPT was undertaken. The temperature and humidity of the research site were kept constant between 18-21 °C and 50-65%, respectively. All tests were carried out between 4:00 and 6:00 pm. The study was performed 3 weeks after completing the in-season futsal period. This ensured that the players were fully recovered from the effects of prior matches and tournaments. The last high-load session of exercise training was during in-season period; no match was held after completing the in-season futsal period. During these three post-season weeks, routine exercise sessions mainly consisting of technical and tactical tasks were performed. The last meal was consumed 3 to 4 hours before the test session; the same meal was used. After this meal and during the test sessions participants did not consume any food and only water was allowed..

For the evaluation of anaerobic power, players were required to complete a 30-s anaerobic Wingate test (Monark 894E, Sweden). For FSPT, coloured cones and an official futsal ball (Star No. 4, FB524-05) was used. Players were advised not to take any supplements (including caffeine and creatine) during the study period.

During FSPT, two referees blinded to the group allocation (elite vs. non-elite) separately recorded the errors and the total time of the test. Additionally, two AFC instructors, one international instructor in exercise science and conditioning, two futsal instructors, and two futsal coaches were recruited to comment on the content (or face) validity of the FSPT.

Futsal Special Performance Test	100
Before performing FSPT, all players were involved in 15 min running, sprints,	101
and small-sided games as a warm-up. As shown in Fig. 1, cone location included 14	102
orange cones, 1 purple (start cone) and 1 green (end cone) cone. Four elite futsal players	103
(not from participants) and two referees were also recruited and located as shown in Fig.	104
1.	105
The testing participant takes position behind the start cone (purple cone). After the	106
start whistle, the participant was required to run with the ball 8 m (from A to B cone; step	107
1) and then dribble zigzag with the ball through 7 orange cones (B to H cones; step 2).	108
After turning past cone I, the participant sends a long pass to the first passing player (PP)	109
next cones K, and then proceeds to the location of cone J (step 3). The first PP passes to	110
the participant and participant returns the ball (step 4). Then, the participant moves to	111
location cone L, then, receives and returns the ball to the second PP (step 5). The	112
participant then repeats this step again, and after receiving the ball (for the third time), he	113
rotates and dribbles (step 6), performs a wall pass with the player next to the cone N (step	114
7). After receiving ball in landing location 1, the player shoots the ball with maximum	115
effort (step 8). Finally, the participant proceeds to the ball landing location 2 and receives	116
a long pass and shoots at the goal (step 9). He then moves to the final cone (green cone).	117
The test is completed when the participant crosses the end green cone. The participants are	118
encouraged to perform the test with maximum speed and power. Time was calculated	119
using manual chronometers by two referees and the mean of the two values was	120
recorded. The penalty time of errors when performing the test was also recorded; these	121
included:	122
- ball hitting cone: 2 s	123
- wrong pass: 2 s	124

- no goal and completely missing the goal framework: 2 s	125
- ball hitting goal framework: 1 s	126
Performance time was obtained by adding the time to complete the test (“time only”)	127
with penalty time.	128
	129
Wingate Test	130
To measure anaerobic power, players performed a 30 s Wingate cycling test. At	131
the preliminary session, athletes became familiar with performing the Wingate test. Prior	132
to the test, participants were seated on the cycle that was calibrated (seat height, seat	133
position, handle bar position, and handle bar height) for optimal comfort and pedaling	134
efficiency. In the warm-up protocol, athletes cycled 5 min with light cycling resistance	135
and sprint cycling for 5 s at the end of every consecutive minute. After 2 min of active	136
recovery, athletes performed 15 s of acceleration at 70 rpm with work resistance set at	137
0.025 kg per kg body mass. ²⁰ Afterwards the full load was used with frictional resistance	138
at 0.075 kg per kg body mass for each participant. ²¹ To calculate the relative power	139
(w/kg), absolute power (w) was divided by the player’s weight (kg). All players were	140
familiarized to testing conditions prior to data capture, and all testing was performed at	141
the same time of day.	142
	143
Statistical Analysis	144
To determine construct validity, the difference between elite and non-elite players	145
was measured using independent t-test; and the non-parametric, Mann-Whitney U was	146
used when parametric assumption (i.e., normality) was not fulfilled. Pearson’s correlation	147
test was used to investigate relationships between variables, and for ordinal variables,	148
Spearman’s correlation was used. To determine the reliability, Pearson correlation and	149

intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was performed between test-retest. Also, Pearson correlations were taken between Wingate test parameters and FSPT (time only and time performance) and <0.3, 0.3-0.5, 0.5-0.7 and >0.7 were considered very small, small, moderate and large correlation, respectively.²² All mentioned analyses were performed with SPSS 21; significance was defined as $p < 0.05$. Cohen's d (effect size) values were calculated and <0.20, 0.20-0.50, 0.51-0.80 and >0.80 were considered trivial, small, moderate, and large effects, respectively.²² The r , effect size and ICC were accompanied with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Furthermore, a median-split table was used to assess criterion validity. This method examines the number of players in the "expected" group (elite vs. non-elite group) based on median-split values.

Results

There were no differences in age ($p = 0.481$), height ($p = 0.627$), weight ($p = 0.567$), and BMI ($p = 0.405$) between elite and non-elite groups (Table 1). FSPT results indicated that time only ($p = 0.001$; $d = 3.42$, large effect), penalty time ($p = 0.022$; $d = 0.88$, large effect) and performance time ($p = 0.001$ and $d = 3.19$, large effect) of the elite players was superior compared with non-elite players (Table 2). Elite players also showed higher relative peak power ($p = 0.001$; $d = 0.95$, large effect), relative average power ($p = 0.001$; $d = 1.10$, large effect) and minimum power ($p = 0.001$; $d = 1.38$, large effect) and lower fatigue index ($p = 0.001$ and $d = 1.44$, large effect) in the Wingate test (Table 2).

FSPT time only and performance time showed significant correlations with all of the Wingate test variables ($r = -0.52$ to $r = -0.91$, $p < 0.05$, for measures of power; and $r = 0.50$ to $r = 0.75$, $p < 0.05$, for fatigue index; Fig 2).

The results of the median-split analysis (Table 3) showed that both time only and performance time of FSPT were different between elite and non-elite players; all players

for time only and 16 of 18 players in each elite and non-elite group for performance time
were in the “expected” group. In addition, for penalty time, 10 non-elite and 8 elite
players of the 16 players were in the “expected” group based on median-split values; 6
and 4 of 16 players were equal with the median from elite and non-elite players,
respectively.

There were no differences between FSPT trial 1 and trial 2 for time only
(30.48 ± 2.29 s vs. 29.91 ± 2.27 s; $p=0.28$), penalty time (2.27 ± 1.78 s vs. 1.58 ± 1.18 s;
 $p=0.11$) or performance time (32.76 ± 3.18 s vs. 31.49 ± 2.97 s, $p=0.08$). Moreover,
significant relationship and ICC were also observed between test re-test for FSPT for
time only ($r=0.77$, 95% CI= 0.56 to 0.98; ICC= 0.75, 95% CI= 0.55 to 0.86), penalty ($r=$
 0.59 , 95% CI= 0.38 to 0.80; ICC= 0.37, 95% CI= 0.06 to 0.61) and performance time ($r=$
 0.75 , 95% CI= 0.69 to 0.81; ICC= 0.70, 95% CI= 0.45 to 0.84) time (all $p \leq 0.05$; Fig 3).

There was a significant correlation between both referees’ sets of scores for total
time only ($r=0.89$, 95% CI= 0.85 to 0.93; ICC= 0.86, 95% CI= 0.79 to 0.94; $p<0.001$)
and performance time ($r=0.94$, 95% CI= 0.91 to 0.97; ICC= 0.94, 95% CI= 0.88 to 0.97;
 $p<0.001$) of FSPT.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to design a special futsal test that included the
skills (pass, dribbling and shooting) and abilities (speed and agility) which are typically
used in futsal. Our results showed that the designed test had an acceptable validity and
reliability and, given that the test was designed on a futsal pitch and used futsal skills, it
complied with the specificity principle.

Since that anaerobic power can predict performance of the futsal players in
decisive moments of the match (i.e., scoring a goal),²³ the 30-s Wingate test was selected

as one of the most authoritative anaerobic power test for investigating the relationship 200
between FSPT data and anaerobic power. We used bivariate correlational analysis and 201
showed that peak power, average power, minimum power and fatigue index were all 202
significantly correlated with both total time only and performance time (moderate to 203
strong correlation). Interestingly, lower r value which observed for performance time 204
when compared with total time only probably related to the simplicity of the test. 205
Therefore, FSPT time only may be better related with **anaerobic power determined by** 206
Wingate test of futsal players, at least when compared to values from the Wingate test. 207
The Wingate measures anaerobic power and has a similar energy system definition, 208
supply and demand to the test.²¹ In addition, total time of the FSPT often ranged between 209
26 to 34 s, which is similar to Wingate test time. As demonstrated in Table 3, we used 210
median-split method to assess criterion validity.²⁴ Data revealed that for performance and 211
time only, nearly all of the players fell into their respective location; thus both mentioned 212
items have high criterion validity. Additionally, however, we observed no clear penalty 213
time differences in ranking for points scored, but elite players' were had a trend to be 214
below median that strengthens the test validity. Moreover, evidence suggested that 215
anaerobic power indicators such as blood lactate are higher during a specific vs. non- 216
specific intermittent test²⁵ such as the current test. Therefore, a test design that has the 217
specificity to mimic actual performance conditions is important and is probably a better 218
indicator of performance and power than general performance tests. 219

To assess content validity, we recruited instructors and futsal coaches as 220
previously mentioned. All individuals approved that this test is a valid tool to screen 221
futsal players performance as well as abilities and skills. 222

Test and re-test results demonstrated a strong correlation for total time only and 223
performance time, with only moderate correlation for penalty time. The 30% reduction in 224

the penalty time (from 2.27 ± 1.78 s to 1.58 ± 1.18 s), which probably indicated a learning effect,²⁶ is one possible interpretation for the moderate correlation between two trials for penalty time. In fact, the penalty time was two-fold higher in non-elite vs. elite players. Furthermore, the learning effect was higher in the non-elite players, so that penalty time in the non-elite players reduces 0.88 s in second trial while increased 0.05 s in elite players. The reason for the higher penalty time reduction and the learning effect in the non-elite players can be related to the level of skill and experiences gained from more training years. Additionally, total time only and performance time reduced less than 4%. Nevertheless, the overall results strongly support test reliability when the test was repeated.

Hopkins suggests that in performance tests, the smallest worthwhile enhancement can be calculated as 0.2 of the between-participants standard deviation.²² In FSPT, the mean of the total time only and performance time of participants was 30.48 s and 32.76 s, respectively, and the smallest worthwhile effect (i.e. sensitivity of test) was 0.45 s and 0.63 s which represent 1.47% and 1.94%, respectively. In addition, sub-group results (elite vs. non-elite) examination demonstrated that elite players have 14% and 18% better performance values for the total time only and performance time of the test. Collectively, it seems that futsal players that have a superior ability and skills performed better in FSPT thus supporting the high construct validity of the test. Elite players showed 48% less penalty time, completed the test quicker and thus achieved much better total and performance time (Table 2); this means that elite players succeeded in performing the test using the least time (and possibly energy) and without sacrificing movement speed and technique accuracy.²⁷ However, non-elite players were slower (recorded higher time) in an attempt to maintain their accuracy and accumulate less error. This method helped to

distinguish skill levels between groups and increase the construct validity of the 249
performance time as shown in previous studies.⁷ 250

The referees’ contribution to FSPT was important as they recorded total time only 251
and observed penalties during the test. Results recorded by referees were the same; and 252
correlations indicated a strong relationship, indicating good inter-rater reliability in terms 253
of detection of penalties accrued. 254

Comparing current results with previous studies and tests is difficult because of 255
different protocols used and test specificity. A study conducted by Ali et al., used skill 256
rather than technique for measuring skill in soccer.²⁴ A further study conducted by 257
Castagna et al., examined the FIET and showed that the FIET measures the energy 258
system of futsal. The FIET was without the ball and did not measure or use futsal skill.⁵ 259
However, the FSPT includes four skills used in futsal which distinguishes this test from 260
other tests.^{24,28–31} 261

Limitations and future research 263

The current test was only performed by male futsal players; therefore, further 264
studies are needed to investigate the validity and reliability of FSPT in female players 265
and younger players. The sample size of the current study is 36 futsal players, 18 elite 266
and 18 non-elite. Future studies can examine FSPT in larger cohort in order to obtain a 267
more accurate validity and reliability. Repeating FSPT during a season may demonstrate 268
the sensitivity of the test to a training period and capture changes during the season. 269
Finally, futsal has a variety of physiological demand, such as agility, endurance and 270
muscle coordination,⁵ but the current study did not investigate the correlation of FSPT 271
data with performance related to these demands. Another limitation is the human error of 272
the players who help to performing the test include passing players. However, in this test, 273

professional futsal players have been used as passing players (the same players for all participants), but individual skills and the variability of the pass during the test and the implications for the reproducibility of the test in the real scenarios is another effective limitation.

Practical Applications

Our results support the use of the FSPT to assess futsal players' anaerobic fitness. The FSPT test is a simple and practical tool for coaches and instructors, because it does not require expensive or special equipment. Moreover, the current test is appropriate to investigate the differences between performance according to player level (elite and non-elite). In addition, this test has potential training applications, because the test can be used as a practical intermittent and power training exercise using the ball.

Conclusions

Our results suggest that FSPT is a valid and reliable test to assess the skill aspects related to futsal. Moreover, the FSPT is a sensitive test to differentiate futsal performance according to playing ability in our cohort of players. In addition, results indicated that the FSPT has high construct validity. Finally, further studies are required to investigate other aspects of this test and its relationship with different parameters of match-performance such as aerobic capacity and performance agility.

Acknowledgments

The Authors report no disclosures. The authors would like to thank all participants, instructors and coaches who participated or helped us in this study.

References	299
1. De Moura NR, Borges LS, Santos VC, et al. Muscle lesions and inflammation in futsal players according to their tactical positions. <i>J Strength Cond Res.</i> 2013;27(9):2612-2618. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e31827fd835	300 301 302
2. Watsford ML, DoÄŸramaci SN. A comparison of two different methods for time-motion analysis in team sports. <i>Int J Perform Anal Sport.</i> 2006;6(1):1.	303 304
3. Milanović Z, Sporis G, Trajković N, Fiorentini F. Differences in agility performance between futsal and soccer players. <i>Sport Sci.</i> 2011;2:55-59.	305 306
4. Gorostiaga EM, Llodio I, Ibáñez J, et al. Differences in physical fitness among indoor and outdoor elite male soccer players. 2009:483-491. doi:10.1007/s00421-009-1040-7	307 308 309
5. Castagna C, Barbero Álvarez JC. Physiological Demands of an Intermittent Futsal-Oriented High-Intensity Test. <i>J Strength Cond Res.</i> 2010;24(9):2322-2329. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181e347b9	310 311 312
6. Barbieri R, Barbieri F, Milioni F, et al. Reliability and Validity of a New Specific Field Test of Aerobic Capacity with the Ball for Futsal Players. <i>Int J Sports Med.</i> 2017;38(3):233-240. doi:10.1055/s-0042-123043	313 314 315
7. Castagna C, D'Ottavio S, Vera JG, Álvarez JCB. Match demands of professional Futsal: A case study. <i>J Sci Med Sport.</i> 2009;12(4):490-494. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2008.02.001	316 317 318
8. Charlot K, Zongo P, Leicht AS, Hue O, Galy O. Intensity, recovery kinetics and well-being indices are not altered during an official FIFA futsal tournament in Oceanian players. <i>J Sports Sci.</i> 2016;34(4):379-388. doi:10.1080/02640414.2015.1056822	319 320 321 322

9. Dittrich N, da Silva JF, Castagna C, de Lucas RD, Antonacci Guglielmo LG. 323
Validity of Carminatti's Test to Determine Physiological Indices of Aerobic 324
Power and Capacity in Soccer and Futsal Players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 325
2011;25(11):3099-3106. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182132ce7 326
10. Naser N, Ali A. A descriptive-comparative study of performance characteristics in 327
futsal players of different levels. *J Sports Sci.* 2016;34(18):1707-1715. 328
doi:10.1080/02640414.2015.1134806 329
11. Naser N, Ali A, Macadam P. Physical and physiological demands of futsal. *J* 330
Exerc Sci Fit. 2017;15(2):76-80. doi:10.1016/j.jesf.2017.09.001 331
12. Valladares-Rodríguez S, Rey E, Mecías-Calvo M, Barcala-Furelos R, Bores- 332
Cerezal AJ. Reliability and Usefulness of the 30-15 Intermittent Fitness Test in 333
Male and Female Professional Futsal Players. *J Hum Kinet.* 2017;60(1):191-198. 334
doi:10.1515/hukin-2017-0102 335
13. Hermans V, Gdawietz G, Engler R, Schwehm W. *Futsal: Technique, Tactics,* 336
Training; 2010. 337
14. Bangsbo J, Iaia FM, Krustup P. The Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test: A Useful 338
Tool for Evaluation of Physical Performance in Intermittent Sports. *Sport Med.* 339
2008;38(1):37-51. doi:10.2165/00007256-200838010-00004 340
15. Hoff J, Wisløff U, Engen LC, Kemi OJ, Helgerud J. Soccer specific aerobic 341
endurance training. *Br J Sports Med.* 2002;36(3):218-221. 342
doi:10.1136/bjism.36.3.218 343
16. Berdejo-del-fresno D. A review about Futsal. *Am J Sport Sci Med.* 2014;2(3):70- 344
70. doi:10.12691/ajssm-2-3 345
17. Ranking FW. futsal world ranking. <http://www.futsalworldranking.be/rank.htm>. 346

- Published 2018. 347
18. Travassos B, Araújo D, Davids K. Is futsal a donor sport for football?: exploiting 348
complementarity for early diversification in talent development. *Sci Med Footb.* 349
2018;2(1):66-70. doi:10.1080/24733938.2017.1390322 350
 19. Michael Skubala SB. *Developing the Modern Footballer through Futsal*. Bennion 351
Kearny Limited; 2015. 352
 20. Peter Atanasov, Trayana Djarova, Michael Kalinski, et al. ACTN3 and AMPD1 353
Polymorphism and Genotype Combinations in Bulgarian Athletes Performing 354
Wingate Test. *J Sport Sci.* 2015;3(1). doi:10.17265/2332-7839/2015.01.001 355
 21. Bar-Or O. The Wingate Anaerobic Test An Update on Methodology, Reliability 356
and Validity. *Sport Med An Int J Appl Med Sci Sport Exerc.* 1987;4(6):381-394. 357
doi:10.2165/00007256-198704060-00001 358
 22. Hopkins WG. How to Interpret Changes in an Athletic Performance Test. 359
Sportscience. 2004;8:1-7. doi:10.1097/00005768-199804000-00026 360
 23. Barbieri RA, Zagatto AM, Milioni F, Barbieri FA. Specific futsal training program 361
can improve the physical performance of futsal players. *Sport Sci Health.* 362
2016;12(2):247-253. doi:10.1007/s11332-016-0283-z 363
 24. Ali A, Williams C, Hulse M, et al. Reliability and validity of two tests of soccer 364
skill. *J Sports Sci.* 2007;25(13):1461-1470. doi:10.1080/02640410601150470 365
 25. Buchheit M, Al Haddad H, Millet GP, Lepretre PM, Newton M, Ahmaidi S. 366
Cardiorespiratory and cardiac autonomic responses to 30-15 intermittent fitness 367
test in team sport players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2009;23(1):93-100. 368
doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e31818b9721 369

26. Magill RA, Anderson D. Motor learning and control : concepts and applications. 370
2014;479. 371
27. Ali A. Measuring soccer skill performance: A review. *Scand J Med Sci Sport.* 372
2011;21(2):170-183. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2010.01256.x 373
28. Russell M, Benton D, Kingsley M. Reliability and construct validity of soccer 374
skills tests that measure passing, shooting, and dribbling. *J Sports Sci.* 375
2010;28(13):1399-1408. doi:10.1080/02640414.2010.511247 376
29. Lockie RG, Schultz AB, Callaghan SJ, Jeffriess MD, Berry SP. Reliability and 377
validity of a new test of change-of-direction speed for field- based sports: The 378
change-of-direction and acceleration test (CODAT). *J Sport Sci Med.* 379
2013;12(1):88-96. doi:10.2478/v10078-012-0053-1 380
30. Rosch D, Hodgson R, Peterson L, et al. Assessment and Evaluation of Football 381
Performance. *Am J Sports Med.* 2000;28(5_suppl):29-39. 382
doi:10.1177/28.suppl_5.s-29 383
31. Hoare DG, Warr CR. Talent identification and women's soccer: An Australian 384
experience. *J Sports Sci.* 2000;18(9):751-758. doi:10.1080/02640410050120122 385
386

Figure 1. Spacing, cones and players location and test procedure. Sixteen cones located as shown in above figure. After warm-up, participant was located behind the purple cone. In step 1 and 2, participant runs with the ball and dribble. Afterwards, turn cone I, sends a long pass, and goes near cone J (step 3). After repetition step 4 and 5 include receiving and sending short pass, participant rotates and dribbles; then performs a wall pass and shoot the ball to the goal. Finally, in step 9, participant receives long pass and shoot to the goal, and subsequently goes to the final cone. Time was calculated by two referees and their records average was considered as total time. The penalty time was also recorded during the test (refer to the text), and performance time is obtained by adding penalty time to the total time.

400

Figure 2. Pearson correlation analysis between total and performance time of FSPT with 401
power indicators. There are significant correlation between absolute and relative peak 402
power (a, b, g and h), average power (c, d, I and j), minimum power (e, f, k and l) and 403
fatigue index (m and n) with total and performance time of FSPT ($p<0.01$). 404

405

For Peer Review

Figure 3. Test and re-test results of total (a) and performance (b) time of FSPT. All 406
analysis revealed a significant correlation ($p<0.01$). 407

For Peer Review

For Peer Review

203x168mm (300 x 300 DPI)

201x82mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Table 1. Anthropometric characteristics of elite and non-elite Futsal players

	age (years)	height (cm)	weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m ²)	Experience (years of play)	Number of training sessions per week
Elite (n=18)	23.0 ± 1.83	175.0 ± 0.05	67.2 ± 4.74	21.9 ± 1.79	7.5 ± 2.79	5.9 ± 1.39
Non-elite (n=18)	22.6 ± 1.41	174.0 ± 04.04	68.2 ± 6.11	22.4 ± 1.80	6.6 ± 2.65	5.2 ± 1.52
Total (n=36)	22.8 ± 1.62	174.1 ± 73.17	67.67 ± 5.42	22.2 ± 1.79	7.0 ± 2.72	5.6 ± 1.47

Table 2. Wingate Test variables, special Futsal performance test time and penalty of elite and non-elite Futsal players

		All players (n = 36)	Elite (n = 18)	Non-elite (n = 18)	t value	<i>P</i> value	Effect size	95% CI of Effect size
Peak power	W	742.4±44.0	770.2 ± 34.6	714.5 ± 34.0	4.864	0.000*	1.62	0.87 – 2.37
	W/Kg	11.0±1.1	11.5 ± 1.1	10.5 ± 1.0	2.693	0.011*	0.95	0.26 – 1.64
Average power	W	627.1±43.9	655.2 ± 36.6	599.0 ± 31.0	4.962	0.000*	1.65	0.9 – 2.41
	W/Kg	9.3±1.0	9.8 ± 1.0	8.8 ± 0.8	2.976	0.005*	1.10	0.40 – 1.80
Minimum power	W	405.7±40.9	432.8± 32.6	378.6 ± 28.9	5.263	0.000*	1.75	0.99 – 2.52
	W/Kg	6.0±0.8	6.4 ± 0.7	5.5 ± 0.6	3.719	0.001*	1.38	0.65 – 2.10
Fatigue index	%	45.4±2.5	43.8 ± 2.3	46.0 ± 1.7	-4.722	0.000*	1.44	0.71 – 2.17
Total time only	s	30.48±2.29	28.52±1.36	32.45±0.89	-10.195	0.000*	3.42	2.39 – 4.44
Penalty time	s	2.27±1.78	1.55±1.38	3.00±1.87	90.000 [#]	0.022*	0.88	0.19 – 1.56
Performance time	s	32.76±3.18	30.08±1.77	35.45±1.59	-9.553	0.000*	3.19	2.20 – 4.17

* denotes a significant difference between elite and non-elite players ($p<0.05$), #: Penalty time is an ordinal variable and nonparametric test was used to measure the difference between elite and non-elite players.

Table 3. Median-split table for special Futsal performance test between elite and non-elite players

	Above median	Below median	Equal median
Total time only			
Elite	0	18	0
Non-elite	18	0	0
Penalty time			
Elite	4	8	6
Non-elite	10	4	4
Performance time			
Elite	2	16	0
Non-elite	16	2	0

Dear Prof. Dr. Karim Chamari;

Thank you for reviewing our revised manuscript, titled “Reliability and Validity of a Novel Futsal Special Performance Test: Designed as a Skills and Anaerobic Performance test”. We are grateful for the additional constructive comments provided by the reviewers, and the resulting revisions further improved the clarity and contribution of our manuscript. Based on the input from the reviewers, the manuscript was revised as described below, and the changes within the manuscript are colored in red type.

Note: We, according to the editor comment, have made changes (in red) on the use of the "anaerobic" in throughout the manuscript. As for the title, since the reviewers emphasized in his previous comments that there should be an “anaerobic” emphasis on the title, the word “anaerobic” is included.

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author

The authors made major changes throughout the article. Many of the suggestions were attended and the manuscript was improved.

Some minor suggestions:

Results: "high effect" it is not included in the magnitude inference. It must be re-written (or trivial, or small, or moderate, or large or very large).

Response to reviewer: Thank you for your comments. We have modified the text and we used "large" instead of "high".

A major concern: the variability of the pass during the test and the implications for the reproducibility of the test in the real scenarios.

Response to reviewer: Thank you for your comment. We agree with your comment. The “variability of the pass during the test” is an important limitation of our designed test. Therefore, we explained this in the discussion section (Limitations and future research). Please see Page 11, paragraph 4 and Page 12, paragraph 1.