UWS Academic Portal

High-intensity interval training using whole-body exercises

Machado, Alexandre Fernandes ; Baker, Julien S.; Figueira Junior, Aylton J.; Bocalini, Danilo Sales

Published in:
Clinical Physiology and Functional Imaging

DOI:
[10.1111/cpf.12433](https://doi.org/10.1111/cpf.12433)

E-pub ahead of print: 04/05/2017

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication on the UWS Academic Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Machado, A. F., Baker, J. S., Figueira Junior, A. J., & Bocalini, D. S. (2017). High-intensity interval training using whole-body exercises: training recommendations and methodological overview. *Clinical Physiology and Functional Imaging*, 39(6), 378-383. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cpf.12433>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the UWS Academic Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact pure@uws.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**High intensity interval training using whole body exercises:
training recommendations and methological overview**

Journal:	<i>Clinical Physiology and Functional Imaging</i>
Manuscript ID	CPF-2016-0220.R1
Manuscript Type:	Review Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	08-Feb-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Machado, Alexandre; Department of Physical Education of São Judas Tadeu University, Translational Physiology Laboratory Baker, Julien S.; Clinical Exercise and Health Science, Science Figueira Junior, Aylton; Universidade São Judas Tadeu, Health and Biological Science Bocalini, Danilo; USJT, Physical Education
Key Words:	whole body training, high-intensity, exercise, all out load, interval training

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

High intensity interval training using whole body exercises: training
recommendations and methological overview

Short title: High intensity interval training and whole body

ABSTRACT

HIIT whole body (HWB) based exercise is a new calisthenics exercise program approach that can be considered an effective and safe method to improve physical fitness and body composition. HWB is a method that can be applied to different populations and ages. The purpose of this paper is to describe possible methodologies for performing physical training based on whole body exercise in healthy subjects. The HWB sessions consist of a repeated stimulus based on high intensity exercise that also include monitoring time to effort, time to recuperation and session time. The exercise intensity is related to the maximal number of movements possible in a given time, therefore the exercise sessions can be characterized as maximal. The intensity can be recorded by using ratings of perceived exertion. Weekly training frequency and exercise selection should be structured according to individual subject functional fitness. Using this simple method, there is potential for greater adherence to physical activity which can promote health benefits to all members of society.

Key Words: high-intensity, whole body training, interval training, exercise, all out load.

INTRODUCTION

The performance of physical exercise by utilizing body weight was practice by the ancient Romans, as a form of military preparation. However, the use of an individual's whole-body exercise while performing physical activity was not integrated into physical education until 1785 (Azevedo and Santos, 2015).

In 1829, the Swiss physical trainer Clias published a book entitled Kallisthenie - Exercises for Beauty and Strength, which featured calisthenics as rhythmically practiced exercises with the use of body weight (Alija and Torre, 2015). Later, the Swedes generated a table with groups of organized exercises according to specific objectives, and they suggested the use of daily training sessions (Alija and Torre, 2015).

Regarding the use of an individual's body weight as the training load, some researchers (Gist et al. 2015; Gist et al. 2014; MacRae et al. 2012) reintroduced the concept of training with body weight by using a high-intensity interval training (HIIT) method in their experiments, and they characterized this method as whole-body training (MacRae et al. 2012). This method is also known as whole-body calisthenics (Gist et al. 2015; Gist et al. 2014).

In this newly proposed form of calisthenics (Gist et al. 2015; Gist et al. 2014; MacRae et al. 2012), training with body weight was performed in professional practice by using simple conventional variables available in the literature. These included, intensity, modality and duration of work, intensity and duration of relief, number and duration of sets, time and number of intervals between sets, recovery intensity and duration are used to HIIT prescription (Buchheit et al. 2013a). According to Buchheit et al. (2013a) the manipulation of each variable in isolation has a direct impact on metabolic, cardiopulmonary and neuromuscular adaptations observed. In contrast, the manipulation of more than one parameter results in greater difficulty to predict outcomes due to complex interaction of inter-related parameters. These factors include the use of high-intensity supramaximal stimuli (Germano et al. 2015), a stimulation time between 10 seconds and 1 minute (MacRae et al. 2012), and a recovery interval of 10 seconds to 2 minutes (Buchheit et al. 2013a), resulting in a total session time of between 4 to 30 minutes (Gibala et al. 2014).

HIIT became known as an effective and safe tool for increased conditioning in both athletes and non-athletes (Gibala et al. 2014; Gibala et al. 2010; Osawa et al. 2014; Rozenek et al. 2016). The sessions consist of repeated high-intensity stimuli followed by a short recovery time, which can be performed using ergometers, such as

1
2
3 a static bike or treadmill (Gibala et al. 2014; Rozenek et al. 2016) or by using
4 individual body weight (Gist et al. 2015; Gist et al. 2014; MacRae et al. 2012).

5
6 According to Gray et al. (2016) HIIT using the whole body as the resistive force
7 could be considered as practical and low cost compared to traditional HIIT that utilizes
8 specialized equipment that is frequently used in a laboratory or gym-based situation.
9 Additionally, whole body exercise may be performed indoor or outdoor, an important
10 characteristic when we consider individual preferences related to gymnasium
11 membership, the costs involved and the training environment. However, the efficient
12 application of HIIT requires a considerable theoretical and practical approach by the
13 professional during the preparation of the training program, especially monitoring the
14 variable load during the training session (Tucker et al. 2015;).

15
16 No previous reports have described a safe strategy for controlling the training
17 load in this form of exercise. However, variables such as the stimulus time, recovery
18 time, and total session time can be manipulated so that the individual can perform the
19 greatest number of stimuli at the requested intensity, regardless of fitness level
20 (Buchheit et al. 2013 a).

21
22 From this perspective, it is possible that the load used during the all-out method,
23 which is characterized as the maximum possible intensity during the stimulus period as
24 proposed by the published protocol (Gibala et al. 2008), can easily be manipulated.
25 This can be accomplished by increasing or decreasing the stimulus or recovery times
26 during the training cycles according to different practitioner profiles (beginner,
27 intermediate, and advanced). In addition, other variables, such as the total time and
28 selection of exercises to be performed during the training session, are important for the
29 success of properly prescribed HIIT whole body training (MacRae et al. 2012; Buchheit
30 et al. 2013^a).

31
32 A consensus does not exist in the literature regarding how to handle the training
33 loads during the HIIT whole body session; further, sufficient evidence to guide the
34 implementation of an adequate training program is lacking. Thus, the purpose of this
35 document is to present possible strategies for prescribing the training load variables
36 based on the HIIT whole body training exercise.

37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 **METHODS**

52 An extensive literature search was performed using PubMed and MEDLINE.
53 Searches were performed for 'HIIT', 'whole-body training', 'resistance training',
54 'exercise', 'whole-body calisthenics', 'calisthenics exercise', 'high-intensity interval
55 training', and combinations of the aforementioned keywords. The publications obtained
56 were screened for studies that included healthy humans. Studies on HIIT whole body
57
58
59
60

were preferentially included. In their absence, HIIT studies focusing on body fat loss or fitness were used. Due to a lack of studies found specifically on natural HIIT whole body during manuscript preparation, and the relative broad nature of this review, a narrative style was chosen (Helms et al. 2015).

TIME AND TRAINING LOAD VARIABLES

An increasing body of evidence suggests that HIIT induces physiological adaptations that are superior (Germano et al. 2015; Buchheit et al. 2013 a ; Rozenek et al. 2016) or similar to conventional continuous training (De Feop et al. 2013; Gillen et al. 2016; Keating et al. 2014).

The majority of studies in the literature (Osawa et al. 2014; Rozenek et al. 2016; Gibala et al. 2008; Tabata et al. 1997; Tucker et al. 2015) that employed the traditional HIIT method traditionally used treadmills, or cycle ergometers, and incorporated stimulus and recovery times ranging from 10 seconds to 4 minutes. Exercise session times were recorded to be between 4 to 28 minutes duration.

According to previous studies (Rozenek et al. 2016; Gray et al. 2016; Gibala et al. 2008; Tabata et al. 1997; Tucker et al. 2015), the overall duration of the HIIT training session can vary between 4 and 32 minutes. In addition, this time-frame has been established in the literature to be sufficient for promoting favorable adaptations to weight loss and increased physical fitness quickly and efficiently (McRae et al. 2012; Buchheit et al. 2013 a ; Gibala et al. 2014; Gibala et al. 2010; Rozenek et al. 2016). However, exercise protocols applied to HIIT whole body training are still sparse in the literature, limiting the applicability of this modality.

Another important component of physical training programs is the training load (Pinho et al. 2016). Conceptually, the training load consists of the stimuli that make up the training session; moreover, this parameter is considered an important variable for controlling the exercise, and it may be monitored internally and externally (Mazurek, 2016; Borin et al. 2007; Borin et al. 2008).

The external load is considered the work performed during training (Mazurek et al. 2016; Pinho et al. 2016; Borin et al. 2008) and is directly related to the volume and intensity of the exercise variables during HIIT. The training load can be characterized as follows: the number of stimuli and total training time (volume) and the intensity of the stimulus and recovery time (intensity). However, the internal training load corresponds to the acute physiological responses induced by the exercise (Pinho et al. 2016). The adaptation to the training stimulus will be greater as the internal load is increased (Impellizzeri et al. 2006).

1
2
3 The main variables of adaptation are; heart rate during exercise, recovery heart
4 rate and blood lactate concentrations post exercise (Pinho et al. 2016; Borin et al.
5 2007; Borin et al. 2008; Impellizzeri et al. 2006).

7 In conventional HITT protocols, the main internal load parameters used in the
8 literature (Borin et al. 2007; Borin et al. 2008; Impellizzeri et al. 2006) correspond to
9 effort perception, heart rate, and VO₂max. With regard to monitoring the external load,
10 conventional HITT protocols have traditionally considered the stimulation time and
11 recovery time as the training load indicators (Tabata et al. 1997).

13 In addition, the proportion of the recovery time relative to the time effort and the
14 total length of the training session have been widely used for monitoring (Buchheit et
15 al. 2013 a ; Tucker et al. 2015; Buchheit et al. 2013 b). In this type of monitoring, the
16 following loads have been applied: 1:1, in which the stimulus and recovery times are
17 similar (Osawa et al. 2014; Rozenek et al. 2016); 1:1/2, in which the recovery time is
18 half the time of the stimulus (Tabata et al. 1997), resulting in a training session with
19 greater intensity but shorter duration; and 1:2, where the recovery time is twice the
20 stimulus time, which allows for greater recovery during the training session.

22 Another important point to consider is that recovery time following a HIIT session,
23 could have active or passive component (Dourado et al. 2004; Fujita et al. 2009;
24 Abderrahman et al. 2013). Fujita et al. (2009) found no differences in power between
25 sprint sessions when active and passive recovery sessions were used. However,
26 differences were found in VO₂ max and heart rate when active recovery was used
27 when compared with a passive recovery protocol. In addition, the active recovery
28 sessions were more efficient than passive recovery in promoting maintenance of heart
29 rate, oxygen consumption and removal of blood lactate (Abderrahman et al. 2013).

31 Although the training load control for HIIT whole body has not yet been fully
32 clarified, we recommend that the applied load be characterized as all out, where the
33 scores of effort perception of the adapted Borg scale (Tiggemann et al. 2010) are
34 between 9 and 10 for each stimulus during all training cycles. The cycles are equal to
35 the product of the sum of the stimulation time with the time of recovery, as described in
36 Figure 1; this method was previously used in conventional HIIT training (Buchheit et al.
37 2013 a ; Buchheit et al. 2013 b).

39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
INSERT FIGURE 1

Only three studies (Gist et al. 2015; Gist et al. 2014; MacRae et al. 2012)
relating to HIIT whole body have been published, and these studies suggest that this
method produces positive changes including; improved fitness, decreased body fat,
and increased muscle strength and endurance. By using the protocol proposed by

Tabata et al. (1997), McRae et al. (2012) reported improvement in both the VO₂peak at the time of fatigue and body weight. Gist et al. (2014) and Gist et al. (2015) used a methodological proposal of 4 to 7 cycles of 30 seconds of exercise with 4 minutes of recovery, and both studies showed an improvement in VO₂peak.

Given the observations with the training load control in conventional HIIT, we can consider that manipulating the stimulus time (ST), recovery time (RT), and total training time (TT) variables (Figure 1) are also crucial for the physiological adaptations during the session of HIIT whole body. However, we have found no published studies with suggested guidelines for the training load ratio or how this load ratio should be managed in the training program, for HIIT whole body, according to the profile of the practitioner.

EXERCISE SELECTION AND WEEKLY FREQUENCY

The exercise selection used on whole body exercise traditionally is based on callisthenic sessions, recently, Anthony and Brown (2016) proposed the following exercise classification: power stabilization (CORE) and support. In this proposal for training, the importance of the selection of exercises in the developmental phase of conditioning was essential because it allowed coaches to maximize performance and reduce sports-related injuries (Anthony and Brown, 2016). Rozenek et al. (2016) also proposed a system of training in which a positive overall result was achieved in the performance of individuals using the training program. McRae et al. (2012) used four free exercises in his study (burpee, jumping jack, mountain climber, and squat thrust); however, the criteria for choosing both the exercises and the order of the selected exercises were not described.

Considering the criteria for selecting and order of the exercises, we recommend that the adjustments used for strength training be considered. Numerous previous studies (Gil et al. 2011; Janning et al. 2009; Pauletto, 1986; Santos et al. 2009; Simão et al. 2007; Simão et al. 2005) have shown that exercise selection should be considered as a critical parameter in neuromuscular adaptations; therefore, it is arguable that the choice of exercises can significantly affect the dynamic training session.

While no guidelines exist for the criteria for selecting the exercises in HIIT whole body, we suggest that the complexity of the motor task be considered a viable strategy when organizing the training session. According to the motor control point of view (Semenick et al. 1987), we can classify the exercises into two distinct groups:

- With a unique movement pattern, jumping Jack (Figure 2A).
- With a unique movement combined, burpee (Figure 2B)

INSERT FIGURE 2

Performing exercises that are more complex requires greater energy expenditure (Pauleto, 1986; Simão et al. 2007) and increases metabolic demand (De Aguiar et al. 2013; Fernandez-Fernandez et al. 2015) and can therefore affect the dynamics of the training session, from the quality of movements, associated with greater or lesser fatigability and to the total energy expenditure during the exercise (Amorin and Faria, 2012; De Aguiar et al. 2013; Fernandez-Fernandez et al. 2015; Garcia et al. 2013).

Despite the performance of numerous studies on the physiological impact of HIIT, gaps in scientific evidence still exist regarding the practical application of HIIT whole body. These gaps include the cost effectiveness for fitness programs, as suggested by Gray et al. (2016), as well as guidelines for handling exercise session variables such as load control, session length, weekly frequency, and exercise selection.

In relation to weekly frequency, to the best of our knowledge there are few studies (Dalleck et al. 2010, Hatle et al. 2014) that have investigated the impact of weekly HIIT frequency on training parameters. Dalleck et al. (2010) demonstrated improvements in lactate threshold, however, a dose-response relationship between frequency of interval training and the magnitude of lactate threshold improvement occurred at a sprint training frequency of only 1- 2 days/week. Hatle et al. (2014) comparing a moderate (4x/week) and high (8x/week) frequency using treadmill training found improvements in VO₂ max in both protocols. However, a delay in adaptation was noted in the high frequency group, which may have been related to recovery, or exercise and rest ratio during the activity. Further studies are required to investigate this finding.

Therefore, based on the published data, we propose a basic guideline for the preparation of a HIIT whole body program, using a maximal system (Table 1). This system is based on control of the external training loads through the stimulus, time recovery cycles, overall training time in the session, and selection of exercises. The internal load is monitored through perceived exertion, with scores ranging from 9 to 10 on the scale adapted to the level of physical fitness and the motor experience of the practitioner.

INSERT TABLE 1

Our proposal is based on a total fixed training time (30 minutes) for different practitioner profiles and on the effort time for each fixed cycle (30 seconds), but with total time of stimuli during session for different profiles (beginners = 10 minutes, intermediate = 15 minutes and advanced = 20 minutes). The intensity of each stimulus is maximal, regardless of the practitioner profile, but the recovery time varies according to the HIIT whole body practitioner profile, which takes into account the relationship of the training load. Therefore, beginners have a ratio with lower physiological impact (1:2), intermediates have a greater load pattern (1:1), and advanced practitioners have a load ratio that is much more intense (1:1/2), as shown in Figure 3. In addition, another important point in our proposal is the alternation between exercises characterized as simple (less fatigue) and complex (greater fatigue) in order to better develop the training program for intermediate profile training.

INSERT FIGURE 3

RECOMMENDATIONS

HIIT is an effective training method for enhancing fitness and weight loss. Given these known adaptations due to HIIT whole body training, we recommend the use of training load by manipulating the stimulus time variables, recovery time, and choice of exercises according to the practitioner profile. We also recommend, monitoring intensity by using perceived exertion with scores ranging from 9 to 10. Regarding quantification of load, as shown in Table 1, we recommend 3 cycles per week for beginners and 4 to 5 cycles per week for intermediate and advanced practitioners. In intermediate individuals, exercises characterized as simple and complex can be applied, and we recommend switching between a simple exercise and a complex exercise during training; other exercises that can be incorporated in the training session are shown in Figure 3.

The HIIT whole body is an effective and safe method to improve conditioning and also for those seeking weight loss. The work methodology allows it to be carried out in any environment that is specific for training or not, such as: gyms, clubs, parks, studios, and even at home. Finally, we believe that the proposed methodology is simple and can generate greater adherence to physical activity to promote health in all types of individuals from a variety of backgrounds and abilities.

CONCLUSION

Considering the evidence available in the literature we suggest that HIIT whole body training is an alternative strategy that needs consideration for use to improve parameters that are used to measure adaptations to physical training. These parameters include, time to exhaustion, time to recuperation and session time. All subjects engaging in HIIT should be encouraged to perform a maximal number of movements possible, in the prescribed time period, and the effort needs to be characterized as maximal. The intensity of the efforts can be controlled by monitoring the rating of perceived exertion. Finally, the weekly frequency and exercise selection should be related to the functional fitness of the individuals, and should also be subject specific.

REFERENCES

- Alijas RDR, Torre AHD. (2015). Calisthenics: Volviendo a los Origenes. Emásf, Digital Journal of Education Physics, 33: 87-96.
- Abderrahman AB, Zouhal H, Chamari K, Thevenet D, Mullenheim PY, Gastinger S, Tabka Z, Prioux J. (2013). Effects of recovery mode (active vs. passive) on performance during a short high-intensity interval training program: a longitudinal study. Eur J Appl Physiol, 113(6):1373-83.
- Amorin PRS, Faria FR. (2012). Energy expenditure of human activities and their impact on health. Motricity. v. 8, n. S2, p. 295.
- Anthony CC, Brown LE. (2016). Resistance training considerations for female surfers. National Strength and Conditioning Association. 2:64-69.
- Azevedo CB, Santos, RM. (2015). Body, child and school - aspects of school culture of North Rio Grande school groups. Mind - Journal of Humanities, 37:91-126.
- Borin JP, Prestes J, Moura NF. (2007). Characterization, control and evaluation: limitations and possibilities within the sports training. Revista Treinamento Desportivo. v. 8, n. 1, p. 6-11.
- Borin JP, Gomes AC, Dos Santos Leite G. (2008). Sports preparation: control aspects of training load in team sports. Revista da Educação Física/UEM. v. 18, n. 1, p. 97-105.
- Buchheit M, Laursen PB. (2013a). High-intensity interval training, solutions to the programming puzzle. Sports medicine. v. 43, n. 5, p. 313-338.
- Buchheit M, Laursen PB. (2013b). High-intensity interval training, solutions to the programming puzzle. Part II: anaerobic energy, neuromuscular load and practical applications. Sports medicine. v. 43, n. 10, p. 927-954.

Dalleck, L, Bushman, TT, Crain, RD, Gajda, MM, Koger, EM, and Derksen, LA. (2010). Dose response relationship between interval training frequency and magnitude of improvement in lactate threshold. *Int J Sports Med*. V. 31, p. 567-571.

De Aguiar RA, et al. (2013). Effect of intensity intermittent running 30s exercise: 15s maintenance time on or near the VO2max. *Motriz rev. educ. fís. v. 19, n. 1, p. 207-216*.

De Feop et al. (2013). Is high-intensity exercise better than moderate-intensity exercise for weight loss? *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 23(11):1037-42.

Dourado C, Moysi-Sanchis J, Calbet JAL. (2004). Effects of recovery mode on performance O2 uptake, and O2 deficit during high-intensity intermittent exercise. *App Physiol* 29(3): 227-44.

Fernandez-Fernandez J, et al. (2015). Acute physiological responses during crossfit® workouts. *European Journal of Human Movement*. v. 35, p. 1-25.

Fujita Y, Koizumi K, Sukeno S, Manabe M, Nomura J. (2009). Active recovery effects by previously inactive muscles on 40-s exhaustive cycling. *JSS* 27: 11, 1145-51.

Garcia NM, et al. (2013). Acquisition of Multiple Physiological Parameters During Physical Exercise. *Digital Advances in Medicine, E-Health, and Communication Technologies*. p. 102.

Germano MD et al. (2015). High Intensity Interval Training: Cardiorespiratory Adaptations, Metabolic and Performance. *International Journal of Sports Science*. v. 5, n. 6, p. 240-247.

Gibala MJ, Gillen JB, Percival ME. (2014). Physiological and health-related adaptations to low-volume interval training: influences of nutrition and sex. *Sports Medicine*. v. 44, n. 2, p. 127-137.

Gibala MJ, Little JP. Just HIT it! (2010). A time -efficient exercise strategy to improve muscle insulin sensitivity. *The Journal of physiology*. v. 588, n. 18, p. 3341-3342.

Gibala MJ, McGee SL. (2008). Metabolic adaptations to short-term high-intensity interval training: a little pain for a lot of gain? *Exercise and sport sciences reviews*. v. 36, n. 2, p. 58-63.

Gil S, et al. (2011). Efeito da ordem dos exercícios no número de repetições e na percepção subjetiva de esforço em homens treinados em força. *Revista Brasileira de Educação Física e Esporte*. v. 25, n. 1, p. 127-135.

Gillen JB, et al. (2016). Twelve Weeks of Sprint Interval Training Improves Indices of Cardio metabolic Health Similar to Traditional Endurance Training despite a Five-Fold Lower Exercise Volume and Time Commitment. *Plos one*. v. 11, n. 4, p. e0154075.

Gist NH et al. (2015). Effects of Low-Volume, High-Intensity Whole-Body Calisthenics on Army ROTC Cadets. *Military medicine*. v. 180, n. 5, p. 492-498

Gist NH, Freese EC, Cureton KJ. (2014). Comparison of responses to two high-intensity intermittent exercise protocols. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*. v. 28, n. 11, p. 3033-3040.

Gray, SR. et al. (2016). High-intensity interval training: key data needed to bridge the gap from laboratory to public health policy. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. p. bjsports-2015-095705.

Hatle, H, Stobakk, PK, Molmen, HE, Bronstad, E, Tjonna, AE, Steinshamn, S, and Rognmo, O (2014). Effect of 24 sessions of high intensity aerobic interval training carried out at either high or moderate frequency, a randomized trial. *PLoS ONE*. v. 9, p. e88375.

Helms E, Fitschen JP, Aragon A, Cronin J, Schoenfeld BJ. (2015). Recommendations for natural bodybuilding contest preparation: Resistance and cardiovascular training. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. v.55, p.164-178.

Impellizzeri FM. et al. (2006). Physiological and performance effects of generic versus specific aerobic training in soccer players. *International journal of sports medicine*. v. 27, n. 6, p. 483-492.

Janning PR, et al. (2009). Influence of resistance exercises order performance on postexercise hypotension in hypertensive elderly. *Revista Brasileira de Medicina do Esporte*. v. 15, n. 5, p. 338-341.

Keating SE, Machan EA, O'Connor HT, ; Gerofi JA, Sainsbury A, Caterson ID, Johnson NA. (2014). Continuous Exercise but Not High Intensity Interval Training Improves Fat Distribution in Overweight Adults. *Journal of Obesity*, ID 834865.

Mata JD, Oliver JM, Jagim AR, Jones MT. (2016). Sex differences in strength and power support the use of a mixed-model approach to resistance training programing. 2:02-07.

McRae G et al. (2012). Extremely low volume, whole-body aerobic–resistance training improves aerobic fitness and muscular endurance in females. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*. v. 37, n. 6, p. 1124-1131.

Mazurek K, Zmijewski P, Krawczyk K et al. (2016). High intensity interval exercise and moderate continuous cycle training in a physical education program me improves health-related ness in young females. *Biol Sport*. 33(2):139–144.

Osawa Y et al. (2014). Effects of 16-week high-intensity interval training using upper and lower body ergometers on aerobic fitness and morphological changes in healthy men: a preliminary study. *Open access journal of sports medicine*. v. 5, p. 257.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Pauletto B. (1986). Choice and order of exercises. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*. v. 8, n. 2, p. 71-74.

Pinho RWS, Braz TV, Cruz WA, Santos AB, Ribeiro C, Germano MD, AOKI MS, Lopes CR. (2016). Effect of internal training load on VO2max of adult women. *R. Bras. Ci. e Mov.* 24 (1):43-51.

Rozenek, Ralph et al. (2016). Acute Cardiopulmonary And Metabolic Responses To High-Intensity Interval Training Protocols Using 60s Of Work And 60s Recovery. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*.

Santos, Diego et al. (2009). Analysis of the order of the exercises of the inferior member son the number of repetitions. *Revista Brasileira de Prescrição e Fisiologia do Exercício*. v. 3, n. 16, p. 349-354.

Semenick, Douglas M.; Adams, Kela O. (1987). Sports performance series: The vertical jump: a kinesiological analysis with recommendations for strength and conditioning programming. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*. v. 9, n. 3, p. 5-11.

Simão R, et al. (2007). Influence of exercise order on the number of repetitions performed and perceived exertion during resistance exercise in women. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*. v. 21, n. 1, p. 23-28.

Simão R, Farinatti PTV, Polito MD, Maior AS, Fleck SJ. (2005). Influence of exercise order on the number of repetitions performed and perceived during resistive exercises. *J Strength Cond Res*.19:84-8.

Stoggl T, Sperlich B. (2014). Polarized training has greater impact on key endurance variables than threshold, high intensity, or high volume training. *Front Physiol*. v. 5, p. 33.

Tabata I et al. (1997). Metabolic profile of high intensity intermittent exercises. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. v. 29, n. 3, p. 390-395.

Tiggemann CL, Pinto RS, Krueel LFM. (2010). Perceived Exertion in Strength Training. *Rev Bras Med ESporte*. Vol. 16, n. 4, p. 301-309.

Tucker WJ, et al. (2015). Physiological Responses to High-Intensity Interval Exercise Differing in Interval Duration. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*. v. 29, n. 12, p. 3326-3335.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 - Distribution of loads of time on HIIT. ST: stimulation time; RT: recovery time; TT: Total training time in the session.

Figure 2. Classification of according to the complexity of the motor gesture exercises. (A): Exercise jump jack; (B): burpee exercise.

Figure 3. Classification of according to the complexity of the motor gesture exercises.

Table 1. Proposal for HIIT whole body, with different levels of fitness.

Parameters	Beginner	Intermediate	Advanced
Exercise selection	Pattern	Pattern and combined	Combined
Frequency (days per week)	2-3	3-4	5
<i>External load</i>			
Effort time (sec)	30"	30"	30"
Recovery time (sec)	60"	30"	15"
Session time (sec)	30"	30"	30"
Movement amount*	Maximal	Maximal	Maximal
<i>Internal load</i>			
RPE (score)	9-10	9-10	9-10

*Maximal number of movements during efforts time. RPE: *rating of perceived exertion* scale (0-10).

120x65mm (121 x 121 DPI)

10x5mm (600 x 600 DPI)

Exercise	Classification
<div>Squat</div> <div> </div>	Pattern
<div>Split</div> <div> </div>	Pattern
<div>Squat jump</div> <div> </div>	Combined
<div>Split squat</div> <div> </div>	Combined

16x15mm (600 x 600 DPI)