



## UWS Academic Portal

### **Comparative effects of single vs. double weekly plyometric training sessions on jump, sprint and change of directions abilities of elite youth football players**

Bianchi, Mattia; Coratella, Giuseppe; Dello Iacono, Antonio; Beato, Marco

*Published in:*  
The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness

*DOI:*  
[10.23736/S0022-4707.18.08804-7](https://doi.org/10.23736/S0022-4707.18.08804-7)

Published: 12/06/2019

*Document Version*  
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication on the UWS Academic Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Bianchi, M., Coratella, G., Dello Iacono, A., & Beato, M. (2019). Comparative effects of single vs. double weekly plyometric training sessions on jump, sprint and change of directions abilities of elite youth football players. *The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 59(6), 910-915. <https://doi.org/10.23736/S0022-4707.18.08804-7>

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the UWS Academic Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact [pure@uws.ac.uk](mailto:pure@uws.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Comparative effects of single vs. double weekly plyometric training sessions on jump,**  
2 **sprint and COD abilities of elite youth football players**

3

4 Mattia Bianchi<sup>1</sup>, Giuseppe Coratella<sup>2</sup>, Antonio Dello Iacono<sup>3,4</sup>, Marco Beato<sup>5</sup>

5 1. Department of Sports Science, Team Ticino AC, Tenero, Switzerland.

6 2. Department of Biomedical Sciences for Health, University of Milan, Italy.

7 3. The Academic College at Wingate, Wingate Institute, Netanya, Israel.

8 4. Sport Science Department, Maccabi Tel Aviv FC, Tel Aviv, Israel.

9 5. School of Science, Technology and Engineering, University of Suffolk, Ipswich, UK.

10

11 **Abstract**

12

13 **BACKGROUND:** Plyometrics are widely implemented as training methodology for  
14 enhancing functional sports performance. Although several studies have analysed the  
15 plyometrics effects due to training plans with a frequency of 2-3 times a week, few of them  
16 provided evidence supporting an equal efficiency of similar training programs implementing  
17 lower training frequency such as one training session a week.

18 **METHODS:** Twenty-one players (elite academy, Switzerland) were included in the current  
19 study (mean  $\pm$  SD; age  $17 \pm 0.8$  years, weight  $70.1 \pm 6.4$  kg, height  $177.4 \pm 6.2$  cm). This  
20 study used a randomised pre-post parallel group trial design. The participants were assigned  
21 to either a low-volume plyometric training group (LPG = 10 participants) or a high-volume  
22 plyometric training group (HPG = 11 participants). A long jump test, a single-leg triple hop  
23 test, sprint (10, 30 and 40 m) and 505 change of directions (COD) test were performed.

24 **RESULTS:** Exercise-induced meaningful changes in performance for both LPG and HPG  
25 occurred after the training. LPG and HPG reported improvements in long jump (ES=1.0 and

26 0.77), triple hop right (ES=0.32 and 0.28), triple hop left (ES=0.46 and 0.32), 10 m sprint  
27 (ES=0.62 and 1.0). CONCLUSIONS: both LPG and HPG are effective training modalities  
28 inducing benefits in jump and sprint tests for elite young football players. Fitness coaches and  
29 sports scientists could integrate their training plans with the protocols described in this study.

30

31 Keywords: soccer, agility, exercise training

32

### 33 **Introduction**

34 In football, the requirement for frequent changes in the type of movements (e.g.,  
35 walking, running, sprinting, jumping, tackling), speed (e.g., accelerations, decelerations),  
36 direction, and technical tasks features the activity profile as intermittent in nature <sup>1-3</sup>. Briefly,  
37 high-intensity running and sprint-type activities are considered to be crucial determinants for  
38 successful performance <sup>4</sup>. Previous analyses showed that outfield football players cover  
39 distances of between 10 and 13 km during the matches <sup>3</sup>. Of these, 8% to 12% were  
40 represented by high-intensity running or sprinting, with inter-player differences occurring due  
41 to the specific playing role <sup>3</sup>. Based on the multifaceted nature of physical requirements in  
42 football, several methods have been developed to improve players' fitness parameters such as  
43 endurance, strength, power and speed <sup>5,6</sup>.

44 During a football match, muscle power and strength are critical physical factors for  
45 successful participation <sup>2,7</sup>. Plyometric activities are widely implemented as training  
46 methodology for enhancing functional sports performance <sup>8</sup>. Plyometric training generally  
47 involves bounding exercises (e.g. multiple jumps), as well as high-impact exercises (e.g. drop  
48 jumps) <sup>9-11</sup>. Previous studies found that a combination of vertical and horizontal plyometric  
49 exercises offer practical advantages compared with methods that involve a single directional  
50 component (e.g. only vertical or horizontal jumps) <sup>6,12</sup>. These conditioning activities, eliciting  
51 improvements of the mechanical muscle properties (i.e. strength, power and rate of force  
52 development) that cover a great functional role for most of the demanded movements of a  
53 football match, which may have a critical impact of the match physical performances such as  
54 sprinting, change of directions (COD), side cutting, throwing and fights situations <sup>4,10,13-15</sup>.

55 The literature suggests that the plyometric training effects may depend on several key  
56 variables, including: the volume, the frequency, and the duration of the protocol, as well as  
57 the period of the season and the subjects' fitness characteristics <sup>16-18</sup>. Training variables

58 management has a critical impact on players' fitness adaptations that occur in elite youth  
59 football players<sup>19</sup>. However, despite the popularity and wide appeal of plyometric training,  
60 few published studies have employed a randomised trial design involving elite young players.  
61 Although several studies have analysed the plyometric training-induced effects with a  
62 frequency of 2-3 times a week (effect size (ES) from 0.26 to 2.8), few of them provided  
63 evidences supporting an equal efficiency of similar training programs implementing lower  
64 training frequency such as one training session a week<sup>10</sup>. It seems reasonable to investigate  
65 the effects of plyometric training programs of different volume considering that, at elite youth  
66 level, a very limited time can be dedicated to specific physical development due to the  
67 congested matches fixture (e.g. national and international tournaments) and associated travels  
68<sup>20</sup>.

69 To date, evidences about the effect of a single plyometric session a week are limited,  
70 and few are the randomised trial involving elite young players<sup>21,22</sup>. Moreover, no data are  
71 available regarding comparisons between the magnitude of the effects of a single plyometric  
72 session a week compared with a higher training volume (twice a week). Therefore, the aim of  
73 this study was to compare the effects of two 8-week plyometric training programs including  
74 either a single or two sessions a week, on the on jump, sprint and COD abilities of young elite  
75 football players during the pre-season period.

76

## 77 **Methods**

### 78 *Participants*

79 Twenty-three youth football players (elite academy, Switzerland) were considered  
80 during the enrolment process. Only outfield players were included (two goalkeepers were  
81 excluded). Therefore, twenty-one participants were included in the current study (mean  $\pm$  SD;  
82 age  $17 \pm 0.8$  years, weight  $70.1 \pm 6.4$  kg, height  $177.4 \pm 6.2$  cm). All participants were

83 informed about the potential risks and benefits of the study and signed an informed consent  
84 (parental consent has been given). The Ethics Committee of the Department of Science and  
85 Technology, University of Suffolk (UK) approved this study. All procedures were conducted  
86 according to the Declaration of Helsinki for human studies.

87

### 88 *Design*

89 This study used a randomised pre-post parallel group trial design. The randomisation  
90 was performed according to a computer-generated sequence. The participants were then  
91 assigned to either a low-volume plyometric training group (LPG = 10 participants) or a high-  
92 volume plyometric training group (HPG = 11 participants). Nineteen participants completed  
93 the study, while two participants (one for each group) dropped out due to contact injuries not  
94 associated with the protocol execution. Every player was considered for the final statistical  
95 analysis (intentional to treat analysis). CONSORT participant flow is reported in figure 1<sup>23</sup>.  
96 Considering the players' level, the period of the season, the proximity to international  
97 tournaments, and the necessity of elite players to maximise their performance, the  
98 investigators took the decision to randomised the sample in two training groups without any  
99 control group. The duration of this study was 8 weeks. Training protocols, as well as the  
100 baseline tests and post-training assessments, were performed before the beginning of the  
101 official season (from August 2017). Players were familiarised with the testing procedures  
102 because it was part of the fitness test routine of the club. During this study, the team  
103 performed 4 training session a week as team practices as well as an official match every  
104 Saturday (Friday and Sunday were days off).

105

### 106 *Tests*

107           The participants replicated the same tests 3 times, with an adequate recovery among  
108 the trials and the best scores in every test were used for the data analysis. A long jump test  
109 was utilised to evaluate the ability in horizontal non-rebounding jumping task. A single-leg  
110 triple hop distance test (triple hop test) was performed with both the legs to evaluate the  
111 performance in rebounding jump ability <sup>24</sup>. Players performed 3 consecutive maximal hops  
112 forward with the same limb. Arm swing was allowed. The intraclass correlation coefficient  
113 (ICC) of this test was 0.95. Sprint 10, 30 and 40 m were performed to evaluate players'  
114 improvements in sprint ability. For this purpose, infrared timing gates (Microgate, Bolzano,  
115 Italy) were placed at the start and each of the mentioned distances. 505 COD test was utilised  
116 to evaluate improvements in the COD ability <sup>25</sup>. On the "Go" command, the subjects were  
117 instructed to sprint for 15 m (through the timing gates at 10 m), turn on their preferred foot,  
118 and sprint back through the timing gates. The validity and specifically of this test was proved  
119 previously in football <sup>25</sup>.

120

### 121 *Training*

122           LPG performed once time per week (on Monday) a protocol of 4 x 5 drop jumps from  
123 60 cm height followed by a subsequent jump over two obstacles (15 cm height), 4 x 6  
124 horizontal jumps, as well as 4 x 6 jumps over obstacles of 15 cm height. The HJG performed  
125 the same protocol but twice a week (Monday and Wednesday). Both groups after the  
126 plyometric protocol performed a COD and sprint training (3 sets of 3 short shuttle runs with 4  
127 COD each, for an amount of 36 COD).

128

129           Please, table 1 here.

130

### 131 *Statistical analysis*

132 In the current study, an intention-to-treat analysis was performed, which involved all  
133 the participants as originally randomized and used the baseline values for the follow up (thus,  
134 drop out were included in the final analysis, see figure 1) <sup>26</sup>. Data were presented as mean  $\pm$   
135 standard deviation (SD). Outcomes were expressed as value, with 90% confidence interval  
136 (CI). Robust estimates of 90% CI and heteroskedasticity were calculated using bootstrapping  
137 technique (randomly 1000 bootstrap samples) <sup>27</sup>. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to  
138 evaluate within-group differences. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), using baseline values  
139 as covariate, was employed to detect possible between-groups differences <sup>28</sup>. When  
140 significant F-values were found, post hoc analysis was performed (Bonferroni). The  
141 significance level was set at  $p \leq 0.05$ . ES based on the Cohen d principle was interpreted as  
142 trivial  $<0.2$ , small 0.2-0.6, moderate 0.6-1.2, large 1.2-2.0, very large  $>2.0$  <sup>28</sup>. Statistical  
143 analyses were performed by SPSS software version 20 for Windows 7, Chicago, USA.

144

## 145 **Results**

146 Exercise-induced meaningful changes in performance for both LPG and HPG  
147 occurred after the training. Within-group changes for LPG and HPG are reported in Tables 2.  
148 However, between-group analysis did not report any statistical difference: long jump ( $F =$   
149  $1.118$ ,  $p = 0.304$ ), triple hop test right ( $F = 1.576$ ,  $p = 0.225$ ), triple hop test left ( $F = 0.388$ ,  $p$   
150  $= 0.541$ ), 10 m sprint ( $F = 0.666$ ,  $p = 0.425$ ), 30 m sprint ( $F = 0.627$ ,  $p = 0.439$ ), 40 m sprint  
151 ( $F = 3.50$ ,  $p = 0.078$ ) and 505 agility ( $F = 0.706$ ,  $p = 0.412$ ).

152

153 Please, table 2 here.

154

## 155 **Discussion**



156 As hypothesised, after 8 weeks of training, meaningful within-group differences were  
157 found, with positive effects for both groups (LPG and HPG) in most of the assessed tests  
158 (except for the LPG in the 505-COD test). This study shows that both short-term (8 weeks)  
159 plyometric protocols offered some meaningful improvements in jump, speed and agility  
160 capabilities. However, after the between group analysis, no meaningful differences were  
161 found when comparing the effectiveness of the two training protocols.

162 Plyometric training is a widespread form of physical conditioning that involves  
163 jumping exercises wherein the stretch-shortening cycle muscle action represents the  
164 potentiating underlying neurophysiological mechanism <sup>1</sup>. Studies generally report that  
165 plyometric training regimen is effective for improving neuromuscular impulse-dependent  
166 components <sup>10,29,30</sup>, with positive transfer effects on specific tasks such as acceleration,  
167 jumping, sprinting, and COD ability <sup>12,29,30</sup>. In a recent review, Asadi and colleagues reported  
168 positive effects (ES from 0.26 to 2.8) of plyometric training in sports, thus supporting the  
169 results found in this study <sup>22</sup>. Moreover, Loturco et al., found that plyometrics can transfer  
170 specific neuromuscular gains to acceleration and speed abilities of football players, such  
171 findings confirm our results <sup>6</sup>. The data reported in the current study support the positive  
172 effect found in previous research studies using plyometric training.

173 The outcomes found in the current study are particularly interesting since pertaining to  
174 elite academy athletes. Elite players are accustomed to performing specific sport related  
175 actions, thus, improvements in their fitness capabilities are much harder to achieve when  
176 compared with other populations (e.g. amateurs) <sup>31</sup>. This could explain the meaningful but  
177 generally small effect found in this study (table 2). The players enrolled in this study have a  
178 training frequency of 4 times per week and played once a week (every Saturday). This  
179 training volume is lower than that reported in previous investigations performed with  
180 professional football players, therefore the positive effects found in this study could be likely

181 smaller whether a senior professional sample (accustomed to higher training volume) would  
182 have been involved <sup>10</sup>. Therefore, once plyometric training session per week could not have  
183 been sufficient to elicit such adaptations in a different sample (e.g. senior professional  
184 players).

185 As reported above, both LPG and HPG showed improvements in the post-training  
186 tests. Nevertheless, we have not found any significant between-group difference following the  
187 protocols. However, HPG had a beneficial effect on 505 COD test ( $p = 0.039$ ), while LPG did  
188 not report meaningful results ( $p = 0.197$ ). Therefore, this study highlight that a higher  
189 plyometric training volume could not be advantageous than a lower one with elite academy  
190 players in pre-season (also if it is plausible from a theoretical point of view) <sup>30</sup>. These results  
191 could be explained considering the low plyometric volume adopted (around 90 jumps a  
192 session), as well as the level of the players enrolled <sup>10,13</sup>. The decision to develop such a  
193 plyometric volume per training session was chosen to satisfy the professional duties (based on  
194 the competitive calendar) of the players/team, and should not be considered a limitation (it is  
195 an ecological protocol).

196 This study presents some limitations, firstly, the small sample enrolled. A greater  
197 sample could have offered a better understanding about the effect obtained by LPG and HPG.  
198 Future studies could replicate this protocol to confirm our findings. Another limitation that  
199 should be considered is that the adopted research design, including a homogeneous group of  
200 youth football players and the lack of an additional parallel control group, may limit the  
201 opportunity to make broader generalizations to other populations represented by different age  
202 groups or athletes of different levels or gender. Therefore, wider generalisation cannot be  
203 inferred and the results could not be extended to other specific populations (e.g. professional  
204 male and elite female players).

205

## 206 **Conclusions**

207           This study supports previous findings suggesting that one plyometric training session a  
208 week may provide a sufficient training-dose leading to meaningful improvements in jump and  
209 speed parameters in elite young football players<sup>12,29</sup>. Both LPG and HPG are effective  
210 training modalities inducing benefits in jump tests, as well as in 10, 30 and 40 m sprint tests  
211 for elite young football players. Fitness coaches and sports scientists could integrate their  
212 training plans with the protocols described in this study. Due to the lack of statistical  
213 difference between LJG and HJG, authors recommend using a low plyometric training  
214 volume equivalent to 80-100 jumps a week during the pre-season for improving jump,  
215 sprinting and COD abilities in elite young football players. Future studies are needed to  
216 confirm the results of this study.

217

## 218 **Acknowledgements**

219           The authors would like to thank the management and players of the team who  
220 participated in the current investigation. No economic incentives were provided.

221

## 222 **References**

- 223 1. Beato M, Bianchi M, Coratella G, Merlini M, Drust B. Effects of plyometric and  
224 directional training on speed and jump performance in elite youth soccer players. *J*  
225 *strength Cond Res.* 2018;32(2):289-296.
- 226 2. Beato M, Jamil M. Intra-system reliability of SICS: video-tracking system  
227 (Digital.Stadium®) for performance analysis in football. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness.*  
228 May 2017:in printing.
- 229 3. Mohr M, Krstrup P, Bangsbo J. Fatigue in soccer: a brief review. *J Sports Sci.*  
230 2005;23(6):593-599.

- 231 4. Impellizzeri FM, Rampinini E, Castagna C, Bishop D, Ferrari Bravo D, Tibaudi A, et  
232 al. Validity of a repeated-sprint test for football. *Int J Sport Med*. 2008;29:899-905.
- 233 5. Christopher J, Beato M, Hulton AT. Manipulation of exercise to rest ratio within set  
234 duration on physical and technical outcomes during small-sided games in elite youth  
235 soccer players. *Hum Mov Sci*. 2016;48:1-6.
- 236 6. Loturco I, Pereira LA, Kobal R, Zanetti V, Kitamura K, Abad CC, et al. Transference  
237 effect of vertical and horizontal plyometrics on sprint performance of high-level U-20  
238 soccer players. *J Sports Sci*. 2015;33(20):2182-2191.
- 239 7. Pedersen M, Vorup J, Nistrup A, Wikman JM, Alstrøm JM, Melcher PS, et al. Effect  
240 of team sports and resistance training on physical function, quality of life, and  
241 motivation in older adults. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2017;Printing.
- 242 8. Chtara M, Rouissi M, Haddad M, Chtara H, Chaalali A, Owen A, et al. Specific  
243 physical trainability in elite young soccer players: efficiency over 6 weeks' in-season  
244 training. *Biol Sport*. 2017;34(2):137-148.
- 245 9. Jarvis MM, Graham-Smith P, Comfort P. A Methodological Approach to Quantifying  
246 Plyometric Intensity. *J strength Cond Res*. 2016;30(9):2522-2532.
- 247 10. Markovic G, Mikulic P. Neuro-musculoskeletal and performance adaptations to lower-  
248 extremity plyometric training. *Sports Med*. 2010;40(10):859-895.
- 249 11. Hammami M, Negra Y, Shephard RJ, Chelly MS. The Effect of Standard Strength vs.  
250 Contrast Strength Training on the Development of Sprint, Agility, Repeated Change of  
251 Direction, and Jump in Junior Male Soccer Players. *J strength Cond Res*.  
252 2017;31(4):901-912.
- 253 12. Wang Y-C, Zhang N. Effects of plyometric training on soccer players. *Exp Ther Med*.  
254 2016;12(2):550-554.
- 255 13. Zamparo P, Zadro I, Lazzer S, Beato M, Sepulcri L. Energetics of shuttle runs: The

- 256 effects of distance and change of direction. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.*  
257 2014;9(6):1033-1039.
- 258 14. Dello Iacono A, Martone D, Padulo J. Acute Effects of Drop-Jump Protocols on  
259 Explosive Performances of Elite Handball Players. *J strength Cond Res.*  
260 2016;30(11):3122-3133.
- 261 15. Dello Iacono A, Martone D, Milic M, Padulo J. Vertical- vs. Horizontal-Oriented Drop  
262 Jump Training: Chronic Effects on Explosive Performances of Elite Handball Players.  
263 *J Strength Cond Res.* 2017;31(4):921-931.
- 264 16. Coratella G, Beato M, Schena F. The specificity of the Loughborough Intermittent  
265 Shuttle Test for recreational soccer players is independent of their intermittent running  
266 ability. *Res Sport Med.* 2016;24(4):363-374.
- 267 17. Caldwell BP, Peters DM. Seasonal variation in physiological fitness of a  
268 semiprofessional soccer team. *J strength Cond Res.* 2009;23(5):1370-1377.
- 269 18. McMillan K, Helgerud J, Grant SJ, Newell J, Wilson J, Macdonald R, et al. Lactate  
270 threshold responses to a season of professional British youth soccer. *Br J Sports Med.*  
271 2005;39(7):432-436.
- 272 19. Loturco I, Pereira LA, Kobal R, Zanetti V, Gil S, Kitamura K, et al. Half-squat or jump  
273 squat training under optimum power load conditions to counteract power and speed  
274 decrements in Brazilian elite soccer players during the preseason. *J Sports Sci.*  
275 2015;33(12):1283-1292.
- 276 20. Thorpe RT, Strudwick AJ, Buchheit M, Atkinson G, Drust B, Gregson W. Monitoring  
277 Fatigue During the In-Season Competitive Phase in Elite Soccer Players. *Int J Sports*  
278 *Physiol Perform.* 2015;10(8):958-964.
- 279 21. Taylor JM, Macpherson TW, McLaren SJ, Spears I, Weston M. Two Weeks of  
280 Repeated-Sprint Training in Soccer: To Turn or Not to Turn? *Int J Sports Physiol*

- 281 *Perform.* 2016;11(8):998-1004.
- 282 22. Asadi A, Arazi H, Young WB, Villarreal ES De. The Effects of Plyometric Training on  
283 Change-of-Direction Ability: A Meta-Analysis. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.*  
284 2016;11:563-573.
- 285 23. Moher D, Schulz KF, Altman DG. The CONSORT statement: revised  
286 recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomized  
287 trials. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;134(8):657-662.  
288 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11304106>.
- 289 24. Hamilton RT, Shultz SJ, Schmitz RJ, Perrin DH. Triple-hop distance as a valid  
290 predictor of lower limb strength and power. *J Athl Train.* 2008;43(2):144-151.
- 291 25. Stewart PF, Turner AN, Miller SC. Reliability, factorial validity, and interrelationships  
292 of five commonly used change of direction speed tests. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.*  
293 2014;24(3):500-506.
- 294 26. Ellenberg JH. Intent-to-treat analysis versus as-treated analysis. *Ther Innov Regul Sci.*  
295 1996;30(2):535-544.
- 296 27. Haukoos JS, Lewis RJ. Advanced statistics: Bootstrapping confidence intervals for  
297 statistics with “difficult” distributions. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2005;12(4):360-365.
- 298 28. Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, Hanin J. Progressive statistics for studies  
299 in sports medicine and exercise science. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2009;41(1):3-13.
- 300 29. Yanci J, Los Arcos A, Camara J, Castillo D, García A, Castagna C. Effects of  
301 horizontal plyometric training volume on soccer players’ performance. *Res Sports Med.*  
302 2016;24(4):308-319.
- 303 30. Slimani M, Chamari K, Miarka B, Del Vecchio FB, Chéour F. Effects of Plyometric  
304 Training on Physical Fitness in Team Sport Athletes: A Systematic Review. *J Hum*  
305 *Kinet.* 2016;53(1):231-247.

- 306 31. Zamparo P, Bolomini F, Nardello F, Beato M. Energetics (and kinematics) of short  
307 shuttle runs. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2015;115(9):1985-1994.

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339 Table 1. A standard weekly programme for an elite youth football team.

340

Day	Training Programme
Monday	Warm-up, 20 min; <b>Pliometrics (LPG and HPG) 20 min;</b> Technical/tactical, 25 min; Play, 15 min
Tuesday	Morning: Strength training and injury prevention (gym), 40 min.  Afternoon: Warm-up, 15 min; Technical/tactical, 25 min; Play, 25 min; Moderate-intensity aerobic training or SSG, 20 min
Wednesday	Warm-up, 15 min; <b>Pliometrics (HPG) 20 min</b> Technical/tactical, 30 min; Play, 20 min
Thursday	Warm-up, 15 min; Speed training (long and short), 15 min Technical/tactical, 25 min; Play, 15 min
Friday	Day off
Saturday	Match
Sunday	Day off

341

342 CODs = Change of directions; SSG = Small sided games

343

344

345

346

347

348

349



350 Table 2. Summary of baseline and post-training data before and after 8 weeks of plyometric  
 351 training, LPG (n = 10) and HPG (n = 11). Data are presented in mean  $\pm$  SDs.  
 352

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Follow-up</b>	<b>Delta difference</b>	<b>P level</b>	<b>ES</b>
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	(90% CI)		(interpretation)
<b>LPG</b>					
Long jump	2.33 $\pm$ 0.15	2.48 $\pm$ 0.21	0.15	0.030	1.0
(cm)			(0.07; 0.27)		(moderate)
Triple hop right	7.02 $\pm$ 0.72	7.25 $\pm$ 0.56	0.23	0.022	0.32
(m)			(0.12; 0.34)		(small)
Triple hop left	6.90 $\pm$ 0.60	7.18 $\pm$ 0.66	0.28	0.031	0.46
(m)			(0.07; 0.48)		(small)
Sprint 10 m (s)	1.84 $\pm$ 0.08	1.79 $\pm$ 0.08	-0.04	0.003	0.62
			(-0.02; -0.07)		(moderate)
Sprint 30 m (s)	4.25 $\pm$ 0.15	4.19 $\pm$ 0.15	-0.05	0.014	0.4
			(-0.02; -0.09)		(small)
Sprint 40 m (s)	5.48 $\pm$ 0.24	5.27 $\pm$ 0.27	-0.21	0.029	0.87
			(-0.05; -0.04)		(moderate)
505 COD test	4.78 $\pm$ 0.12	4.69 $\pm$ 0.17	-0.08	0.197	0.75
(s)			(0.03; -0.19)		(moderate)
<b>HPG</b>					
Long jump	2.18 $\pm$ 0.14	2.30 $\pm$ 0.17	0.12	0.040	0.77
(cm)			(0.04; 0.20)		(moderate)
Triple hop right			0.15	0.021	0.28
(m)	6.81 $\pm$ 0.52	6.96 $\pm$ 0.59	(0.05; 0.30)		(small)

---

Triple hop left	$6.75 \pm 0.70$	$6.96 \pm 0.68$	0.2	0.015	0.32
(m)			(0.08; 0.33)		(small)
Sprint 10 m (s)	$1.85 \pm 0.07$	$1.77 \pm 0.08$	-0.07	0.004	1.0
			(-0.02; -0.12)		(moderate)
Sprint 30 m (s)	$4.36 \pm 0.16$	$4.26 \pm 0.15$	-0.09	0.007	0.64
			(-0.04; -0.14)		(moderate)
Sprint 40 m (s)	$5.52 \pm 0.18$	$5.46 \pm 0.17$	-0.06	0.004	0.39
			(-0.03; -0.09)		(moderate)
505 COD test	$4.83 \pm 0.16$	$4.73 \pm 0.16$	-0.10	0.039	0.64
(s)			(-0.14; -0.01)		(moderate)

---

353

354 SD = Standard deviations; CI = Confidence intervals; ES = Effect size, m = meters; s =

355 seconds, COD = Changes of direction, LPG = low volume plyometric group, HPG = high

356 volume plyometric group

357

358