



UWS Academic Portal

Nordic walking versus natural walking

Padulo, Johnny; Iuliano, Enzo; Dello Iacono, Antonio; Milic, Mirjana; Rizzi, Manuela; Ardigò, Luca Paolo

Published in:
International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport

DOI:
[10.1080/24748668.2018.1514565](https://doi.org/10.1080/24748668.2018.1514565)

Published: 01/01/2018

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication on the UWS Academic Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Padulo, J., Iuliano, E., Dello Iacono, A., Milic, M., Rizzi, M., & Ardigò, L. P. (2018). Nordic walking versus natural walking: an easy approach to comparing metabolic demands. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 18(5), 686-692. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24748668.2018.1514565>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the UWS Academic Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact pure@uws.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis Group in *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport* on 4 September 2018, available online: <http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/24748668.2018.1514565>.

Nordic walking vs. natural walking: an easy approach to comparing metabolic demands

Johnny Padulo^{1,2}, Enzo Iuliano³, Antonio Dello Iacono^{4,5}, Mirjana Milić²,
Manuela Rizzi¹, and Luca Paolo Ardigo⁶

¹*Sport Science, University eCampus, Novedrate, Italy*

²*Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Split, Split, Croatia*

³*Department of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Molise, Campobasso, Italy*

⁴*Zinman College of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Wingate Institute, Netanya, Israel*

⁵*Institute of Clinical Exercise and Health Science, School of Health and Life Sciences, University of the West of Scotland, Hamilton, United Kingdom*

⁶*School of Exercise and Sport Science, Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, University of Verona, Verona, Italy*

Corresponding author: Luca Paolo Ardigo, School of Exercise and Sport Science,
Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, University of
Verona, Via Felice Casorati 43, 37131 Verona, Italy, e-mail: luca.ardigo@univr.it

Word count: 3306

Nordic walking vs. natural walking: an easy approach to comparing metabolic demands

The aim of the present study was to compare the metabolic demands of Nordic walking vs. natural walking using a highly reproducible protocol in a natural environment. Fourteen physically active subjects (3 males and 11 females, 36.6 ± 8.3 years, 63.1 ± 11.4 kg, 1.67 ± 0.06 m) walked at a freely chosen speed along a 2.5-km course in a game reserve, using Nordic walking and natural walking in random order. They were monitored for speed with a mobile phone GPS receiver, and for lap average and maximum heart rate with a belt heart rate monitor. ANOVA showed significantly higher lap average heart rate ($P=0.004$) and maximum heart rate ($P=0.004$) in Nordic walking compared with natural walking. Due to its increased metabolic load, Nordic walking revealed to be an effective means for improving cardiovascular fitness in populations with a low tolerance for exertion. Using this simple and ecological approach promises to be effective for further evaluation of other variables and/or use in other contexts (e.g., high altitude and climbing).

Keywords: Global Positioning System; locomotion; physiological effort; sport science

Introduction

Nordic walking is a physical activity where specially designed poles are used to support the natural walking activity (Pellegrini et al., 2015). Nordic walking is one of a number of legged locomotion modes, such as natural walking, race-walking (Padulo, 2015) and running (Padulo, Chamari, & Ardigò, 2014). It is an increasingly popular exercise, with more than seven million people involved in its practice in over 30 countries, and it is considered to be the fastest growing form of exercise in Europe (Pantzar & Shove, 2010). Previous studies demonstrated that Nordic walking can produce beneficial effects, both in the short- and long-term, on maximum oxygen consumption (VO_{2max}), resting heart rate, and exercise capacity, as reported in the systematic review of Tschentscher, Niederseer, and Niebauer (2013). The same review reported that the beneficial effects of Nordic walking were found both in healthy people and in subjects with major cardiovascular or metabolic diseases, including *diabetes mellitus*, obesity, hypertension, and peripheral artery diseases Tschentscher et al. (2013). Beneficial effects of Nordic walking were found in those suffering from Parkinson's disease as well (Monteiro et al., 2016). Such beneficial effects and the relatively easy and safe practice of this form of exercise make this activity a useful tool for improving physical fitness and preventing cardiovascular or metabolic diseases in populations with different characteristics. Probably for this reason, a number of studies have been performed in order to evaluate the effect of Nordic walking on physiological and psychological variables and its effectiveness in rehabilitation or in adapted physical activity programs (Morgulec-Adamowicz, Marszałek, & Jagustyn, 2011). However, when analysing the literature, what is immediately apparent is the very large variety of contexts, protocols, and methods used in the different investigations concerning Nordic walking. Despite such heterogeneity of chosen approaches, investigations making use of a highly

reproducible protocol in a natural environment are missing. Some studies focused on the metabolic expenditure of Nordic walking performed on different surfaces, or with different pole lengths (Foissac, Berthollet, Seux, Belli, & Millet, 2008; Hansen & Smith, 2009; Schiffer, Knicker, Dannöhl, & Strüder, 2009). Other studies focused on the effects of Nordic walking on cardiovascular risk factors or on anthropometric and metabolic variables in individuals with type 2 *diabetes* (Fritz et al., 2013). Furthermore, other studies investigated the biomechanical aspects of this kind of activity (Hagen, Hennig, & Stieldorf, 2011). Regarding literature on this matter, a recent systematic review by Pérez-Soriano, Encarnación-Martínez, Aparicio-Aparicio, Giménez, and Llana-Belloch (2014) grouped the articles on Nordic walking into three categories: (1) papers concerning the body's physiological response (31% of the total papers); (2) papers investigating fitness programs for improving people's health (45%); and (3) papers investigating biomechanical aspects (24%). However, this review concluded that, despite the many papers published, many aspects of Nordic walking remain uncovered.

The prevalence of a perception of safety concerning the metabolic load during Nordic walking could be one of the reasons for its highly increasing popularity. The high metabolic expenditure caused by Nordic walking, combined with the low rate of perceived exertion, make this form of exercise a valid and versatile tool for improving cardiovascular fitness in all those people who have a low tolerance for exertion, whether they are healthy or suffer from a cardiovascular or metabolic disease. In fact, Hansen and Smith (2009) affirmed that the metabolic expenditure during Nordic walking performed on flat ground was 67% greater than in natural walking, and that this metabolic expenditure could be further increased using a short pole length while walking uphill. Furthermore, Svoboda, Stejskal, Jakubec, and Krejčí (2011)

demonstrated that the values of oxygen consumption and HR during Nordic walking were higher not only at 0% slope but also at 5% and 10% slopes, in comparison to walking without poles.

Yet, there is heterogeneity in the procedures, aims, and technologies used in the different papers that make it difficult to perform any comparison of the data and the results obtained. The opinion of the authors of the present study is that the literature lacks an easy and highly reproducible protocol to test the metabolic demands of Nordic walking in a natural environment. Therefore, aim of the present study was to assess healthy adults simply (viz. naturally) walking and Nordic walking at freely chosen speed in a natural environment, in terms of heart rate and speed using a highly reproducible protocol. We hypothesized that 1) Nordic walking is more energetically demanding and 2) a highly reproducible protocol is effective enough to assess the two gaits.

Methods

Participants

For the sample size calculation, a pilot study that included a sample of 10 participants was performed *a priori* and gave a statistical power greater than 0.81. Therefore, fourteen participants (3 males and 11 females; age=36.6±8.3 yrs; body mass=63.1±11.4 kg; body height=1.67±0.06 m; body mass index [BMI]=22.3±2.9 kg/m²) volunteered for this study. None of the participants met the definition of “sedentary” as suggested by Pate, O'Neill, and Lobelo (2008), and consequently they were classified as “physically active”. To assure the safety of the participants during the trials and to avoid confounding influences on the results, the following exclusion criteria were used:

medical contraindication or presence of a relevant disease incompatible with the proposed interventions (e.g., joint or mobility impairments); history of ictus, stroke, or other cardiopulmonary disease; presence of important risk factors for cardiovascular diseases; moderate or severe obesity (i.e., $BMI > 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$; Shah & Braverman, 2012); and, use of medications that influence heart rate (HR) or cardiovascular function.

Participants were asked to ensure that they received sufficient sleep on the night before the trial, to avoid severe exercise, and not to consume alcohol or energy drinks during the 24 hours before the experiment. The study was designed in conformity with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethical committee. All participants gave their informed written consent.

Procedures

All the participants underwent two weeks of familiarization (two 2-h sessions per week) with natural walking (WK) and Nordic walking (NW). After these two weeks, the 14 participants were asked to walk at a freely chosen speed in a game reserve, along a path of 2.5 km with a slope (Padulo et al., 2014) of between -1 and 1% (i.e., basically on flat dirt ground). Participants walked two laps, for a total of 5 km, using WK for one lap and NW for the other one. The order in which to perform the gaits was randomly assigned in order to have seven participants using WK in the first lap and NW in the second lap, and the other seven participants using NW in the first lap and WK in the second lap.

All the participants wore comfortable clothes and running shoes (category A3), and used poles (Stride X-R, Gabel, Vicenza, Italy). Length of the pole was determined by multiplying the height of the participant (in centimeters) by 0.68, rounded down to the nearest 5 cm within a tolerance of 2.5 cm, as recommended by the International Nordic Walking Federation (Pellegrini et al., 2015).

In order to evaluate the walking speed during the trials, each participant was monitored with a Global Positioning System receiver (Ardigò, 2010; Ardigò & Capelli, 2012; Ardigò, Lippi, Salvagno, & Schena, 2011; Ardigò, Padulo, Zuliani A, & Capelli 2015; Fischer, Figueiredo, & Ardigò, 2015; Fischer, Tarperi, George, & Ardigò, 2014; Fuglsang, Padulo, Spoladore, Dalla Piazza, & Ardigò, 2017; Minetti, Formenti, & Ardigò, 2006), namely the one on an iPhone 6 (Apple, Cupertino, CA, USA) with Endomondo app (16.6.2, Under Armour Inc., Baltimore, MD, USA; downloadable from <https://www.endomondo.com/download>; Hongu et al., 2014). A belt HR monitor (Suunto Memory-belt, Suunto Oy, Vantaa, Finland) was used to continuously assess and record HR during the trials. HR was normalized as percentage of maximum heart rate for each participant (HR_{max}, theoretically calculated using the formula “208-0.7·age in years”; Tanaka, Monahan, & Seals, 2001).

Statistical analysis

Multivariate ANOVA with repeated measured (RM-MANOVA) was used to evaluate whether significant differences existed between the two gaits relative to three analysed variables, as follows: average HR value during the lap (HR-av, measured as %HR_{max}), maximum HR value recorded during the lap (HR-peak, measured as %HR_{max}), and average speed to cover the lap (Speed, measured in km/h). The two gaits were used as within-participant factors for repeated measures analysis (2 levels: WK vs. NW), whereas HR-av, HR-peak, and Speed were used as dependent variables. Alpha test level for statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. Effect size was calculated as partial eta squared (η^2_p). For these statistical analyses, the SPSS statistical package software was used (20.0, IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

RM-MANOVA showed significant differences between the two gaits ($F_{3,11}=4.247$, $P=0.032$, $\eta^2_p=0.537$). In particular, ANOVA with repeated measures showed significant differences in HR-av ($F_{1,12}=11.778$, $P=0.004$, $\eta^2_p=0.475$) and in HR-peak ($F_{1,12}=12.447$, $P=0.004$, $\eta^2_p=0.489$), with higher values for NW than WK in both cases, as showed in Figure 1. No significant differences were found in the Speed variable (5.80 ± 0.48 km/h during WK and 5.82 ± 0.52 km/h during NW, $F_{1,12}=2.167$, $P=0.165$, $\eta^2_p=0.143$).

Discussion

The main results of the present study were the significant differences found between the two gaits in the variables related to HR (both HR-av and HR-peak), while a significant difference in Speed was absent. These results are in accordance with previous studies (Pellegrini et al., 2015; Tschentscher et al., 2013) indicating that Nordic walking requires a metabolic expenditure higher than natural walking, when these two gaits are performed under equal conditions. In particular, it was demonstrated that higher values of HR peak are found in Nordic walking compared to natural walking, as in the present study (Church, Earnest, & Morss, 2002). Probably, the higher demand of metabolic expenditure is due to the contribution of the upper body muscles as required in Nordic walking (Tschentscher et al., 2013). In our study, one aspect worthy of consideration is that the participants did not decrease their speed during Nordic walking, despite their higher HR-av and HR-peak values. In other words, the participants did not reduce their physical activity level during Nordic walking, despite the higher metabolic expenditure. *A priori*, such a result could be explained with two hypotheses. The first hypothesis is that Nordic walking was not perceived as being more strenuous than natural walking.

The second hypothesis is that the participants did not reduce their speed because Nordic walking was perceived as being safer and more “comfortable”, despite the higher cardiovascular demand. By the way, such a hypothesis has already been documented in the animal realm and namely in running birds (Birn-Jeffery et al., 2014). Evidence from the literature supports the hypothesis that Nordic walking is not perceived as more strenuous than natural walking. In fact, the study of Church et al. (2002) affirmed that Nordic walking improved metabolic expenditure without significantly increasing the rate of perceived exertion. Similarly, the same conclusions were obtained by Barberan-Garcia et al. (2015), who demonstrated how Nordic walking enhanced oxygen uptake without increasing the rate of perceived exertion in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Positive effects were also observed in a study on patients with peripheral arterial disease (Oakley, Zwierska, Tew, Beard, & Saxton, 2008). Nordic walking enabled such patients, with intermittent claudication due to their pathology, to walk further with less pain, despite a higher workload. Similar function improvements were observed in participants with Parkinson’s disease as well (Monteiro et al., 2016).

The limit of the present study is that HR is only a proxy for the metabolic demand. HR measure is easy and this easiness generally prompts towards HR-based investigations. Such researches are easily reproducible by other scientists or simply interested people. The gold standard for short-to-middle term metabolic demand estimate is the VO₂ consumption measure. Furthermore, HR might be affected by many confounding variables, especially during NW, where arm movement is present and could stimulate sympathetic drive without any corresponding metabolic demand response.

Conclusions

The present study highlighted the relevant metabolic expenditure due to Nordic walking with an easy and highly reproducible procedure performed in a natural environment. The approach used was chosen and adopted in order to (1) estimate heart rate demand during the investigated gaits in an ecological setting, while (2) keeping it as easy and effective as possible in order to enable further evaluation of other variables and/or to be used in other contexts (e.g., high altitude and climbing). In fact, in a natural environment there are different variables that can influence the metabolic expenditure, such as the type of surface (Schiffer et al., 2009), and this is the reason why the authors of the present study decided to perform the trials in a game reserve. The advantage of this choice is that it will allow a comparison of the present study's findings with the results of future investigations. Indeed, other variables need to be evaluated in order to obtain more clear and accurate indications about the usability and potential risks of Nordic walking compared to natural walking, such as the influence of weather conditions and/or ground compactness, which are not reproducible in a research setting.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank all the athletes who volunteered within this study and Ms. Dinah Olswang for English editing. No external financial support has been received. The authors declare no conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise.

References

- Ardigò, L.P. (2010). Low-cost match analysis of Italian sixth and seventh division soccer refereeing. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *24*, 2532–2538.
- Ardigò, L.P., & Capelli, C. (2012). Energy expenditure during the LANY Footrace 2011 - a case study. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*, *37*, 1247–1250.
- Ardigò, L.P., Lippi, G., Salvagno, G.L., & Schena, F. (2011). Physiological adaptation of a mature adult walking the Alps. *Wilderness & Environmental Medicine*, *22*, 236–241.
- Ardigò, L.P., Padulo, J., Zuliani, A., & Capelli, C. (2015). A low-cost method for estimating energy expenditure during soccer refereeing. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *33*, 1853–1858.
- Barberan-Garcia, A., Arbillaga-Etxarri, A., Gimeno-Santos, E., Rodríguez, D.A., Torralba, Y., Roca, J., & Vilaró, J. (2015). Nordic walking enhances oxygen uptake without increasing the rate of perceived exertion in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respiration*, *89*, 221–225.
- Birn-Jeffery, A.V., Hubicki, C.M., Blum, Y., Renjewski, D., Hurst, J.W., & Daley, M.A. (2014). Don't break a leg: running birds from quail to ostrich prioritise leg safety and economy on uneven terrain. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *217*, 3786–3796.
- Church, T.S., Earnest, C.P., & Morss, G.M. (2002). Field testing of physiological responses associated with Nordic Walking. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, *73*, 296–300.
- Fischer, G., Figueiredo, P., & Ardigò, L.P. (2015). Physiological Performance Determinants of a 22-km Handbiking Time Trial. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, *10*, 965–971.
- Fischer, G., Tarperi, C., George, K., & Ardigò, L.P. (2014) An exploratory study of respiratory muscle endurance training in high lesion level paraplegic handbike athletes. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*, *24*, 69–75.

Foissac, M.J., Berthollet, R., Seux, J., Belli, A., & Millet, G.Y. (2008). Effects of hiking pole inertia on energy and muscular costs during uphill walking. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 40, 1117–1125.

Fritz, T., Caidahl, K., Krook, A., Lundström, P., Mashili, F., Osler, M., ... Zierath, J.R. Effects of Nordic walking on cardiovascular risk factors in overweight individuals with type 2 diabetes, impaired or normal glucose tolerance. (2013). *Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews*, 29, 25–32.

Fuglsang, T., Padulo, J., Spoladore, M., Dalla Piazza, M., & Ardigò, L.P. (2017). Development and Testing of a Novel Arm Cranking-Powered Watercraft. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 8, 635.

Hagen, M., Hennig, E.M., & Stieldorf, P. (2011). Lower and upper extremity loading in Nordic walking in comparison with walking and running. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 27, 22–31.

Hansen, E.A., & Smith, G. (2009). Energy expenditure and comfort during Nordic walking with different pole lengths. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 23, 1187–1194.

Hongu, N., Going, S.B., Orr, B. J., Merchant, N.C., Hingle, M.D., Roe, D.J., ... Houtkooper, L.B. (2014). TECH SAVVY: Mobile technologies for promoting health and physical activity. *ACSM's Health & Fitness Journal*, 18, 8–15.

Minetti, A.E., Formenti, F., & Ardigò, L.P. (2006). Himalayan porter's specialization: metabolic power, economy, efficiency and skill. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences*, 273, 2791–2797.

Monteiro, E.P., Franzoni, L.T., Cubillos, D.M., de Oliveira Fagundes, A., Carvalho, A.R., Oliveira, H.B., ... & Peyré-Tartaruga, L.A. (2016). Effects of Nordic walking training on functional parameters in Parkinson's disease: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 27, 351–358.

Morgulec-Adamowicz, N., Marszałek, J., & Jagustyn, P. (2011). Nordic walking - a new form of adapted physical activity (a literature review). *Human Movement*, 12, 124–132.

- Oakley, C., Zwierska, I., Tew, G., Beard, J.D., & Saxton, J.M. (2008). Nordic poles immediately improve walking distance in patients with intermittent claudication. *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery*, *36*, 689–694.
- Padulo, J. (2015). The effect of uphill stride manipulation on race walking gait. *Biology of Sport*, *32*, 267–271.
- Padulo, J., Chamari, K., & Ardigò, L.P. (2014). Walking and running on treadmill: the standard criteria for kinematics studies. *Muscles, Ligaments and Tendons Journal*, *4*, 159–162.
- Pantzar, M., & Shove, E. (2010). Understanding innovation in practice: a discussion of the production and re-production of Nordic Walking. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, *22*, 447–461.
- Pate, R.R., O'Neill, J.R., & Lobelo, F. (2008). The evolving definition of "sedentary". *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, *36*, 173–178.
- Pellegrini, B., Peyré-Tartaruga, L.A., Zoppirolli, C., Bortolan, L., Bacchi, E., Figard-Fabre, H., & Schena, F. (2015). Exploring muscle activation during Nordic Walking: A comparison between conventional and uphill walking. *PloS one*, *10*, e0138906.
- Pérez-Soriano, P., Encarnación-Martínez, A., Aparicio-Aparicio, I., Giménez, J.V., & Llana-Belloch, S. (2014). Nordic walking: a systematic review. *European Journal of Human Movement*, *33*, 26–45.
- Schiffer, T., Knicker, A., Dannöhl, R., & Strüder, H.K. (2009). Energy cost and pole forces during Nordic walking under different surface conditions. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *41*, 663–668.
- Shah, N.R., & Braverman, E.R. (2012). Measuring adiposity in patients: the utility of body mass index (BMI), percent body fat, and leptin. *PloS one*, *7*, e33308.
- Svoboda, Z., Stejskal, P., Jakubec, A., & Krejčí, J. (2011). Kinematical analysis, pole forces and energy cost of Nordic walking: Slope influence. *Acta Gymnica*, *41*, 27–34.
- Tanaka, H., Monahan, K.D., & Seals, D.R. (2001). Age-predicted maximal heart rate revisited. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, *37*, 153–156.

Tschentscher, M., Niederseer, D., & Niebauer, J. (2013). Health benefits of Nordic walking: a systematic review. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 44, 76–84.

Figure 1. Nordic walking (NW) vs. natural walking (WK) comparison in terms of lap average (HR-av, on the left) and maximum (HR-peak, on the right) heart rates, with both variables expressed as percentage of theoretical maximum heart rate (%HRmax). * $P < 0.05$.