



UWS Academic Portal

The effect of acute and chronic exercise on steroid hormone fluctuations in young and middle-aged men

Sellami, Maha; Dhahbi, Wissem; Hayes, Lawrence D.; Kuvacic, Goran; Milic, Mirjana; Padulo, Johnny

Published in:
Steroids

DOI:
[10.1016/j.steroids.2018.01.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2018.01.011)

E-pub ahead of print: 01/02/2018

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication on the UWS Academic Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Sellami, M., Dhahbi, W., Hayes, L. D., Kuvacic, G., Milic, M., & Padulo, J. (2018). The effect of acute and chronic exercise on steroid hormone fluctuations in young and middle-aged men. *Steroids*, 132, 18-24.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2018.01.011>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the UWS Academic Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact pure@uws.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 ORIGINAL ARTICLE

2

3 **The effect of combined sprint and resistance training on steroid hormones in middle-aged and**
4 **young men: A randomized control trial**

5 Maha Sellami¹, Lawrence D Hayes², Wissem Dhahbi¹, Fathi Ben Yahmed^{3,4}, Zahi Laghouibi³, Anis
6 Chaouachi¹, Zouhal Hassane⁵

7

8 ¹Tunisian Research Laboratory "Sport Performance Optimization" National Center of Medicine and
9 Science in Sports, 1003, Tunisia

10 ²Active Ageing Research Group, Department of Medical and Sport Sciences, University of Cumbria,
11 Bowerham Road, Lancaster LA1 3JD, UK

12 ³Direction of Physical Education and Military Sport, Bardo, 2000, Tunisia

13 ⁴Military Hospital of Instruction of Tunis, Endocrinology Laboratory. Tunis, 1000, Tunisia

14 ⁵Movement, Sport, Health and Sciences Laboratory (M2S). UFRAPS, University of Rennes 2-ENS
15 Cachan, Av. Charles Tillon, 35044 Rennes cedex, France

16

17

18 **Correspondence to:** Dr. Maha Sellami. Tunisian Research Laboratory "Sport Performance
19 Optimization" National Center of Medicine and Science in Sports, 1003, Tunisia

20 E-mail adress: maha.sellami@gmail.com

21

22 **Running title:** Age and training effects on steroid hormones.

23

24 **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

25

26 **Abstract**

27 **Purpose:** The aim of this study was to examine the effects of combined sprint and resistance training
28 on serum total testosterone (TT), sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), and cortisol (C), at rest, and
29 in response to the Wingate Anaerobic Test (WAnT) in younger (20 yrs) and middle-aged (40 yrs) men.

30 **Methods:** Thirty-two moderately trained men military soldiers participated in this study. After medical
31 examination, subjects were randomly assigned to one of four groups: A young trained group (21±1 yrs,
32 YT, n=8), a young control group (22±2 yrs, YC, n=8), a middle-aged trained group (41±3 yrs, MAT,
33 n=8), and a middle-aged control group (40±2 yrs, MAC, n=8). Both YT and MAT participated in a
34 combined sprint and resistance training program (CSRT) for 13 weeks. Before (P1), and after (P2)
35 CSRT, all participants performed the WAnT. Blood samples were collected at rest, after warm-up (50%
36 maximal oxygen uptake [VO_{2max}]), immediately post-WAnT, and 10 min post-WAnT. **Results:** At P1,
37 higher C and lower TT was observed in middle-aged subjects compared to younger ones ($P<0.05$). At
38 P2, this age difference was absent in basal TT between trained groups. After CSRT, C increased
39 significantly ($P=0.014$) in MAT, only at the end of WAnT, whilst resting and post-WAnT TT increased
40 significantly for both YT and MAT ($P<0.05$). Moreover, SHBG decreased significantly in YT at P2 at
41 rest ($P=0.048$). Resting free testosterone was significantly higher in young compared to middle-aged
42 groups at P1 ($P<0.05$), but after CSRT, this age-related effect disappeared between YT and MAT at rest
43 ($P>0.05$). **Conclusions:** CSRT appears to counteract the negative effect of age on TT and C.

44

45 **Keywords:** Testosterone, cortisol, SHBG, stress, aging

46

47 **Introduction**

48 The age-related loss of anabolism is characterized by a decrease in muscle protein content and
49 is attributable to an imbalance between muscle protein synthesis and breakdown. Numerous studies have
50 observed alterations in contractile properties of muscle fibers, particularly fast-twitch fibers in older
51 individuals [1,2], which leads to a decline in anaerobic performance [3].

52 Concomitant with this age-associated decline in muscular function exists a reduction in systemic
53 testosterone concentrations [4]. Furthermore, sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) increases with age,
54 rendering the bioavailable fraction (i.e. the proportion available for interaction with the androgen
55 receptor [AR]) of testosterone decreased [5]. Low testosterone has a number of adverse health
56 consequences, such as loss of muscle mass, increased fat mass, reduced aerobic capacity, and increased
57 cardiovascular disease risk [4,6-8]. Furthermore, significant correlations between testosterone and
58 measures of physical performance in older adults have been observed [9].

59 Physical inactivity has been shown to decrease testosterone concentrations [10], and well trained
60 older individuals exhibit greater testosterone concentrations than sedentary males [11]. However, this
61 consensus is not ubiquitous [12,13]. As such, whether long term exercise training increases testosterone
62 remains a matter of debate. Likewise, exercise training interventions present homogeneity in results [13-
63 15]. For example, Lovell et al. [15] observed no perturbation to TT, SHBG, or free testosterone (free-
64 T) in an older cohort (~74 years) following resistance or aerobic training. Conversely, Hayes and
65 colleagues [13] observed that although highly trained older adults displayed similar TT concentrations
66 to that of sedentary older males, said sedentary participants increased TT following moderate aerobic
67 exercise (150 min·wk⁻¹). However, SHBG also increased, which rendered free-T unchanged. The same
68 research group however, observed increased free-T following high intensity interval training (HIIT) in
69 a later study (under review), which may suggest greater exercise intensity is required as a stimulus to
70 increase free-T.

71 The body of literature concerning the influence of resistance exercise and testosterone generally
72 report increased testosterone following resistance training [16,17]. For example, both Tremblay et al.
73 [18] and Sato et al. [19] reported 12 weeks' resistance training increased basal free-T, 5-
74 dihydrotestosterone (DHT) and dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) in young (26 yrs) and older (62 yrs)

75 men. As such, resistance training has been considered an appropriate strategy to counteract the age-
76 associated deterioration of muscle, and androgenic status [20].

77 There remains considerable ambiguity concerning the influence of exercise training on steroid
78 hormones with age. Therefore, the aim of the present investigation was to compare steroid hormones at
79 rest, and in response to anaerobic exercise, in younger (20 yrs), and middle-aged (40 yrs) men, after 13
80 weeks' combined sprint and resistance training. We hypothesized *a priori* that a) an age-affect in steroid
81 hormones would exist pre-training, and b) said training period would ameliorate the age-affect in steroid
82 hormones.

83

84 **Methods**

85 **Participants**

86 Thirty-two healthy, moderately trained men (military participants) were recruited for
87 participation in the present study. Subjects reviewed and signed consent forms approved by the local
88 Ethics Committee for Human Research (ECHR) of the General Direction of the Military Health of
89 Tunisia in accordance with ethical standards of the 1964 Helsinki Declaration.

90 Training status was assessed using an adapted version of the Baecke questionnaire [21]. To
91 identify those with a medical contraindication (exclusion) to performing specific assessments,
92 participants completed medical history, and dietary, questionnaires. Inclusion criteria included no
93 contraindications to maximal exercise testing such as cardiovascular or pulmonary risk factors, no
94 history of chronic disease, illness, surgeries, hospitalizations, and musculoskeletal or joint injuries.

95 The conventional dietary survey was conducted by a sports nutritionist of the Department of
96 Physical Education and Military Sport to monitor individual diet during the 13 weeks. Participants were
97 asked to abstain from high glycemic loads, saturated and trans fatty acids, caffeine, alcohol, drugs,
98 vitamins or supplements, and low fiber diets for the duration of the experimental period. Because
99 participants belong to the same military school, they were offered the same menu component, which
100 was suitable for “active” status. Before training period, estimated dietary energy intake was not
101 significantly different between groups: Young groups (protein: 410 ± 24 kcal·day⁻¹, fat: 1128 ± 13
102 kcal·day⁻¹, and carbohydrate: 1879 ± 34 kcal·day⁻¹) and middle-aged groups (protein: 387 ± 14 kcal·day⁻¹,

103 fat: 1064 ± 12 kcal·day⁻¹, and carbohydrate: 1773 ± 50 kcal·day⁻¹). After the training period, these results
104 remained stable and no differences were observed between groups: Young groups (protein: 408 ± 31
105 kcal·day⁻¹, fat: 1123 ± 44 kcal·day⁻¹, and carbohydrate: 1870 ± 23 kcal·day⁻¹) and middle-aged groups
106 (protein: 487 ± 24 kcal·day⁻¹, fat: 1012 ± 13 kcal·day⁻¹, and carbohydrate: 1772 ± 34 kcal·day⁻¹).

107 Eligible participants were subsequently randomized to receive 13 weeks' combined sprint and
108 resistance training (CSRT), or control. Thus, four groups existed: a young trained group (YT; 21 ± 1 yrs,
109 $n=8$), a young control group (YC; 22 ± 2 yrs, $n=8$), a middle-aged trained group (MAT; 41 ± 3 yrs, $n=8$)
110 and a middle-aged control group (MAC; 40 ± 2 yrs, $n=8$).

111

112 **Exercise training program**

113 Trained subjects (YT and MAT) participated in 13 weeks of CSRT as previously described [22].
114 Briefly, CSRT consisted of one sprint running, one sprint cycling, and one resistance training session
115 per week, separated by a minimum of 48 h (13 sessions of each training unit). Sessions were performed
116 during the morning and lasted no longer than 70 min, inclusive of 15 min warm-up (jogging and
117 stretching) and 15 min cool-down (jogging and stretching).

118 Sprint running sessions entailed 3-5 sets of 3-5 short bouts at maximum velocity. A passive
119 recovery of 2-3 min was permitted between each set. Sprint cycling sessions comprised 3-5 repetitions
120 of 10-30 s. The 10-30 s trials were performed maximally. Subjects recovered actively (at a power output
121 corresponding to 50% $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$) for 3-5 min between each sprint. Resistance training sessions entailed 5-
122 6 exercises targeting all major muscle groups (squat with Smith machine, machine leg extension,
123 machine leg curl, calf raises over a step, triceps push down with cable machine, bicep preacher curl, and
124 bench press. The load used during exercise was progressively increased from 40% to 65% of 1-repetition
125 maximum (RM), [23,24]. To produce maximal power output (i.e. velocity \times load), the concentric phase
126 of each exercise was performed as fast as possible [25]. Repetitions were maintained at 10-15 per sets
127 and the number of sets increased from 3 to 4 during the training period. Hence, training volume increased
128 progressively during the CSRT program. Rest periods between sets were 3-5 min for upper body
129 muscles [26] and a minimum of 1 min for lower limbs [23]. To adjust load during resistance training

130 session and monitor adaptation, we determined strength using a 1-RM for the six resistance exercises,
131 pre-training (P1), during the sixth week, and post-training (P2).

132

133 **Blood collection and biochemical analyses**

134 Upon arriving, a heparinized catheter (Insyte-W, 1.1 mm o.d. × 30 mm) was inserted into an
135 antecubital vein, following 20 min sitting. Blood was drawn 8:00-9:00 h following overnight fasting.
136 Venous blood samples were drawn at three times: rest (t_0 [after 20 min sitting on the bike]), immediately
137 post-WAnT (t_{end}) and 10 min post-WAnT (t_{10}). For each sample, 10 mL of blood was collected in tubes
138 containing Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, (EDTA) to determine concentrations of serum TT, SHBG,
139 and cortisol (C). Samples were centrifuged immediately for 15 min at 4°C (at 3,000 rpm), and the
140 extracted serum was stored at - 80°C until analysis.

141 TT and SHBG were measured by electro-chemiluminescence immunoassay using the Elecsys
142 2010 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland). Inter-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were 8.4-
143 9.1% and intra-assay CVs were 7.8-9.6%. Assay sensitivity was 0.08 ng·ml⁻¹. Cortisol was analyzed
144 using a Gamma Coat Cortisol 125I RIA Kit (Diasorin, Inc., Stillwater, MN). The mean intra- and inter-
145 assay coefficients of variation were 5.7% and 3.7% respectively. Free-T was calculated using the
146 Vermueulen equation [27].

147

148 **Exercise testing**

149 Before training, subjects were familiarized with testing procedures to minimize learning effect.
150 Participants avoided physical activity for 48 h preceding each test. Total energy and macronutrient
151 intake per day during the previous three days was monitored to ensure consistency prior to exercise
152 testing. The testing period was divided into two phases: before (P1), and after (P2) training. Each period
153 lasted seven days and included three consecutive laboratory visits separated by 48 h. The second phase
154 (P2) commenced 48 h after training cessation and finished seven days later. Anthropometric
155 measurements were obtained at P1, and P2 using Haependen skinfold calipers and the Durnin &
156 Wormersley [28] method. Fat free mass (FFM) was calculated by subtracted fat mass from total body
157 mass.

158 On the first visit, subjects arrived at the laboratory 2 h postprandial, after a standardized
159 breakfast recommended by a nutritionist. Breakfast comprised 10 kcal·kg⁻¹, 55% carbohydrate, 33%
160 lipids, and 12% protein.

161 On the second visit, subjects performed a repeated sprint cycling test on a cycle ergometer
162 (Ergomeca, Bessenay, France). It consisted of five short trials (6 s) against increasing resistance (2 kg
163 each sprint) until exhaustion. Recovery time between each trial was 5 min. The highest pedaling cadence
164 recorded after each trial was collected from a photoelectric cell fixed on the wheel of the cycle ergometer
165 and connected to a computer. The load which permitted the highest peak power output was used for the
166 Wingate Anaerobic Test (WAnT).

167 On the third visit, subjects performed the WAnT on a mechanically braked Monark cycle
168 ergometer (Monark 827E). The test commenced 5 min after warm-up (15 min at a power output
169 corresponding to 50% VO_{2max}). Subjects were asked to cycle maximally for 30 s. The highest value over
170 1 s was considered peak power (W_{peak}), and average power over 30 s was considered mean power
171 (W_{mean}).

172

173 **Statistical analysis**

174 Data were analyzed using SPSS 23.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). Means and
175 standard deviations (SD) were calculated after verifying the normality of distributions using the
176 Kolmogorov-Smirnov procedure. For anthropometric, physical performances indices, and area under
177 the curve (AUC), data were analyzed using a multifactorial three-way (time [P1, P2] × age [young,
178 middle-aged] × group [trained, control]) analysis of variance (ANOVA). Hormonal responses were
179 analyzed using a four-factor ANOVA (time [P1, P2] × Wingate time [rest, immediately post-WAnT,
180 and 10 min post-WAnT] × age [young, middle aged] × group [trained, control]). AUCs were calculated
181 using trapezoidal integration. Bonferroni-adjusted pairwise post hoc comparisons were performed and
182 effect size (η^2_p for main effects and Cohen's d for pairwise comparisons) is reported where appropriate.
183 Statistical significance was set *a priori* at $P < 0.05$.

184

185 **Results**

186 **Blood parameters**

187 There was a main effect of WAnT time in all groups for **TT** (table 1; $P < 0.001$, $\eta^2_p = 0.89$) i.e. we
188 observed an increase from TT_0 to TT_{10} . At P1, there was a significant age effect for TT_0 ($P = 0.041$,
189 Cohen's $d = 0.81$). CSRT induced an increase in YTT₁₀ ($P < 0.001$, Cohen's $d = 0.38$), whilst MAT
190 increased TT_0 , ($P < 0.015$, Cohen's $d = 0.03$), and TT_{10} ($P < 0.001$, Cohen's $d = 0.28$) at P2 compared to P1.
191 No change in TT was observed from P1 to P2 in control groups ($P > 0.05$). TT AUC was not different
192 between ages, nor was there was a change post-CSRT ($P > 0.05$).

193 There was no main effect of WAnT time in all groups for **SHBG** (table 2; $P = 0.881$, $\eta^2_p = 0.004$).
194 At P1 and P2, there were no interaction observed between age and groups ($P = 0.338$, $\eta^2_p = 0.026$). No
195 CSRT-induced SHBG perturbation was observed from P1 to P2 in any group ($P > 0.05$), except YT who
196 experienced an increase in $SHBG_0$ ($P = 0.01$, Cohen's $d = 0.13$). There was a main effect of age at P1
197 ($P = 0.047$, Cohen's $d = 1.68$) and P2 ($P = 0.007$, Cohen's $d = 2.12$) for SHBG AUC in experimental groups.
198 Moreover, YT decreased SHBG AUC from P1 to P2 ($P = 0.001$, Cohen's $d = 0.27$).

199 There was a main effect of WAnT time in all groups for **free-T** (table 3; $P < 0.001$, $\eta^2_p = 0.29$). At
200 P1 there was a significant age effect for free-T ($P = 0.031$, $\eta^2_p = 0.22$). CSRT induced an increase only in
201 MAT free-T₀, ($P = 0.039$, Cohen's $d = 1.60$). No difference in free-T was observed from P1 to P2 in control
202 groups ($P > 0.05$). Free-T AUC was not different between ages, nor was there was a change post-CSRT
203 ($P > 0.05$).

204 There was a significant main effect of age, WAnT time, and group on **C** (table 4; $P < 0.001$ - 0.01 ,
205 η^2_p : 0.50 - 0.87) and a significant interaction between training phase, WAnT time, and group ($P = 0.007$,
206 $\eta^2_p = 0.13$). At P1 and P2 younger groups exhibited lower C_0 ($P = < 0.001$ - 0.002 , Cohen's $d = 2.55$ - 3.33)
207 and C_{end} ($P < 0.001$, Cohen's $d = 1.91$ - 2.73) than middle-aged groups. C_{end} increased significantly
208 ($P = 0.014$, Cohen's $d = 2.02$) at P2 compared to P1 in MAT. No other differences were observed between
209 P1 and P2 for experimental groups ($P > 0.05$). C AUC was lower in young groups compared to middle-
210 aged groups at P1 ($P < 0.05$), but after CSRT this main effect of age was not seen between YT and MAT
211 ($P > 0.05$).

212 **Body composition and performance**

213 At P1, there was a significant main effect of age for body mass ($P=0.004$, $\eta^2_p=0.21$), whereby
214 YT and YC (74.8 ± 4.0 kg and 73.7 ± 4.7 kg respectively) were significantly lighter than MAT and MAC
215 (78.1 ± 4.4 kg and 77.4 ± 2.5 kg respectively). YT body mass decreased at P2 (72.3 ± 2.9 kg) compared to
216 P1 ($P<0.001$, Cohen's $d=0.44$), as did MAT body mass (76.9 ± 4.8 kg; $P=0.002$, Cohen's $d=0.28$). After
217 training, the body mass measurements for MAC (77.3 ± 2.6 kg; $P=0.774$, Cohen's $d=0.04$) and YC
218 (73.80 ± 4.80 kg; $P=0.796$, Cohen's $d=0.02$) were not significantly different from P1.

219 At P1, there was no main effect of age for **body fat percentage** ($11.6\pm 1.3\%$, $11.2\pm 1.7\%$,
220 $12.5\pm 0.5\%$, and $12.0\pm 2.2\%$ for YT, YC, MAT, and MAC respectively; $P=0.061$, $\eta^2_p=0.09$). YT body
221 fat percentage decreased from P1 to P2 ($10.3\pm 0.8\%$; $P=0.010$, Cohen's $d=1.20$), as did MAT body fat
222 percentage ($11.1\pm 1.3\%$; $P=0.005$, Cohen's $d=1.42$). At P2, MAC ($12.2\pm 2.2\%$; $P=0.683$, Cohen's
223 $d=0.09$) and YC ($11.5\pm 1.3\%$; $P=0.648$, Cohen's $d=0.20$) body fat percentage was unchanged from P1.

224 At P1, no significant main effect of age was observed for **FFM** (65.1 ± 5.0 kg, 63.7 ± 5.6 kg,
225 62.2 ± 5.8 kg, and 61.3 ± 2.3 kg for YT, YC, MAT, and MAC respectively; $P=0.111$, $\eta^2_p=0.07$). YT FFM
226 was unaltered at P2 (66.2 ± 6.7 kg) compared to P1 ($P=0.285$, Cohen's $d=0.18$), as was MAT (63.1 ± 6.4
227 kg; $P=0.332$, Cohen's $d=0.15$). At P2, MAC (61.5 ± 2.2 kg; $P=0.830$, Cohen's $d=0.08$) and YC (64.2 ± 7.6
228 kg; $P=0.651$, Cohen's $d=0.07$) FFM was not significantly different from P1.

229 We observed a significant main effect of age for **W_{peak}** at P1 (1037 ± 127 W, 955 ± 258 W, 896 ± 70
230 W, and 872 ± 122 W for YT, YC, MAT, and MAC respectively $P=0.040$; $\eta^2_p=0.11$). W_{peak} at P2 in YT
231 (1093 ± 202 W; $P=0.067$, Cohen's $d=0.33$), and MAT (950 ± 350 W; $P=0.076$, Cohen's $d=0.21$) was not
232 significantly increased at P2 compared to P1 (), despite small effect sizes. At P2, YC (944 ± 246 W;
233 $P=0.606$, Cohen's $d=0.04$) and MAC (874 ± 111 W; $P=0.958$, Cohen's $d=0.03$) W_{peak} was not
234 significantly different from P1. There was an age effect for **W_{mean}** at P1 ($P=0.009$; $\eta^2_p=0.18$). YT W_{mean}
235 was 575 ± 58 W and 581 ± 71 W at P1 and P2 respectively ($P=0.792$, Cohen's $d=0.09$). MAT W_{mean} was
236 508 ± 95 W and 543 ± 79 W at P1 and P2 respectively ($P=0.141$, Cohen's $d=0.40$), meaning the age effect
237 was not present in trained groups at P2 ($P=0.268$).

238 **Discussion**

239 The main finding of the present investigation is that a programme of CSRT can attenuate the effect
240 of age on TT, free-T, and C evident in middle-aged men compared to young men. Moreover, CSRT
241 appears to increase the sensitivity of TT and free-T to a WAnT in experimental groups.

242 This study demonstrated a small increase in mean power output during supramaximal exercise
243 in MAT. Previous longitudinal studies observed increased anaerobic performances in 20 yr old subjects
244 after sprint training [29] or after 21 week of heavy resistance training in younger (25 yrs) and older (65
245 yrs) trained subjects [16]. However, after combined sprint and strength training, few studies have
246 reported increased anaerobic performance in young and older trained subjects [22,30]. This anaerobic
247 performance potentiation was accompanied by increased anabolic hormone concentrations during study,
248 providing a possible explanation for the increase in power production, as previously hypothesized
249 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28178145>).

250 Our hormonal data are in agreement with some [12,14], but not all [15] previous investigations
251 reporting increased basal testosterone in older males following exercise training. In the present
252 investigation, free-T and TT was increased in MAT which contradicts some of our previous work [12]
253 which observed increased TT but not free-T following moderate aerobic conditioning. However, the
254 addition of a high intensity exercise phase did promote an increase to free-T (Hayes et al., 2017 – Under
255 review) suggesting that augmented free-T may be intensity-dependent. However, Hakkinen et al.[31]
256 reported that during, and following, a 24-week strength training period, TT and free-T was unchanged,
257 despite a considerably higher relative load than in the present investigation being used (4-6-RM utilized
258 periodically throughout the investigation). In the present study, there was a CSRT-induced increase in
259 free-T, which would suggest a greater amount of the biologically active hormone was available for
260 interaction with the AR. This is further supported by positive alterations to body composition observed
261 in training groups.

262 Our data conflict those of Hakkinen et al. [32] in that we observed increased reactivity of TT and
263 free-T to a single WAnT post-CSRT. Hakkinen et al. [32] observed that although a single resistance
264 exercise session resulted in significant increased TT and free-T, this response was not augmented, or
265 dampened by exercise training in middle-aged (~42 yrs), or older (~72 yrs), men. A similar finding was
266 later replicated by the same research group [31] in older men and women (~65 yrs). Whether transient

267 exercise-induced changes in ostensibly anabolic hormones occur or not, the physiological significance
268 of this remains equivocal [33-35]. For example, West et al. [36] investigated the addition of subsequent
269 leg exercise (included to potentiate increases in anabolic hormones) during 15 weeks' elbow flexion
270 training. These authors observed no difference in strength or hypertrophy gains between the group that
271 experienced acute exercise-induced TT and free-T elevations, and the group that did not . Similarly,
272 Mitchell and colleagues [37] reported no relationship between the magnitude of exercise-induced
273 changes in serum free-T, growth hormone, or insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, and muscle hypertrophy
274 following 16 weeks' resistance training. As such, the importance of acute exercise-induced hormonal
275 increases are questionable, and therefore, the result of increased basal TT and free-T are likely more
276 physiologically pertinent.

277

278 **Conclusion**

279 Thirteen weeks' combined sprint and resistance training increased basal serum TT, and free-T,
280 in middle-aged trained subjects, which abrogated the age-effect on steroid hormones post-training. This
281 training type also appears to promote small improvements in anaerobic performance in middle-aged
282 men.

283

284 **Funding**

285 Hormonal assays were funded by the Ministry of Defense of Tunis.

286

287 **Authors' contributions**

288 All persons designated as authors qualify for authorship, and all those who qualify for authorship are
289 listed. All authors have approved the final version to be submitted and agree to be accountable for all
290 aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work
291 are appropriately investigated and resolved.

292 All authors had revised and approved the final version to be submitted.

293

294 **Acknowledgements**

295 The authors thank all the expert, doctors and officers of Military hospital for instruction of Tunis and
296 the General Direction of the Military Health for their participation to experimental work.
297

298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345

Références:

1. Lexell J, Taylor CC, Sjöström M (1988) What is the cause of the ageing atrophy?: Total number, size and proportion of different fiber types studied in whole vastus lateralis muscle from 15-to 83-year-old men. *Journal of the neurological sciences* 84: 275-294.
2. Proctor DN, Sinning WE, Walro J, Sieck GC, Lemon P (1995) Oxidative capacity of human muscle fiber types: effects of age and training status. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 78: 2033-2038.
3. Reaburn P, Dascombe B (2008) Anaerobic performance in masters athletes. *European Review of Aging and Physical Activity* 6: 39.
4. Harman SM, Metter EJ, Tobin JD, Pearson J, Blackman MR (2001) Longitudinal effects of aging on serum total and free testosterone levels in healthy men. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 86: 724-731.
5. Bjerner J, Biernat D, Fosså SD, Bjøro T (2009) Reference intervals for serum testosterone, SHBG, LH and FSH in males from the NORIP project. *Scandinavian journal of clinical and laboratory investigation* 69: 873-879.
6. Blouin K, Boivin A, Tchernof A (2008) Androgens and body fat distribution. *The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology* 108: 272-280.
7. Fleg JL, Lakatta EG (1988) Role of muscle loss in the age-associated reduction in VO2 max. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 65: 1147-1151.
8. Jia H, Sullivan CT, McCoy SC, Yarrow JF, Morrow M, et al. (2015) Review of health risks of low testosterone and testosterone administration. *World Journal of Clinical Cases: WJCC* 3: 338.
9. Aguirre LE, Jan IZ, Fowler K, Waters DL, Villareal DT, et al. (2014) Testosterone and adipokines are determinants of physical performance, strength, and aerobic fitness in frail, obese, older adults. *International journal of endocrinology* 2014.
10. Cobo G, Gallar P, Di Gioia C, Lacalle CG, Camacho R, et al. (2016) Hypogonadism associated with muscle atrophy, physical inactivity and ESA hyporesponsiveness in men undergoing haemodialysis. *Nefrología*.
11. Ari Z, Kutlu N, Sami Uyanik B, Taneli F, Buyukyazi G, et al. (2004) Serum testosterone, growth hormone, and insulin-like growth factor-1 levels, mental reaction time, and maximal aerobic exercise in sedentary and long-term physically trained elderly males. *International Journal of Neuroscience* 114: 623-637.
12. Hayes LD, Sculthorpe N, Herbert P, Baker JS, Spagna R, et al. (2015) Six weeks of conditioning exercise increases total, but not free testosterone in lifelong sedentary aging men. *The Aging Male* 18: 195-200.
13. Hayes LD, Sculthorpe N, Herbert P, Baker JS, Hullin DA, et al. (2015) Resting steroid hormone concentrations in lifetime exercisers and lifetime sedentary males. *The Aging Male* 18: 22-26.
14. Arazi H, Damirchi A, Asadi A. Age-related hormonal adaptations, muscle circumference and strength development with 8weeks moderate intensity resistance training; 2013. Elsevier. pp. 30-35.
15. Lovell DI, Cuneo R, Wallace J, McLellan C (2012) The hormonal response of older men to sub-maximum aerobic exercise: The effect of training and detraining. *Steroids* 77: 413-418.
16. Ahtiainen JP, Hulmi JJ, Kraemer WJ, Lehti M, Nyman K, et al. (2011) Heavy resistance exercise training and skeletal muscle androgen receptor expression in younger and older men. *Steroids* 76: 183-192.

- 346 17. Cadore EL, Lhullier FL, Alberton CL, Almeida APV, Sapata KB, et al. (2009) Salivary
347 hormonal responses to different water-based exercise protocols in young and elderly
348 men. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research* 23: 2695-2701.
- 349 18. Tremblay MS, Copeland JL, Van Helder W (2004) Effect of training status and exercise
350 mode on endogenous steroid hormones in men. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 96: 531-
351 539.
- 352 19. Sato K, Iemitsu M, Matsutani K, Kurihara T, Hamaoka T, et al. (2014) Resistance training
353 restores muscle sex steroid hormone steroidogenesis in older men. *The FASEB Journal*
354 28: 1891-1897.
- 355 20. Kosek DJ, Kim J-s, Petrella JK, Cross JM, Bamman MM (2006) Efficacy of 3 days/wk
356 resistance training on myofiber hypertrophy and myogenic mechanisms in young vs.
357 older adults. *Journal of applied physiology* 101: 531-544.
- 358 21. Baecke JA, Burema J, Frijters JE (1982) A short questionnaire for the measurement of
359 habitual physical activity in epidemiological studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* 36: 936-942.
- 360 22. Sellami M, Abderrahman AB, Casazza GA, Keksi W, Lemoine-Morel S, et al. (2014) Effect
361 of age and combined sprint and strength training on plasma catecholamine responses to
362 a Wingate-test. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 114: 969-982.
- 363 23. American College of Sports M (2009) American College of Sports Medicine position stand.
364 Progression models in resistance training for healthy adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 41:
365 687-708.
- 366 24. Kraemer WJ, Adams K, Cafarelli E, Dudley GA, Dooly C, et al. (2002) American College
367 of Sports Medicine position stand. Progression models in resistance training for healthy
368 adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 34: 364-380.
- 369 25. Kawamori N, Haff GG (2004) The optimal training load for the development of muscular
370 power. *J Strength Cond Res* 18: 675-684.
- 371 26. de Salles BF, Simao R, Miranda F, Novaes Jda S, Lemos A, et al. (2009) Rest interval
372 between sets in strength training. *Sports Med* 39: 765-777.
- 373 27. Vermeulen A, Verdonck L, Kaufman JM (1999) A critical evaluation of simple methods
374 for the estimation of free testosterone in serum. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology
375 & Metabolism* 84: 3666-3672.
- 376 28. Durnin JV, Womersley J (1974) Body fat assessed from total body density and its estimation
377 from skinfold thickness: measurements on 481 men and women aged from 16 to 72
378 years. *British journal of nutrition* 32: 77-97.
- 379 29. Moussa E, Zouhal H, Prioux J, Delamarche P, Gratas-Delamarche A (2003) Variations du
380 volume plasmatique induites par un exercice de sprint chez des sprinters, des endurants
381 et des non entraînés de sexe masculin. *Science & sports* 18: 202-208.
- 382 30. Sellami M, Abderrahman AB, Keksi W, De Sousa MV, Zouhal H (2016) Effect of sprint
383 and strength training on glucoregulatory hormones: Effect of advanced age.
384 *Experimental Biology and Medicine*: 1535370216662711.
- 385 31. Häkkinen K, Kraemer WJ, Pakarinen A, Triplett-Mcbride T, Mcbride JM, et al. (2002)
386 Effects of heavy resistance/power training on maximal strength, muscle morphology,
387 and hormonal response patterns in 60-75-year-old men and women. *Canadian Journal
388 of Applied Physiology* 27: 213-231.
- 389 32. Häkkinen K, Pakarinen A, Kraemer WJ, Newton RU, Alen M (2000) Basal concentrations
390 and acute responses of serum hormones and strength development during heavy
391 resistance training in middle-aged and elderly men and women. *Journals of
392 Gerontology-Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 55: B95.
- 393 33. Damas F, Phillips SM, Libardi CA, Vechin FC, Lixandrão ME, et al. (2016) Resistance
394 training-induced changes in integrated myofibrillar protein synthesis are related to

395 hypertrophy only after attenuation of muscle damage. *The Journal of physiology* 594:
396 5209-5222.

397 34. Kraemer WJ, Ratamess NA, Nindl BJ (2016) Highlighted Topics: Recovery from Exercise:
398 Recovery Responses of Testosterone, Growth Hormone, and IGF-1 after Resistance
399 Exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology: jap.* 00599.02016.

400 35. Schroeder ET, Villanueva M, West DD, Phillips SM (2013) Are acute post-resistance
401 exercise increases in testosterone, growth hormone, and IGF-1 necessary to stimulate
402 skeletal muscle anabolism and hypertrophy? *Medicine and science in sports and
403 exercise* 45: 2044-2051.

404 36. West DW, Burd NA, Tang JE, Moore DR, Staples AW, et al. (2010) Elevations in ostensibly
405 anabolic hormones with resistance exercise enhance neither training-induced muscle
406 hypertrophy nor strength of the elbow flexors. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 108: 60-
407 67.

408 37. Mitchell CJ, Churchward-Venne TA, Bellamy L, Parise G, Baker SK, et al. (2013) Muscular
409 and systemic correlates of resistance training-induced muscle hypertrophy. *PloS one* 8:
410 e78636.
411
412

413

414 **Table 1.** Serum total testosterone (TT; nmol·l⁻¹) at rest (TT₀), at the end of a Wingate Anaerobic
 415 Test (TT_{end}), during recovery (TT₁₀), and area under the curve (AUC) in young trained (YT),
 416 young control (YC), middle-aged trained (MAT), and middle-aged control (MAC) participants,
 417 before training (P1), and after training (P2).

		TT₀	TT_{end}	TT₁₀	TT AUC
YT	P1	33.9±3.9 ^a	42.44±6.0	40.61±5.0 ^e	488.1±93.4
(n=10)	P2	34.5±4.2 ^g	41.33±5.9 ^h	42.53±5.2	477.3±95.2
YC	P1	31.5±4.9	38.79±2.3	40.32±3.8	473.6±232.5
(n=10)	P2	31.7±4.7 ^g	39.26±2.3 ^h	40.82±3.8	471.0±227.2
MAT	P1	25.7±13.7 ^e	34.56±16.2	33.73±11.3 ^e	540.3±90.2
(n=10)	P2	26.1±13.4 ^g	37.63±16.2 ^h	36.93±11.2	526.4±91.5
MAC	P1	24.2±8.6	33.32±4.1	33.40±2.2	530.5±245.2
(n=10)	P2	24.7±8.6 ^g	30.09±4.3 ^h	34.13±2.1	523.5±231.3

418 Data are presented as mean±SD.^aSignificant difference (p<0.05) between YT and MAT,
 419 ^bSignificant difference (p<0.05) between YC and MAC, ^cSignificant difference (p<0.05)
 420 between YT and YC, ^dSignificant difference (p<0.05) between MAT and MAC, ^eSignificant
 421 difference (p<0.05) from before and after training, ^fSignificant difference (p<0.05) between “0”
 422 and “end”, ^gSignificant difference (p<0.05) between “0” and “10”, ^hSignificant difference
 423 (p<0.05) between “end” and “10”.

424

425 **Table 2.** Serum Sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG; nmol·l⁻¹) at rest (SHBG₀), at the end
 426 of a Wingate Anaerobic Test (SHBG_{end}), during recovery (SHBG₁₀), and area under the curve
 427 (AUC) in young trained (YT), young control (YC), middle-aged trained (MAT), and middle-
 428 aged control (MAC) participants, before training (P1), and after training (P2).

		SHBG₀	SHBG_{end}	SHBG₁₀	SHBG AUC
YT(n=10)	P1	28.7±7.4 ^e	31.7±5.5	29.4± 6.1	6492.8±494.6 ^{a,c,e}
	P2	27.7±8.1	31.2±6.2	28.9±7.0	6328.8±712.3 ^{a,c}
YC (n=10)	P1	28.0±8.7	31.0±7.7	28.9±6.5	5148.0±1080.8 ^b
	P2	27.6±8.6	30.7±7.7	28.5±6.5	5313.0±970.4 ^b
MAT (n=10)	P1	31.7±4.5	35.0±4.7	33.0±4.9	8114.1±1269.9
	P2	31.6±5.7	34.6±5.3	32.2±5.3	8499.2±1261.6
MAC (n=10)	P1	30.0±5.7	34.5±5.2	32.9± 4.9	8061.0±1544.6
	P2	29.8±5.7	34.0±5.5	32.5±4.7	7594.0±1233.5

429 Data are presented as mean±SD.^aSignificant difference (p<0.05) between YT and MAT,
 430 ^bSignificant difference (p<0.05) between YC and MAC, ^cSignificant difference (p<0.05)
 431 between YT and YC, ^dSignificant difference (p<0.05) between MAT and MAC, ^eSignificant
 432 difference (p<0.05) from before and after training, ^fSignificant difference (p<0.05) between “0”
 433 and “end”, ^gSignificant difference (p<0.05) between “0” and “10”, ^hSignificant difference
 434 (p<0.05) between “end” and “10”.

435

436

437 **Table 3.** Free testosterone (Free-T; nmol·l⁻¹) at rest (Free-T₀), at the end of a Wingate Anaerobic
 438 Test (Free-T_{end}), during recovery (Free-T₁₀), and area under the curve (AUC) in young trained
 439 (YT), young control (YC), middle-aged trained (MAT), and middle-aged control (MAC)
 440 participants, before training (P1), and after training (P2).

		Free-T ₀	Free-T _{end}	Free-T ₁₀	Free-T AUC
YT (n=10)	P1	0.71±0.25 ^a	0.77±0.23	0.80±0.28	12.28±3.36
	P2	0.70±0.34	0.87±0.26	0.73±0.18	12.72±3.13
YC (n=10)	P1	0.59±0.24	0.85±0.17 ^{b,g}	0.66±0.16	12.57±3.51 ^b
	P2	0.68±0.26	0.74±0.17	0.68±0.13	13.66±5.53
MAT (n=10)	P1	0.38±0.12 ^c	0.66±0.35	0.68±0.28 ^d	9.85±3.79
	P2	0.58±0.13	0.76±0.24	0.77±0.32 ^d	11.70±3.21
MAC (n=10)	P1	0.45±0.09	0.57±0.19	0.47±0.10	8.24±1.95
	P2	0.59±0.29	0.64±0.23	0.51±0.12	10.49±4.32

441 Data are presented as mean±SD.^aSignificant difference (p<0.05) between YT and MAT,
 442 ^bSignificant difference (p<0.05) between YC and MAC, ^cSignificant difference (p<0.05)
 443 between YT and YC, ^dSignificant difference (p<0.05) between MAT and MAC, ^eSignificant
 444 difference (p<0.05) from before and after training, ^fSignificant difference (p<0.05) between “0”
 445 and “end”, ^gSignificant difference (p<0.05) between “0” and “10”, ^hSignificant difference
 446 (p<0.05) between “end” and “10”.

447

448 **Table 4.** Serum cortisol (C; ng·ml⁻¹) at rest (C₀), at the end of a Wingate Anaerobic Test (C_{end}),
 449 during recovery (C₁₀), and area under the curve (AUC) in young trained (YT), young control
 450 (YC), middle-aged trained (MAT), and middle-aged control (MAC) participants, before
 451 training (P1), and after training (P2).

		C ₀	C _{end}	C ₁₀	C AUC
YT	P1	251±28 ^{a,f,g}	421±50 ^{a,c}	471±75	1.66±0.20 ^a
(n=10)	P2	254±22 ^{a,f,g}	412±88 ^{a,c}	451±89	1.70±0.47
YC	P1	247±21 ^{b,f}	344±77 ^b	331±67 ^b	1.88±0.47 ^{b,e}
(n=10)	P2	201±18 ^{b,f,g}	350±66 ^b	382±61	2.79±2.79 ^b
MAT	P1	364±56 ^{f,g}	512±45 ^e	585±67	1.08±0.45
(n=10)	P2	374±46 ^{d,f,g}	602±44 ^d	581±52	1.10±0.29
MAC	P1	363±53 ^{f,g}	544±67	524±90	1.00±0.43
(n=10)	P2	291±81 ^{f,g}	512±66	525±96	1.02±0.56

452 Data are presented as mean±SD. ^aSignificant difference (p<0.05) between YT and MAT,
 453 ^bSignificant difference (p<0.05) between YC and MAC, ^cSignificant difference (p<0.05)
 454 between YT and YC, ^dSignificant difference (p<0.05) between MAT and MAC, ^eSignificant
 455 difference (p<0.05) from before and after training, ^fSignificant difference (p<0.05) between “0”
 456 and “end”, ^gSignificant difference (p<0.05) between “0” and “10”, ^hSignificant difference
 457 (p<0.05) between “end” and “10”.

458

459 **Table 5.** Serum total testosterone:cortisol ratio at rest (TT:C₀), at the end of a Wingate
 460 Anaerobic Test (TT:C_{end}), during recovery (TT:C₁₀), and area under the curve (AUC) in young
 461 trained (YT), young control (YC), middle-aged trained (MAT), and middle-aged control
 462 (MAC) participants, before training (P1), and after training (P2).

		TT:C₀	TT:C_{end}	TT:C₁₀	TT:C AUC
YT (n=10)	P1	0.13±0.03 ^{a,g}	0.10±0.02 ^a	0.09±0.02 ^c	1.66±0.22 ^a
	P2	0.14±0.03	0.10±0.03	0.09±0.04	1.70±0.47
YC (n=10)	P1	0.13±0.04 ^b	0.12±0.04 ^b	0.11±0.02 ^b	1.88±0.47 ^{b,e}
	P2	0.46±0.71 ^{b,f,g}	0.12±0.08 ^b	0.10±0.03 ^b	2.80±2.80 ^b
MAT (n=10)	P1	0.07±0.02	0.07±0.04	0.06±0.04	1.09±0.45
	P2	0.08±0.01	0.07±0.02	0.07±0.03	1.11±0.31
MAC (n=10)	P1	0.07±0.04	0.06±0.04	0.06±0.02	1.01±0.43
	P2	0.09±0.06	0.06±0.03	0.06±0.03	1.03±0.57

463 Data are presented as mean±SD.^aSignificant difference (p<0.05) between YT and MAT,
 464 ^bSignificant difference (p<0.05) between YC and MAC, ^cSignificant difference (p<0.05)
 465 between YT and YC, ^dSignificant difference (p<0.05) between MAT and MAC, ^eSignificant
 466 difference (p<0.05) from before and after training, ^fSignificant difference (p<0.05) between “0”
 467 and “end”, ^gSignificant difference (p<0.05) between “0” and “10”, ^hSignificant difference
 468 (p<0.05) between “end” and “10”.

469

470