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Linking internal marketing orientation to balanced scorecard outcomes in small businesses: The case of travel agencies

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this paper is to examine how internal marketing orientation affects balanced scorecard (BSC) outcomes (financial performance, customer, internal process, learning, and growth) in a small service business context.

Design/methodology/approach – Drawing on small businesses, this study hypothesizes and tests the relationship between internal marketing orientation and performance. Structural equation modeling (SEM) with maximum likelihood estimation is performed to test the relationship between the research variables.

Findings – The results obtained from SEM reveal that internal marketing orientation positively affects the levels of financial and non-financial performance. The results also indicate that non-financial performance measures (that is, customer, internal processes, learning, and growth) directly affect financial performance.

Originality/value – This study unpacks the mechanism that operates between internal marketing orientation and BSC outcomes, and contributes to the academic research on internal marketing orientation in the context of small businesses.

Keywords Internal marketing orientation, Performance, Small businesses, Balanced Scorecard (BSC), Travel agencies
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**Introduction**

In recent decades, businesses worldwide have experienced very extensive changes in all aspects of competitiveness. Indeed, the administrations of both the public and private sectors face serious challenges. Among the strategies effective in addressing these challenges is attending to internal marketing (Campo et al., 2014; Chen and Lin, 2013; Fernández-Mesa and Alegre, 2015; Jia et al., 2014; Saunila, 2014). This is a comprehensive and essential need for all organizations—public, private, and voluntary—of any size (Goodale et al., 2011; Hoque, 2013; Jiménez-Jiménez and Sanz-Valle, 2011).

The orientation of internal marketing with regard to business performance concerns all different organizations. Increasing globalization and technological advancements have resulted in the need for organizations to focus on their internal marketing orientation to achieve profitability in recent years (Ahmed et al., 2003). In other words, most definitions of profitability are focused on customer and internal marketing orientation in terms of the quality of services provided (for example, by small businesses) (Chen and Chang, 2012). Based on the evidence and the different characteristics of different industries, customers’ behavior in judging the quality of services tends to depend on the providers’ behavior in that industry. Enhancing and improving the internal marketing orientation can help businesses succeed, increase customer performance, and improve organizational performance (Tajeddini, 2011).

Nowadays, many organizations, companies, and economic and social segments have realized the importance of internal marketing orientation. This trend is mainly the result of the increasingly complex business environment in which organizations are faced with competitive and technological bottlenecks, and thus continuing to employ traditional methods is highly problematic. Moreover, for small businesses in particular, considering the internal marketing
orientation is indispensable. In this regard, the promotion of internal marketing orientation in services can lead to improved performance (Huang and Rundle-Thiele, 2014). Internal marketing orientation has come to be considered a way of thinking that when employed in service businesses will drive the growth and development of the industry and affect organizational performance (Ceylan, 2013; Gunday et al., 2011).

On the other hand, changes in the intra-industry era and expansion in the service sector, both worldwide and in Iran, have created conditions in which small businesses can play an active role in key service sectors in society and the economy. These changes have emerged as opportunities for small businesses.

With respect to the significance of internal marketing orientation issues and their effects on the performance of small businesses, there is currently a lack of comprehensive study of small businesses, and thus this study is based on a practical approach to detecting and analyzing relationships among the constructs.

**Literature review**

**Internal marketing orientation**

The concept of internal marketing has attracted considerable coverage in the academic literature (Leo et al., 2002; Lings and Greenley, 2005; Naudé et al., 2003; Pitt and Foreman, 1999). The term “internal marketing” has been “widely used by academics in portraying an emphasis on viewing employees as partners to an organization’s effort at achieving organizational success rather than as a cost” (Papasolomou-Doukakis, 2002, p. 87). In service organizations, employees play a major role in attracting customers, providing the overall service experience, and ensuring
customer performance (Ruizalba and Bermúdez-González, 2014). Moreover, every organization has an internal market with internal customers and internal suppliers, and it is necessary—indeed essential—to fulfil the needs of internal customers for the success of the organization. Marketing based on internal customers (employees) is called internal marketing orientation (Hassangholipour et al., 2012). Internal marketing aims to train and compensate internal customers, and provide overall human resource management to ensure better service provision (Cooper and Cronin, 2000).

Internal marketing focuses on attracting, developing, motivating, and retaining employees, thus ensuring high-quality work by viewing a job as a product and attempting to satisfy its requirements. In other words, internal marketing comprises a philosophy, customer relationships, and mutual ties between employees (internal customers) in an organization (Nasr Esfehani et al., 2012). Employees who are in touch with customers in service organizations play a vital role in the process of providing the service (Hossainee and Rahmani, 2012), and constitute the primary organizational market (Sasser and Arbe, 1976). Achieving an organization’s long-term prospects largely depends on the organization’s employees (Lings and Greenley, 2009; Shiu and Yu, 2010; Torosta et al., 2009). When employees have the opportunity to identify with the organization and become familiar with the organization’s prospects simultaneously, this understanding will have an effect on the personnel and lead to improvements in the quality of service provision to external customers (Awwad and Agti, 2011). Internal marketing is based on two main parts. First, before satisfying customers’ needs, satisfying employees needs serious consideration. Second, the same rules applied to the business market must be applied in the internal market (Nasr Esfehani et al., 2013).
Generally, it can be said that the implementation of internal marketing in the service sector can increase organizations’ capabilities and competence, which can result in improved customer performance and organizational performance (Abzari et al., 2011).

**Organizational performance**

Organizational performance refers to the “measurement and assessment of the actual achieved goal level of the management of a business based on projected programmes” (Shiu and Yu, 2010, p. 797). An organization adopts a range of specific strategies to achieve its objectives. This process is monitored and controlled by the measurement of performance (Panigyrakis and Theodoridis, 2009). The assessment of business performance is one of the most important aspects of an organization as the key to achieving continuous improvement is the ability to continuously assess and measure organizational performance. Furthermore, many organizations have realized the importance of continuous performance evaluation and have applied different evaluation systems.

Between 1850 and 1975, organizations only evaluated financial criteria to assess performance. This was criticized for various reasons, such as encouraging a short-term perspective, a lack of strategic focus, and the inability to provide data on quality, responsiveness, flexibility, as well as the failure to encourage an optimistic viewpoint, or to provide information on customers’ demands and the performance of competitors (Fernandes et al., 2006). Shiu and Yu (2010, p. 793) argue that “with the rapid changes in the working environment and tough business competition, the advantage derived from factor inputs is shrinking. The key success factor for businesses is no longer cash, but human capital.” The impact of internal market orientation on market orientation, and the combined impacts of these internal and external orientations on organizational performance continue to be perceived as important areas of study (Lings and
Greenley, 2009, p. 42). However, despite this, the study of how internal marketing orientation affects balanced scorecard (BSC) outcomes (financial performance, customer, internal process, learning, and growth) in the small service business context remains relatively under-developed.

In this research, drawing on a comprehensive study of BSC perspectives for evaluating performance and increasing the use of strategic management, four key performance indicators are employed as the basis for the evaluation of performance. Kaplan and Norton (1996) introduced the BSC as a tool for evaluating the performance of an organization. The BSC provides a framework for performance measurement using a set of financial and non-financial measures (Craig and Moores, 2010). The general model proposed by Kaplan and Norton (1996) evaluates the performance of organizations by examining four aspects: financial, customer, internal processes, and learning and growth (Milis and Mercken, 2004).

This study aims to assess the impact of internal marketing orientation on business performance through a conceptual model (Figure 1). Awareness of the impacts of these factors may enable more efficient planning to improve the performance of small businesses. The research hypotheses are formulated based on Kaplan and Norton’s (1996) model and are as follows:

H1. Internal marketing orientation has a significant impact on customer performance.

H2. Internal marketing orientation has a significant impact on internal process performance.

H3. Internal marketing orientation has a significant impact on learning and growth performance.

H4. Internal marketing orientation has a significant impact on financial performance.

H5. Customer performance has a significant impact on financial performance.

H6. Internal processes performance has a significant impact on financial performance.
H7. The learning and growth dimension has a significant impact on financial performance.

**Methodology**

*Data collection*

The research instrument was translated and back-translated from English to Persian. A pre-test with experts on business management, organizational behavior, and performance management was conducted to confirm the content validity of the questionnaire. After some revisions, the final version of questionnaire was prepared for use. To collect the data, the questionnaire, a covering letter, and a stamped addressed envelope were sent to 116 travel agencies with fewer than 50 employees in Iran. The questionnaire package was addressed to the managing director. Within the cut-off period of seven weeks after distribution, 227 usable questionnaires were received. Table 1 shows the demographic features of the statistical sample.

[Insert Table 1 about here]

*Measures*

The research model includes three factors and each factor is measured with multiple items. All items were adopted from the extant literature to improve content validity. These items were first translated into Persian by the researchers. All items are measured on a five-point Likert scale ranging from disagree (1) to agree (5).

The measurement items adopted for all the constructs in the research models were drawn from the existing literature. The study uses a scale of eight items adapted from Ahmed et al. (2003) to measure internal marketing orientation. Performance is measured through a 16-item scale adapted from Shahin et al. (2014).
The statistical procedures and measures used in this paper follow the methodologies recommended by Hair et al. (1998), and Khong and Richardson (2003). These methodologies aim to find the causal relationships between internal marketing orientation and performance. The procedures and measures, in chronological order, are reliability analysis, confirmatory factor analysis, and structural equation modeling (SEM).

**Reliability and validity**

Reliability is the ‘extent to which a variable or set of variables is consistent in what it is intended to measure’ (Hair et al., 1998, p. 90). Reliability analysis in this paper refers to the internal consistency of variables, measured by interval scale items, in a summated scale. The summated scales, which are the concepts or constructs, should be measured by highly correlated manifesting variables. In short, the summated scale of the manifesting variables must consistently determine their respective constructs. In the context of this paper, two major scales are used, that is internal marketing orientation and performance. Cronbach’s alpha was used to verify the internal consistency. Cronbach’s alpha values greater than 0.70 are acceptable and deemed to be adequate (Nunnally and Bernstein, 1994). Based on analysis in the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), the research variables—internal marketing and performance—show significant internal consistency with values of 0.91 and 0.96 respectively. This indicates that the survey instrument (questionnaire) is a reliable tool for measuring the concepts (constructs) consistently.

The study used confirmatory factor analysis to test the construct validity. It is common that variables with high factor loadings are assigned to describe the respective factors. Therefore, variables that have low loadings on respective factors are constrained to zero (Hair et al., 1998). According to Carmines and Zeller (1979), the acceptable threshold for factor loading is 0.3 or
above. Consequently, variables with loadings less than 0.3 will be constrained to zero. The results of the confirmatory factor analysis are presented in Table 2.

[Insert Table 2 about here]

Data analysis

After collecting the questionnaires, data were analyzed using SEM in statistical software such as SPSS version 20 and Amos 20. Generally, SEM is used to estimate “multiple and interrelated dependence relationships and the ability to represent unobserved concepts in these relationships and account for measurement error in the estimation process” (Hair et al., 1998, p. 584). SEM is particularly useful in this study because it can estimate “a series of separate, but interdependent, multiple regression equations simultaneously” in a specified structural model (Hair et al., 1998). The indices for the overall fit of the model included the root mean squared error of approximation (RMSEA), normed chi-square (CMIN/DF), the comparative fit index (CFI), the goodness-of-fit index (GFI), and the adjusted goodness-of-fit index (AGFI). For a good model fit, RMSEA must be less than 0.1, CMIN/DF must be less than 3, and CFI, GFI, and AGFI must be greater than 0.90 (Allameh et al., 2015).

Findings

First, the fitness of the two measurement models was separately analyzed. As shown in Table 3, the values of the fit indices all reach the acceptable levels.

[Insert Table 3 about here]

Next, the fitness of the structural model was tested. As the findings show, the overall fit of the
structural models is acceptable ($\chi^2$/df = 2.81, RMSEA = 0.05, GFI= 0.97, CFI= 0.99, and AGFI=0.95).

All tests are directional t-tests of the critical ratios of the regression weight estimates over the estimates of their standard errors provided in the AMOS output. When critical ratios are in the range of -1.96 to 1.96, the hypothesis is rejected (Hair et al., 1998). As shown in Table 4, the path coefficients from the internal marketing construct to the non-financial performance and financial performance measures are positive and significant: customer performance ($\beta= 0.76$, CR= 7.07), internal process ($\beta= 0.84$, CR= 13.66), learning and growth ($\beta= 0.91$, CR= 13.05), and financial performance ($\beta= 0.32$, CR= 2.66). These findings indicate that H1, H2, H3, and H4 are supported, showing that an increase in the internal marketing level is necessary to enhance performance.

The results of the regression analysis presented in Table 4 show that non-financial performance measures have a positive effect on financial performance: customer performance ($\beta= 0.16$, CR= 2.95), internal process ($\beta= 0.24$, CR= 4.12), and learning and growth ($\beta= 0.30$, CR= 3.32).

[Insert Table 4 about here]

**Discussion of results**

Service sector employees in small businesses facilitate the implementation of organizational strategies and performance through effective interaction with customers. In addition, excellent performance should be sought through an internal marketing approach at all levels. To achieve organizational objectives in this direction, small businesses should begin to pay attention to those
dealing with customers. Given the importance of this issue, this research examines the impact of internal marketing on performance in the hospitality industry.

The seven hypotheses proposed and investigated are here discussed in turn. The first hypothesis concerned the effect of internal marketing on customer performance. The results of this study are in line with others performed in the hospitality and tourism sectors. Kaurav et al. (2015) found that internal marketing is critical in enhancing the business performance of hotels in terms of ensuring customer performance. In paying attention to internal marketing in an organization, the aim is to notice employees and empower them in designing a plan to prioritize customer performance. This result suggests that organizations successfully implementing internal marketing can provide the necessary environment to ensure creativity and excellence among their employees, thus enhancing customer performance.

Regarding the second hypothesis, the results indicate that the impact of internal marketing on the internal process dimension of small businesses is positive and significant. Previous empirical studies have shown the influence of internal marketing practices on performance in terms of the internal process perspective (Hossainee and Rahmani, 2012; Sharifkhani et al., 2016). Recent research has demonstrated that internal marketing, especially leadership—as one of the dimensions of internal marketing—has a positive impact on internal processes in hospitality. For example, Salehzadeh et al. (2015) found that leadership influences hotel performance, particularly with regard to the internal process dimension.

In terms of the third research hypothesis, the results show a positive effect of internal marketing on learning and growth, thus confirming H3. Thus, the more small businesses focus on internal marketing, the more their performance improves. This finding is consistent with those of some
other researchers. Akroush and Al-Mohammad (2010) concluded that internal marketing has a significant impact on all aspects of organizational performance. In another study, Yu and Barnes (2010) examined the effect of internal marketing on organizational performance, showing that having a successful marketing plan has a positive effect on employees’ attitudes and behavior. In this case, internal marketing has a direct and positive effect on employees’ performance, which translates into better organizational performance, and thus improves overall performance. Therefore, internal marketing will have a positive effect on the overall performance of the organization. Our findings are consistent with the findings of these researchers.

The results for the fourth hypothesis show that internal marketing has an impact on financial performance, thus confirming H4. That is, the implementation of strategic rewards in the organization will result in higher financial performance in terms of the indicators of organizational excellence. This finding is in line with the results of Akroush and Al-Mohammad (2010) and Yu and Barnes (2010). Thus, managers need regularly to generate information determining what employees want from the travel agency and their real feelings about their jobs. To achieve this, managers should carry out honest appraisals and should undertake a considerable amount of internal marketing research. This finding provides an important insight in terms of the need to collect and analyze information related to internal marketing (Panigyrakis and Theodoridis, 2009; Rodrigues and Pinho, 2012).

This finding indicates the importance of internal marketing and is of practical use for small businesses in the service sector. Specifically, it is recommended that managers promote the implementation of appropriate internal marketing principles to improve their financial performance.
Concerning the interrelationships among the four BSC perspectives, the results demonstrate that all of the hypotheses (H5, H6, and H7) are supported. This supports the findings of Kang et al. (2015) and Okongwu et al. (2015), and the significant correlations identified by Lee et al. (2013) and Lin (2015).

Conclusions

Theoretical contributions

By adopting an SEM approach, this study has succeeded in empirically confirming some of the relationships between internal marketing and performance based on the BSC approach, results that have been reported in the extant literature in a dispersed manner in the context of hospitality and tourism. Recently, some studies have empirically examined the effects of internal marketing on organizational performance (Kaurav et al., 2015; Panigyrakis and Theodoridis, 2009), but few studies have linked internal marketing and BSC dimensions from a holistic perspective.

The BSC performance dimensions reflecting the benefits for travel agencies related to financial performance, customer satisfaction, learning and growth, and internal processes are strongly validated and supported through the framework suggested in present research. Therefore, it is logical to assume that this study can provide a theoretical model for researchers aiming to acquire an in-depth understanding of the relation between internal marketing and performance in small businesses engaged in hospitality and tourism.

Managerial implications
The results of this study have implications for the tourism context in terms of internal marketing practices and organizational performance. This research provides further empirical evidence concerning the impact of internal marketing practices on performance.

Organizational performance is a very important topic in travel agencies. Research has shown that the travel agency industry has a long tradition of detailed and broad performance measurement (Choi et al., 2014), and the BSC method has been applied to various travel agency systems (Huang, 2008). The results of this study show that internal marketing can increase organizational performance (level of customer satisfaction, internal business processes, financial performance, and learning and growth practices).

Despite the achievements made in the Iranian tourism sector over the last few decades and the more promising scenario resulting from the nuclear agreement reached on July 14, 2015 (Khodadadi, 2016), Iranian travel agencies face a number of serious challenges concerning tourism outcomes, such as service quality and efficiency (Hossainee and Rahmani, 2012). Therefore, it is very important to pay attention to methods for increasing organizational performance. Many studies have shown that human resource practices will affect organizational performance (Ceylan, 2013). In this regard, implementing internal marketing practices would be very effective. As mentioned by Huang and Rundle-Thiele (2014), an internal marketing program needs constant management support to be successful. On this basis, various recommendations are proposed (Ahmed et al., 2003).

To improve performance through internal marketing, first, managers must link the rewards system to organizational business goals and inform employees of how and why they will be rewarded. Second, managers must build ownership among their employees, creating
understanding of organizational goals and providing information to all employees. In addition, managers must provide adequate resources for training and developing their employees. Finally, to improve leadership practices, managers need to possess the relevant leadership competencies: They must move their organizations and employees in the right direction.

Limitations and future research

As well as considering the strengths of the study, it is also necessary to address its limitations. First of all, this study focuses on small businesses, and thus the results cannot be generalized to medium-sized and large firms; this is particularly the case for manufacturing industries due to the specific challenges they face and the various factors influencing their effectiveness. Second, this study considers small businesses only in Iran. Thus, undertaking research with an international scope in terms of small businesses in the field would be beneficial. The second limitation concerns the existence of other variables not considered in this study, but that may influence the performance of small businesses, and play a mediating role in the relation between internal marketing and performance. In addition, all the data were collected using a cross-sectional approach, and therefore the results may be limited to the specific period of the study.

Future research should also examine the effects of the variables considered here in other sectors. In particular, addressing this topic with other samples will be important to generalize the findings. Moreover, this is a quantitative study, but the trend for undertaking qualitative research in the field of small businesses is increasing. In sum, researchers should examine small businesses using more comprehensive models and employing a qualitative perspective in the
future. Finally, the inclusion of one or more variables as mediating factors clearly provides avenues for further research and would enable development of the implementation of the BSC for different service industries.
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Fig. 1. The proposed model.
Table 1. Demographic features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>55.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-55</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;55</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhD</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Results of factor analysis and reliability tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Factor loading</th>
<th>α</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal marketing</td>
<td>IM1</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IM2</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IM3</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IM4</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IM5</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IM6</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IM7</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IM8</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE1</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE2</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE3</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE4</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE5</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE6</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE7</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE8</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE9</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE10</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE11</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE12</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE13</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE14</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE15</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE16</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Fit indices of measurement models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>χ²/df</th>
<th>GFI</th>
<th>AGFI</th>
<th>CFI</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IM</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut-off value</td>
<td>3&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;0.90</td>
<td>&gt;0.90</td>
<td>&gt;0.90</td>
<td>0.10&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Results of the structural model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Path</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C.R.</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>IM → CU</td>
<td>0.76***</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>IM → IP</td>
<td>0.84***</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>IM → LG</td>
<td>0.91***</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>IM → FP</td>
<td>0.32**</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>CU → FP</td>
<td>0.16**</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>IP → FP</td>
<td>0.24***</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7</td>
<td>LG → FP</td>
<td>0.30***</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *** P< 0.001; ** P< 0.01; * P< 0.05; IM: Internal Marketing; FP: Financial performance, CU: customer, IP: Internal process, LG: Learning & growth