



UWS Academic Portal

Erratum to

Ismail, Fatene; Mackay, William G.; Kerry, Andrew; Staines, Harry; Rooney, Kevin D.

Published in:

Scandinavian Journal Of Trauma, Resuscitation And Emergency Medicine

DOI:

[10.1186/s13049-016-0227-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13049-016-0227-2)

Published: 31/03/2016

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication on the UWS Academic Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Ismail, F., Mackay, W. G., Kerry, A., Staines, H., & Rooney, K. D. (2016). Erratum to: The accuracy and timeliness of a point of care lactate measurement in patients with sepsis. *Scandinavian Journal Of Trauma, Resuscitation And Emergency Medicine*, 24(1), [41]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13049-016-0227-2>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the UWS Academic Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact pure@uws.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ERRATUM

Open Access



Erratum to: The accuracy and timeliness of a Point Of Care lactate measurement in patients with Sepsis

Fatene Ismail¹, William G. Mackay², Andrew Kerry³, Harry Staines⁴ and Kevin D. Rooney^{1,5*}

Erratum

After publication of the original article [1], the authors noticed a mistake in Table 2. Patient numbers in lactate classification categories Low, Medium and High were incorrectly reported as forty one, seven and two respectively in the GEM 4000 column. The patient numbers that should have been reported in Table 2 are forty, three and seven. Table 2 appears in its correct form in this erratum.

Additionally, the incorrect patient numbers from Table 2 were reported in the 'Results' section. The 'Comparison of i-STAT against GEM premier 4000' sub-section should therefore have read as follows:

"Among the 50 samples (from 11 ICU patients) analysed on the GEM 4000 and the i-STAT; there were forty samples classified as low, three samples as medium and seven samples as high lactate risk level categories."

This mistake does not alter the validity of the results and conclusions as all lactate samples analysed on the i-STAT and GEM 4000 analysers fell in the same lactate risk categories.

Author details

¹Institute of Healthcare Policy and Practice, School of Health, Nursing and Midwifery, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, UK. ²Institute of Healthcare Associated Infection, University of the West of Scotland and University Hospital Crosshouse, Kilmarnock, UK. ³Biochemistry Laboratory, Royal Alexandra Hospital, Paisley, UK. ⁴Sigma Statistical Services, Balmullo, UK. ⁵Intensive Care Unit, Royal Alexandra Hospital and Institute of Healthcare Policy and Practice, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, UK.

Published online: 31 March 2016

Reference

1. Ismail F, Mackay WG, Kerry A, Staines H, Rooney KD. The accuracy and timeliness of a point of care lactate measurement in patients with Sepsis. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med.* 2015;23:68. doi:10.1186/s13049-015-0151-x.

* Correspondence: Kevin.Rooney@uws.ac.uk

¹Institute of Healthcare Policy and Practice, School of Health, Nursing and Midwifery, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, UK

⁵Intensive Care Unit, Royal Alexandra Hospital and Institute of Healthcare Policy and Practice, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, UK

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



Table 2 Lactate risk category classification determined by the i-STAT and the blood gas analysers

Risk category	i-STAT	GEM 4000	Risk category	i-STAT	OMNI S
Low <2.5 mmol/L (n = 40)	40	40	Low <2.5 mmol/L (n = 45)	45	45
Medium 2.5 – 3.99 mmol/L (n = 3)	3	3	Medium 2.5 – 3.99 mmol/L (n = 2)	2	2
High \geq 4 mmol/L (n = 7)	7	7	High \geq 4 mmol/L (n = 0)	0	0

The above table shows that all lactate samples (50) analysed on the i-STAT and the GEM 4000 analysers fell in the same lactate risk level categories. Similarly, all lactate samples (47) analysed on the i-STAT and the OMNI S fell in the same lactate risk level categories.