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Bio-Guided Isolation of Antimalarial Metabolites from the Coculture of Two Red Sea Sponge-Derived Actinokineospora and Rhodococcus spp.
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Abstract: Coculture is a productive technique to trigger microbes’ biosynthetic capacity by mimicking the natural habitats’ features principally by competition for food and space and interspecies cross-talks. Mixed cultivation of two Red Sea-derived actinobacteria, Actinokineospora spheciospongiae strain EG49 and Rhodococcus sp. UR59, resulted in the induction of several non-traced metabolites in their axenic cultures, which were detected using LC–HRMS metabolomics analysis. Antimalarial guided isolation of the cocultured fermentation led to the isolation of the angucyclines actinosporins E (1), H (2), G (3), tetragulol (4) and the anthraquinone capillasterquinone B (5), which were not reported under axenic conditions. Interestingly, actinosporins were previously induced when the axenic culture of the Actinokineospora spheciospongiae strain EG49 was treated with signalling molecule N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GluNAc); this finding confirmed the effectiveness of coculture in the discovery of microbial metabolites yet to be discovered in the axenic fermentation with the potential that could be comparable to adding chemical signalling molecules in the fermentation flask. The isolated angucycline and anthraquinone compounds exhibited in vitro antimalarial activity and good biding affinity against lysyl-tRNA synthetase (PKRS1), highlighting their potential developability as new antimalarial structural motif.
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1. Introduction

Exploring microbial forms of communication and utilising them in the production of secondary metabolites is of benefit in the process of natural products drug discovery [1]. Thus far, microbial secondary metabolites remain the major source for antimicrobial agents [2–4]. However, gene sequencing of many microbial genome showed that several species, mainly filamentous bacteria and fungi, apply a considerable part of their genes...
for secondary metabolism (10–15%) [5,6]. Remarkably, most microorganism genes are silent and have no role during laboratory cultivation [7]. Robert Koch used cultures for only one species of microorganism “axenic growth” to provide an apparent elucidation for this phenomenon of silent genes [8]. Microorganism culture in laboratory included macro- and micro-nutrients, constant temperature, adjusted pH, high water activity, and no contact with other world microbes, and thus a significant part of microorganisms’ secondary metabolites, mainly those responsible for interaction, communication, or involving in fights with other species, are not found in microbial metabolites. Therefore, the new approach of co-cultivation provides a massive chance to motivate the silent genes and increase the opportunity to discover cryptic bioactive metabolites [1]. The fortune of “uncultivable” diversity is represented as the “microbial dark matter”, the part of microorganisms that was unable to be cultivated in the laboratory until now [1]. The first reported mixed culture was in 1918; it was a coculture of Escherichia coli and Bacillus paratyphosus [9]. Up until now, natural product discovery, biotechnology, and microbiology scientists work on the discovery of coculture or mixed culture experiments to study the difference in the secondary metabolites produced during these trials compared to “axenic growth” [1]. From the examples for coculture and secondary metabolites production, mixed culture of Acrocomium sp. and Mycogoneraea that produced new lipoaminopeptides, the acromestatins A-C [10]. Coculture of the marine-derived fungi Aspergillus fumigatus together with two desert bacterial isolates yielded new compounds, namely, luteoride D and pseurotin G [11]. Furthermore, a new N-methoxypropyridone was discovered from a mixed fermentation of two endophytic fungi Camporesia sambuci and Epicoccum sorghinum isolated from the fruit of Rhodomyrtus tomentosa plant, collected on the Big Island in Hawaii [12]. New antifungal pulicatin derivatives H and I were induced following coculturing of plant-derived bacterium Pantoaea agglomerans and the fungus Penicillium citrinum [13]. All these examples exemplify that co-cultivation of microorganisms induces new secondary metabolites that can be recommended as an appropriate way to produce diverse bioactive microbial metabolites.

Malaria was identified as a lethal disease caused by Plasmodium parasites, which infect humans through the malaria vector Anopheles mosquitoes. To date, five species of parasites have been identified as causatives of malaria in humans; two of them cause serious infections—P. falciparum and P. vivax. Studying the malaria cases worldwide revealed that 29 countries accounted for 95% of malaria cases. The majority of cases (82%) and deaths (94%) were reported in the WHO African region, followed by the WHO South-East Asia region (10% cases and 3% deaths) (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240015791, accessed on 30 January 2021). Malaria management and suppression require a complicated method. Up until now, two important antimalarial drugs are used to control infection. These two bitter principle drugs are derived from plants: artemisinin obtained from Artemisia annua L. (4th century, China), and quinine alkaloid obtained from Cinchona sp. (17th century, South America) [14]. The WHO recommends artemisinin combination therapy (ACT) as the first treatment plan in most malarial cases. However, in 2009, resistance to artemisinin combination therapy was reported. The emerging of drug resistance led to increased malaria cases and an increase in mortality [15]. Thus, the WHO endorsed using a combination of two drugs that work in different mechanisms to control drug resistance. The latest reports from Southeast Asia and India [16] showed the limitation of disease resistance to combination of artemisinin and other drugs as mefloquine and piperaquine [17]. Lacking effective new generation of medicines against malarial invasion, the number of new cases and deaths may rise. Thus, developing antimalarial therapeutics is important to save a large number of lives.

In this work, we discuss the application of co-cultivation of two actinobacteria: Actinokineospora spheciospongiæ strain EG49 and Rhodococcus sp. UR59 recovered from Red Sea sponges as a strategy to stimulate silent genes and discover cryptic secondary metabolites within both strains. Additionally, antimalarial-guided fractionation of the bacterial coculture extract led to the isolation and characterisation of a few active metabolites.
against P. falciparum. A potential antimalarial target is proposed on the basis of molecular docking experiments against a number of reported targets.

2. Results and Discussions

2.1. Identification of Red Sea Sponge-Associated Actinobacteria

Two Red sea sponge-associated actinobacteria were isolated and taxonomically identified. Actinokineospora spheciospongiae strain EG49 was previously characterised [18,19]. The other actinobacterial strain was taxonomically identified as Rhodococcus sp. UR59, according to its morphology and its 16S rRNA genome sequence and phylogenetic analyses (Figure 1).
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**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic tree of the *Rhodococcus* sp. UR59 isolate and the closest relatives in terms of the 16S rRNA gene marker. The accession numbers are indicated in brackets.

2.2. Metabolomics Analysis of the Coculture Extract of Actinokineospora spheciospongiae Strain EG49 and Rhodococcus sp. UR59 Using LC–HRMS

The analysis of the metabolomics data (Table 1) revealed 34 microbial secondary metabolites, of which 9 were detected from *Actinokineospora spheciospongiae* strain EG49 and the rest from *Rhodococcus* sp. UR59. Additionally, the analysis revealed the presence of diverse microbial chemical classes, namely, 10 angucyclines, 7 peptides, 3 macrolides, 3 anthraquinones, 2 polyenes, 2 polyethers, 2 phenolics, and 1 glycolipid. The predicted formula C$_{16}$H$_{18}$N$_2$O$_4$ was annotated as mitomycin-K [20,21], whereas C$_{18}$H$_{14}$O$_6$ was dereplicated as flusotatin-B, an inhibitor of dipeptidyl peptidase III that was previously isolated from *Streptomyces* sp. TA-3391 [22]. Moreover, the predicted formulas C$_{32}$H$_{33}$O$_{15}$ and C$_{31}$H$_{33}$O$_{13}$ were dereplicated as actinosporin A and C, respectively, which were discovered from the culture of *Actinokineospora spheciospongiae* strain EG49 [23,24]. The formula C$_{21}$H$_{18}$O$_8$ was dereplicated as daunomycinone, which was reported from *Streptomyces coeruleorubid* [25].
The formulas $C_{26}H_{25}O_{11}$ and $C_{25}H_{24}O_{8}$ were dereplicated as atramycin A and B, respectively. These isoretetracene metabolites were discovered from *Streptomyces atratus* BY90 [26]. Additionally, the suggested molecular formula $C_{18}H_{12}O_{3}$ was dereplicated as lagumycin B, which was previously isolated from *Micromonospora* sp. [27], while the formula $C_{16}H_{12}O_{3}$ was dereplicated as the isoflavonoid kakkatin that was reported from the soil-derived *Streptomyces* strain YIM GS3536. Moreover, it was discovered in another terrestrial *Streptomyces* sp. GW39/1530 [28,29]. Furthermore, the molecular formula $C_{9}H_{6}NO_{3}$ was dereplicated as erbstatin, a simple dehydrotyrosine derivative isolated from *Streptomyces amnusiaensis* [30,31]. Additionally, the molecular formula $C_{36}H_{48}N_{2}O_{8}$ was dereplicated as ansatrienin A, previously detected in *Streptomyces puvpuvogenisclevoticus* [47]. The molecular formula $C_{8}H_{26}NO_{3}$ was dereplicated as migrastatin, kaimonolide B, rhodopeptin C1, rhodopeptin C2, and rhodopeptin B5 were dereplicated on the basis of literature. However, mitomycin-K, 8,15-dideoxylankanolide, piericidin-F, noramide B, which was detected in a marine bacterium highly corelated to the genus *Streptomyces* [35]. Likewise, the formula $C_{17}H_{26}O_{4}$ was dereplicated as cineromycin-B antibiotic that showed significant MRSA inhibition, which was isolated from the actinomycetale strain INA 2770 [36]. The formula $C_{19}H_{27}N_{5}O_{7}$ was annotated as heterobactin B, a siderophore discovered from *Rhodococcus erythropolis* IGTS8 [37], while the formula $C_{26}H_{30}NO_{3}$ was dereplicated as piericidin-F, which was reported from *Streptomyces* sp. CHQ-64 [38]. Additionally, the formula $C_{27}H_{39}NO_{7}$ was annotated as migrastatin, which was reported as a tumour cell migration inhibitor and isolated from *Streptomyces* sp. MK929-43F1 [39]. Moreover, the formula $C_{24}H_{46}N_{6}O_{8}$ was dereplicated as proferrioxamine-A1, a siderophore isolated from *Streptomyces xinghaiensis* NRRL B-24674T [40]. Furthermore, the formula $C_{23}H_{35}O_{5}$ was dereplicated as the 16-membered lactone protylonolide, which was identified as the metabolite of mycaminose idiotroph that has been obtained from *Streptomyces fradiae* KA-427 [41]. Moreover, the formula $C_{37}H_{60}O_{11}$ was dereplicated as the polyether 26-deoxylaidlomycin isolated from *Streptoverticillium olivoreticuli* IMET 43,782 [42], while the suggested formula $C_{35}H_{68}O_{10}$ was dereplicated as macrolide kaimonolide B, which was discovered in *Streptomyces* sp. no. 4155 and shown to significantly inhibit plant growth [43]. Furthermore, the formula $C_{25}H_{44}O_{7}$ was dereplicated as 8,15-dideoxylankeolidine, which was reported in *Streptomyces rochei* 7434AN4 [44]. The molecular formula $C_{34}H_{60}O_{16}$ was identified as the polyether antibiotic lisenimycin-A, previously discovered in *Streptomyces* sp. no. 5057 [45]. Likewise, the formula $C_{26}H_{46}N_{6}O_{5}$ was identified as the cytotoxic peptide lucentamycin C, which was reported from a marine-derived actinomycete *Nocardiopsis lucentensis* CNR-712 [46]. Finally, the formula $C_{30}H_{42}O_{14}$ was dereplicated as glucolipsin-A, a glucokinase activator that has been isolated from *Streptomyces puwpupigenisclevoticus* [47].

It is worth noting that the compounds listed in Table 1 were traced in the LC–HRESIMS analysis of the coculture extract. The producing strain for each compound was predicted on the basis of literature. However, mitomycin-K, 8,15-dideoxylankeolidine, piericidin-F, migrastatin, kaimonolide B, rhodopeptin C1, rhodopeptin C2, and rhodopeptin B5 were also traced in the axenic culture of *Rhodococcus* sp. UR59. Additionally, actinosporins A and C, and UK-2B were also traced in the axenic culture of *Actinokineospora sphecospongiae* strain EG49. All other reported metabolites in Table 1 were not traced in the axenic cultures and were induced during the coculture fermentation.
Table 1. Metabolomics analysis of the coculture extract of Actinokineospora spheciospongiae strain EG49 and Rhodococcus sp. UR59.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rt (min)</th>
<th>m/z [M – H]^−</th>
<th>m/z [M + H]^+</th>
<th>Molecular Formula</th>
<th>Tentative Identification</th>
<th>Strain EG49</th>
<th>Strain UR59</th>
<th>Coculture</th>
<th>Bioactivity</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>303.1341</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{14}H_{13}NO_{4}</td>
<td>Mitomycin-K</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antitumor</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>327.0866</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{14}H_{14}O_{6}</td>
<td>Fluostatin-B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antinociceptive</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>657.1821</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{28}H_{30}O_{15}</td>
<td>Actinosporin A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>anti-trypanosomal</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>613.1926</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{28}H_{30}O_{13}</td>
<td>Actinosporin C</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>antioxidant</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>399.1075</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{27}H_{16}O_{8}</td>
<td>Daunomycinone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>599.2125</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{27}H_{15}O_{12}</td>
<td>Actinosporin F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>469.1492</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{27}H_{12}O_{3}</td>
<td>Actinosporin E</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>467.1336</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{25}H_{22}O_{7}</td>
<td>Actinosporin H</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>513.1399</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{25}H_{21}O_{11}</td>
<td>Atramycin A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antitumor</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>451.1389</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{24}H_{22}O_{6}</td>
<td>Actinosporin G</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>309.0757</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{14}H_{13}O_{3}</td>
<td>Lagumycin B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>anticancer</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>178.0499</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{2}H_{2}NO_{3}</td>
<td>Erlstatin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>anticancer</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>635.3315</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{26}H_{30}N_{2}</td>
<td>Ansatrierin A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antitumor</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>192.0655</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{10}H_{11}NO_{3}</td>
<td>Spoxazomicin C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>anti-trypanosomal</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>661.3568</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{32}H_{26}N_{2}O_{3}</td>
<td>Actinoramide B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>antibacterial</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>293.1749</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{17}H_{25}O_{4}</td>
<td>Cineromycin-B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antibiotic</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>438.1974</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{19}H_{25}N_{2}O_{4}</td>
<td>Heterobactin B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>anticancer</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>451.1391</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{20}H_{24}O_{7}</td>
<td>Atramycin B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>444.2744</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{26}H_{30}NO_{3}</td>
<td>Piericidin-F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>anticancer</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>488.2649</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{27}H_{30}NO_{2}</td>
<td>Migrastatin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>547.3455</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{24}H_{22}NO_{5}</td>
<td>Proferrioxamine-A1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antibiotic</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>393.2640</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{19}H_{18}O_{5}</td>
<td>Protolyonolide</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>683.4347</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{28}H_{32}O_{11}</td>
<td>26-Deoxylaidomycin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antibacterial</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>482.3687</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{25}H_{27}N_{2}O_{4}</td>
<td>Rhodopeptin C1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Antifungal</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>639.4084</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{30}H_{36}O_{10}</td>
<td>Kainonolide B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>plant growth inhibitor</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>496.3846</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{28}H_{32}N_{2}O_{4}</td>
<td>Rhodopeptin C2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antifungal</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>524.4156</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{26}H_{32}N_{2}O_{4}</td>
<td>Rhodopeptin B5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antifungal</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>315.0865</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{17}H_{14}O_{6}</td>
<td>Capillasterquinone B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NO production inhibitor</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>305.0810</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{14}H_{13}O_{4}</td>
<td>Tetrangulol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antibiotic</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>457.3141</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{26}H_{30}O_{7}</td>
<td>8,15-Dideoxylanolide</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>527.2022</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{27}H_{32}N_{2}O_{9}</td>
<td>UK-2B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antifungal</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.01</td>
<td>629.4242</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{28}H_{30}O_{10}</td>
<td>Ferensimycin-A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antibiotic</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>523.3601</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{26}H_{32}N_{2}O_{4}</td>
<td>Lucentaymicy C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>antifungal</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>917.6546</td>
<td></td>
<td>C_{50}H_{62}O_{14}</td>
<td>Glucolipin-A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>glucokinase activator</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. Identification of the Isolated Compounds (1–8)

Chemical structures of the purified metabolites 1–8 from the coculture were assigned on the basis of comparing the LC–HRESIMS analysis, 1D and 2D NMR spectral data, and optical rotation measurements to the published literature (Figure 2). Accordingly, compounds 1–3 have been previously isolated from Actinokineospora spheciospongiae strain EG49 and identified as the angucyclinone antibiotics actinosporins E, H, and G, respectively, through the activation of their cryptic gene cluster by N-acetylglucosamine [50]. Compound 4 was assigned as spoxazomicin C of the pyochelin family of antibiotics, which was previously isolated from the culture broth of the endophyte Streptosporangium oxazolinicum K07-0460T [51]. In contrast, compound 5 was previously identified as the angucyclinone antibiotic tetragulol, which was previously isolated from Streptomyces rimosus [58] and recently from Amycolatopsis sp. HCa1 [59]. Compound 6 was previously discovered as capillasterquinone B, an anthraquinone that was isolated from the crinoid Capillaster multiradiatus [57]. Moreover, compound 7 was identified as L-tryptophanamide. We propose it as an artefact as it was not traced in the LC–MS analysis of either the axenic or the coculture extracts, and thus it was probably generated during the fractionation and purification process. Finally, compound 8 was isolated from Streptomyces sp. 517-02 [57] and identified as UK-2B, an antifungal antibiotic with similarity in structure to antimycin A [60].
Angucyclines are microbial secondary metabolites known as promising antimicrobial, anticancer, and antimalarial agents [63–65]. The core structure of angucyclines is characterised by a benz[a]anthracene ring, an angular tetracycline ring system [60]. The reported angucyclines can be categorised as aglycones such as saccharosporones A, B, and C [60], and glycosylated angucyclines such as pseudonocardones A–C [63] and urdamycinone E, urdamycinone G, and dehydroxyaquayamycin isolated from fungal and bacterial strains [62]. However, different antimalarial activity profiles between aglycones and glycosylated angucyclines have not been explained.

The potential antiparasitic effectiveness of the angucycline scaffold and the promising antimalarial effect exhibited by the total extract of the coculture of *Actinokineospora spheciospongiae* strain EG49 and *Rhodococcus* sp. UR59 (IC_{50} value of 0.13 µg/mL, Table 2) when screened...
against \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} have encouraged us to perform large-scale coculture fermentation. Large-scale fermentation followed by liquid–liquid fractionation and HPLC purification of the active sub-fraction led to the isolation of eight metabolites. The antimalarial screening of the isolated compounds indicated that the angucycline glycosides 1–3 and aglycone 5 and the anthraquinone 6 exhibited antimalarial effect with IC\textsubscript{50} values in the range of 9–13.5 \(\mu\)g/mL in comparison to the IC\textsubscript{50} value of the positive control chloroquine (0.022 \(\mu\)g/mL). The activity of the compounds 1–3, 5, and 6 was further studied by docking against a few known drug targets to suggest these compounds as potential leads to be developed for enhanced activity. It worth noting that the isolated molecules did not show the expected antimalarial activity, which could be attributed to either the synergistic effect of microbial metabolites in the coculture extract or the presence of minor molecules that were too scarce to be isolated even after large-scale fermentation.

### Table 2. Antimalarial effect of the bacterial coculture derived metabolites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>IC\textsubscript{50} Values ((\mu)g/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coculture extract</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{1}\) Average of two independent runs.

### 2.5. Docking Analysis

Compounds (1–3, 5, 6) that showed inhibitory activity against \textit{P. falciparum} were subjected to molecular docking experiments against a number of reported malaria targets, e.g., NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase (PDB: 5JWA), Kelch protein (PDB: 4YY8), \textit{P. falciparum} protein kinase (PDB: 1V0P), NADH dehydrogenase 2 (PDB: 4PD4), and lysyl-tRNA synthetase (PDB: 6AGT). They achieved the best scores (binding energy \(-8.5\) to \(-9.1\) kcal/mol) against the later target, lysyl-tRNA synthetase (PfKRS1). Moreover, they exhibited binding mode inside the active site compared to the co-crystalised ligand \([66]\). As shown in Table 3 and Figure 3, these compounds exhibited multiple interactions with several amino acids inside the enzyme’s active site, where ARG-330, HIS-338, GLU-500, ARG-559, and PHE-342 were the most common interacting ones. Hence, this attractive scaffold can be utilised in the future design of antimalarial therapeutics targeting PfKRS1 (Table 3). Antimalarial effect of the bacterial coculture derived metabolites.

### Table 3. Binding scores and interacting amino acid residues with compounds 1–3, 5, and 6 inside the lysyl-tRNA synthetase (PfKRS1)’s active site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Binding Energy (kcal/mol) (^{a})</th>
<th>H-Bonding</th>
<th>Hydrophobic Interactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(-8.9)</td>
<td>ARG-330</td>
<td>ALA-446, GLU-500, LYS-607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(-8.3)</td>
<td>ASP-450, SER-454, MET-475</td>
<td>ARG-330, HIS-338, ASP-450, GLU-458, GLU-500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(-10.3)</td>
<td>GLU-493</td>
<td>ARG-330, PHE-342, ASP-450, ARG-559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(-9.1)</td>
<td>GLU-500, THR-337</td>
<td>ARG-330, HIS-338, PHE-342, ARG-559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(-9.0)</td>
<td>ARG-330, ASN-339</td>
<td>ARG-330, HIS-338, PHE-342, GLU-500, ARG-559, LYS-607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-crystalised ligand</td>
<td>(-9.5)</td>
<td>ASN-339, GLY-556</td>
<td>ARG-330, HIS-338, PHE-342</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Binding scores and interacting amino acid residues with PfKRS1’s active site. Dashed lines indicate interactions between each ligand and the active site’s amino acid residues. Green colour indicates H-bonding; orange colour indicates π-anion or π-cation interactions; pink colour indicates hydrophobic interactions.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Experimental

Extract purification was conducted by preparative Agilent 1100 series HPLC equipped with gradient pump and DAD using a reversed-phase Sunfire (C18, 5 µm, 10 × 250 mm, serial no. 226130200125). All 1D and 2D NMR spectral data were acquired using a JEOL ECZ-R500 NMR spectrometer equipped with a Royal 5 mm combined broadband and inverse probe. Thermo LTQ Orbitrap coupled to an HPLC system was utilised to acquire HRESIMS data using capillary temperature of 260 °C, capillary voltage of 45 V, sheath gas flow rate of 40 arbitrary units, auxiliary gas flow rate of 10–20 arbitrary units, spray voltage of 4.5 kV, and mass range of 100–2000 amu (maximal resolution of 60,000). Optical rotations and UV spectra acquisition were acquired using a Perkin-Elmer Lambda2 UV–VIS spectrometer, respectively.

3.2. Actinomycetes Isolation

*Callyspongia* sp. was collected from Hurghada (Red Sea, Egypt) at a depth of 5 m and latitude 27°17’01.0” N and longitude 33°46’21.0” E. The sponge specimen was identified by Prof. El-Sayd Abed El-Aziz (Department of Invertebrates Lab., National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Egypt). The sponge was transported in a plastic bag in seawater to the laboratory and washed thoroughly with sterile seawater. The surface sterilised specimen was cut into pieces of ≈1 cm³, followed by vigorous homogenising with 10 volumes of sterile seawater in a pre-sterilised mortar. Serially diluted supernatant (10⁻¹, 10⁻², 10⁻³) was subsequently plated on to the sterile agar plates. For the isolation of different actinomycetes, we used M1, ISP2, and marine agar (MA) media were used [18]. The isolation of slow-growing actinomycetes was performed by supplementing all media with filtered 25 µg/mL nalidixic acid, 25 µg/mL nystatin, and 100 µg/mL cycloheximide. The inoculated plates were stored in an incubator for 6–8 weeks at 30 °C. Subculturing of distinct colony morphotypes resulted in pure strains. *Rhodococcus* sp. UR59 was cultured on ISP2 medium and preserved in 20% glycerol at −80 °C. On the other hand,
Actinokineospora spheciospongiae strain EG49 was previously recovered and identified from the Red Sea sponge Spheciospongia vogabunda [18].

3.3. Molecular Identification and Phylogenetic Analysis

With reference to Hentschel et al., we carried out 16S rRNA gene amplification, cloning, and sequencing using 27F and 1492RNA as universal primers [18]. By using the Pintail programme, we identified chimeric sequences [67]. The sequence’s genus level affiliation was validated using the Project Classifier of the Ribosomal Database. All the sequences were classified at the genus level by the RDP Classifier (g 16srrna, f allran) and confirmed with the SILVA Incremental Aligner (SINA) [68]. Using the SINA Web Aligner, an alignment was determined again (variability profile: bacteria). The Gap-only position with trimALL was eliminated (-noallgaps). The best fitting model was initially calculated for phylogenetic tree construction with the Model Generator. To produce the phylogenetic tree, we applied RAxML (-f a-m GTRGAMMA-x 12345-p 12345 -# 1000) and the estimated model with 1000 bootstrap resamples. With Interactive Tree of Life (ITOL) [69], visualisation was achieved. The BLAST with the accession number MW453143 was deposited at Genebank.

3.4. Co-Cultivation and Extract Preparation

Rhodococcus sp. UR59 and Actinokineospora spheciospongiae strain EG49 were cultivated on liquid media M1 and ISP2 as axenic and cocultures. A total of 20 mL of 3-day-old culture of Rhodococcus sp. was used for large scale fermentation. Rhodococcus sp. UR59 was transferred to 20 × 2 L Erlenmeyer flasks containing 1 L of ISP2 medium pre-inoculated with 20 mL of 4-day-old Actinokineospora spheciospongiae strain EG49 and left for 7 days at 25 °C and 180 rpm in a shaker incubator. After fermentation, the culture was filtered, and the supernatant was extracted twice with ethyl acetate (1.5 L each) followed by evaporation under vacuum to provide the ethyl acetate extract (850 mg).

3.5. Metabolic Profiling

For mass spectrometry analysis, the dry ethyl acetate extracts from different microbial and coculture samples were dissolved in MeOH at 1 mg/mL and subjected to metabolic analysis using LC–HRESIMS according to Abdelmohsen et al. [23]. An Acquity UPLC system coupled to a Synapt G2 HDMS qTOF hybrid mass spectrometer (Waters, Milford, CT, USA) was used to acquire the HRMS data using capillary temperature at 320 °C, spray voltage at 4.5 kV, and mass range of m/z 150–1500; both positive and negative ESI modes were applied. The MS was processed using MZmine 2.20 on the basis of the defined parameters [23]. The chromatogram builder and chromatogram deconvolution were detected and followed by mass ion peaks. The isotopes were differentiated by grouper isotopic peaks and the missing peaks were depicted using the gap-filling peak finder. Then, molecular formula prediction and peak identification were conducted from the processed positive and negative ionisation mode datasets. Finally, the peaks were dereplicated against the Dictionary of Natural Products (DNP) database.

3.6. Metabolites Isolation

The crude co-fermentation ethyl acetate (EtOAc) (850 mg) was chromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 (32–64 µm, 100 × 25 mm) column using an 80:20 MeOH/H2O eluent in order to obtain 5 fractions (Fr.1–Fr.6). The third bioactive fraction (300 mg) was then chromatographed using silica gel column with a gradient elution starting at DCM/EtOAc (100:0 to 0:100) then 100% MeOH to obtain 8 sub-fractions. The active subfractions 4 and 5 were combined (85 mg) and further subjected to semi preparative HPLC purification (Sunfire, C18, 5 µm, 10 × 250 mm) with a gradient of 20%–100% CH3CN in H2O over 30 min and 10 min at 100% CH3CN at 1.5 mL/min flow rate to yield compound 7 (tR 9.6 min, 7.5 mg), 2 (tR 10.7 min, 4.5 mg), 3 (tR 11.2 min, 2.5 mg), 4 (tR 15.2 min, 2.8 mg), 5 (tR 18.3 min, 2.1 mg), 1 (tR 24.6 min, 3.2 mg), 6 (tR 27.2 min, 3.8 mg), and 8 (tR 31.3 min, 1.5 mg).
3.7. Antimalarial Screening

The Malstat assay was used as mentioned earlier to assess the compounds’ antimalarial effect [70,71]. The compounds were dissolved in DMSO (Sigma Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) at concentrations ranging from 50 µg/mL to 0.4 µg/mL, and synchronised *P. falciparum* 3D7 ring stage cultures were placed in duplicate at a parasite level of 1% in 96-well plates (200 µL/well). Chloroquine (CQ; Sigma Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) was used as a positive control. The *P. falciparum* 3D7 parasite was cultured with the compounds at 37 °C in 5% O₂, 5% CO₂, and 90% N₂ for 72 h. After this, 20 µL was transferred to 100 µL of the Malstat reagent (0.1% Triton X-100, 1 g of L-lactate, 0.33 g Tris, and 33 mg of APAD (3-acetylpyridine adenine dinucleotide; Taufkirchen, Germany)) dissolved in 100 mL of distilled water (pH 9.0) in a 96-well microtiter plate. The plasmoidal lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity was then evaluated by adding to the Malstat reaction 20 µL of a 1:1 mixture of diaphorase (1 mg/mL) and nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT). The optical densities were estimated at 630 nM, and the IC₅₀ values were determined using the GraphPad Prism software version 5 from variable-slope sigmoidal dose–response curves (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

3.8. Molecular Docking

Docking analysis was carried out using the Discovery Studio 2.5 software (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Completely automatic docking tool using “Dock ligands (CDOCKER)” procedure operating on Intel Core i32370 CPU @ 2.4 GHz 2.4 GHz, RAM Memory 2 GB under the Windows 10.0 system. Furthermore, these docked compounds were assembled using a software Chem 3D ultra 12.0 (Cambridge Soft Corporation, USA (2010)), and then sent to the Discovery Studio 2.5 software. From this, an automatic protein formulation procedure was conducted through the MMFF94 forcefield with the binding site sphere recognised by the software. The receptor was recorded as “input receptor molecule” in the CDOCKER protocol explorer. Establishing this, the test compounds were subjected to force fields to obtain the minimum energy structure. These poses were ranked and studied thoroughly, showing the best ligand–HDAC interactions from the calculations and 2D and 3D examinations [72,73].

4. Conclusions

Microbial coculture continues to prove its efficiency in triggering the production of cryptic microbial secondary metabolites. Mixed cultivation of two Red Sea-derived actinobacteria, namely, *Actinokineospora spheciospongiae* strain EG49 and *Rhodococcus* sp. UR59, resulted in the induction of several non-traced metabolites in their axenic cultures. Interestingly, actinosporins E–H were reported to be induced when the axenic culture of the *Actinokineospora spheciospongiae* strain EG49 was treated with the signalling molecule GluNAc. Such induction was comparable to that made by the *Rhodococcus* sp. UR59 in the coculture environment, providing the effectiveness of co-cultivation in the discovery of microbial metabolites yet to be discovered in the axenic fermentation with the potential that could be comparable to adding signalling molecules in the fermentation flask. Additionally, the induced actinosporins exhibited a promising antimalarial effect that is likely to be through the inhibition of *P. falciparum* lysyl-tRNA synthetase, which requires further investigation as an interesting structural motif for the development of new antimalarial therapeutics.
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