



UWS Academic Portal

Individualized training based on force-velocity profiling during jumping in ballet dancers

Escobar Álvarez, Juan A.; Fuentes García, Juan P.; Da Conceição, Filipe A.; Jiménez-Reyes, Pedro

Published in:
International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance

DOI:
[10.1123/ijsp.2019-0492](https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2019-0492)

Published: 01/07/2020

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication on the UWS Academic Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Escobar Álvarez, J. A., Fuentes García, J. P., Da Conceição, F. A., & Jiménez-Reyes, P. (2020). Individualized training based on force-velocity profiling during jumping in ballet dancers. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 15(6), 788-794. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2019-0492>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the UWS Academic Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact pure@uws.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Escobar Álvarez, J. A., Fuentes García, J. P., Da Conceição, F. A., & Jiménez-Reyes, P. (2020). Individualized training based on force-velocity profiling during jumping in ballet dancers. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 15(6), 788–794.

Accepted author manuscript version reprinted, by permission, from International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2019-0492>. © Human Kinetics, Inc.

1
2 **Title of the article:** Individualized training based on force-velocity profiling during jumping in
3 ballet dancers.

4
5 **Abstract**

6 Purpose: Ballet dancers are required to achieve performance feats such as exciting and dramatic
7 elevations. Dancers with a greater jump height can perform a wider range of skills during their flight
8 time, and implement more specific technical skills related to the aesthetic components of a dance
9 choreography. New findings suggest the relationship between force and velocity mechanical
10 capabilities (F-V profile) as an important variable for jumping performance. A new field method
11 based on several series of loaded vertical jumps provides information on the theoretical maximal
12 force (F_0), theoretical maximal velocity (V_0), theoretical maximal power (P_{max}) and the imbalance
13 between force and velocity (F- V_{IMB}). The purpose of this study is to observe the effects of 9 weeks
14 of individualized F-V profile- based training during countermovement jumps (CMJ) in female ballet
15 dancers. Methods: CMJ and mechanical outputs of forty-six dancers (Age=18.9±1.1years, body
16 mass=54.8±6.1Kg, height=163.7±8.4cm) were estimated in a pre-post intervention. The control
17 group (CG= 10 participants) continued with the standardized training regime (no resistance training),
18 while the experimental group (EG= 36 subjects) performed 2 sessions over 9 weeks of a training plan
19 based on their F-V profile. Results: The EG presented significant differences with large effect sizes
20 in CMJ height (29.3±3.2cm vs 33.5±3.72cm), F_0 (24.1±2.2N/kg vs 29.9±2.8 N/kg) and V_0 (4±0.6m/s
21 vs 3.2±0.5 m/s). Significant differences with a very large effect size were found in the F- V_{IMB}
22 (43.8±15.3% vs 24.9±8.7%). Conclusion: A training program addressing F- V_{IMB} is an effective way
23 to improve CMJ height in female ballet dancers.

24
25 **Key Words:** Dance, Performance, Force, Velocity, Jumping
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 Introduction

38

39 Dancers are considered as much athletes as artists, due to the excellent physical condition
40 required by their demanding routines, and to the aesthetic component assigned to the choreography
41 movements ^{1,2}. Ballet practitioners are required to achieve performance feats such as exciting and
42 dramatic elevations (e.g., jumps) ³. Dancers with a greater jump height are able to perform a wider
43 range of skills during their flight time and implement more specific technical skills related to the
44 aesthetic components of a dance choreography ⁴⁻⁶. Therefore, sessions aimed at improving jump
45 height are an important part of dance training. Moreover, it has been suggested that jump ability is
46 one of the best predictors of dance performance ^{4,6-8}.

47 However, it seems that dancers undertake very few supplementary training programs aimed at
48 increasing strength, and consequently jump height ^{3,5,9,10}, probably due to unconfirmed beliefs that
49 resistance training will negatively impact aesthetic and artistic components of dance performance.
50 Although previous studies suggest that a well-designed strength training program is beneficial in
51 terms of increasing muscular strength without building excessive mass, and with no negative
52 interference in the artistic and aesthetic requirements ^{3,11}.

53 Investigations about fitness in dance, state that a fitter dancer is a better dancer, due to the
54 observed association between specific physical fitness components such as muscular power and
55 endurance, and qualitative aspects of dance performance ^{4,5,8,11}. Considering the amount of ballistic
56 actions (i.e., jumps, accelerations and changes of direction) that dancers are required to perform ^{12,13},
57 all the different elements of fitness must be included in their weekly training routine, including
58 sessions focused on muscular strength and power output ^{4,5,8,11}.

59 Over the last few years, new findings and field methods in ballistic actions have highlighted the
60 importance of measuring the relationship between Force (F) and Velocity (V) mechanical capabilities
61 during jumping performance, known as the Force-Velocity profile (F-V profile) ¹⁴⁻¹⁸. Although the
62 same power output (P_{\max}) can be produced with different combinations of F and V ($P_{\max} = F_0 \cdot V_0 /$
63 4) ¹⁹, the same value of P_{\max} does not necessarily result in the same jump height ¹⁷. However, there is
64 an optimal F-V profile for each individual that maximizes jump performance for the same value of
65 P_{\max} ^{14,15,18,20,21}. Training plans that are specifically designed to improve P_{\max} , without considering
66 the detrimental increase of the actual imbalance between F and V (F-V_{IMB}), may result in a lack of
67 change, or even a decrease in jumping performance ¹⁷. The quantification of the actual and optimal
68 F-V profile highlights the individual needs of each athlete, determining if the improvement of either

69 force (Force deficit) or velocity (Velocity deficit) capabilities is required, to enhance jump
70 performance while reducing the actual $F-V_{IMB}$ ¹⁵⁻¹⁷.

71 The actual and the optimal F-V profile can be computed during a series of loaded vertical jumps
72²⁰⁻²⁴. This field method provides the information related to theoretical maximal force (F_0), theoretical
73 maximal velocity (V_0), slope of the F-V relationship ($F-V_{slope}$) and theoretical maximal power (P_{max}).
74 The difference between actual and optimal F-V profiles for each individual represents the magnitude
75 and the direction of the imbalance between force and velocity qualities ($F-V_{IMB}$), thus making the
76 individual determination of force or velocity deficit possible^{14,15,18,20,21}.

77 While a number of studies have provided a reference about the F-V profile during jump
78 performance in different sports, such as rugby league, sprinting and jumping, cycling, fencing,
79 taekwondo, athletic sprinting or football^{21,23,25-27}, the number of studies that observe the effect of
80 training programs aimed at reducing $F-V_{IMB}$, to reach optimal balance and enhance performance, are
81 limited^{17,18}. Previous studies with futsal, soccer and rugby players, analyzed the effectiveness of an
82 optimized and individualized training program specifically designed to reduce $F-V_{IMB}$. The main
83 findings concluded that training programs aimed at reducing the current $F-V_{IMB}$ are more effective to
84 improve jumping performance than traditional resistance training that is common to all individuals,
85 regardless of their actual and optimal F-V profiles¹⁷. Although different methods such as plyometric
86 training or traditional weight training^{5,6} have shown a positive effect on strength, power and jump
87 height in dancers, investigations about the F-V profile appear to be sparse within this population.

88 Having taken into consideration the relevance of jump ability in dance performance, we have
89 hypothesized that an individualized F-V profile-based training program may have potential benefits
90 to improve jump height in ballet dancers. The purpose of this study is to observe the effects of 9
91 weeks of individualized training based on the F-V profile during the countermovement jump (CMJ)
92 in female ballet dancers.

93 **Methods.**

94 **Subjects**

95 Forty-six professional female classic ballet dancers (Artist=20, First artist=17 and Soloist=9)
96 with more than six years' experience (training volume of 9 ± 2 hours per week), participated in this
97 study (Age= 18.9 ± 1.1 years, body mass-BM= 54.8 ± 6.1 Kg, height= 163.7 ± 8.4 cm). All subjects were
98 informed of the benefits and risks of the research through a structured consent form and PAR-Q. This
99 study was approved by the Research Ethics Board of South Essex College in agreement with the
100 Declaration of Helsinki.

101 **Design**

102 This experimental design, with a control and an experimental group, was designed to observe
103 the effects of 9 weeks of individualized training, based on the reduction of the current F-V_{IMB} in the
104 CMJ and the main variables of the F-V profile, on female ballet dancers in a pre- vs post-test
105 comparison. The dependent variables of the study are defined as CMJ height, difference between the
106 magnitude of the actual and optimal F-V profile for each individual (F-V_{IMB}), theoretical maximal
107 force (F₀), theoretical maximal velocity (V₀), and theoretical maximal power (P_{max})¹⁶.

108 **Methodology**

109 All subjects were asked to meet in the morning to complete the anthropometric measurements.
110 Body weight (kg) was measured using a Tanita SC-330, (Tanita Corp., Japan), and height (cm) was
111 estimated with an aluminum stadiometer (Seca 713 model, Postfach, Germany). Measurements for
112 lower-limb length (cm) were taken in two steps by an experienced researcher using a tape measure.
113 First, with the participant lying down and ankle fully extended from iliac crest to toes; and second, in
114 squatting position at 90° (knee flexion) from iliac crest to the ground. These measurements are
115 required to obtain the distance covered by the center of mass during push-off (*h*_{PO})^{14,15,20}.

116 Participants performed a standardized warm-up consisting of 10 minutes' jogging, dynamic
117 stretching (plantar flexors, hip extensors, hamstrings, hip flexors, and quadriceps femoris), and
118 preparatory countermovement jumps. There were two observers (one on either side of the subject)
119 who provided verbal feedback about the starting height – set individually for each participant - in 90°
120 (knee flexion) squat. The measurements required to determine the optimal F-V profile during jumping
121 performance were the subject's body mass, jump height and *h*_{PO}^{14,15,20}. To calculate the optimal F-
122 V profile, each dancer performed 3 maximal vertical CMJs, with loads corresponding to 0%, 10%,
123 20%, 30%, 40%, 50% and 70% of their own body weight. The jumps were performed in a randomized
124 order using an Olympic barbell, and with 2 minutes' recovery between jumps, and 4 minutes between
125 loads to avoid fatigue effect^{15,24}. The highest score of the 3 attempts for each load was selected for
126 the F-V relationship analysis, and the 70% body weight load was included to determine that
127 participants were able to jump about 10cm, as recommended in literature¹⁶.

128 Values related to jump height and F-V profile were measured using a scientifically validated
129 smartphone app (*My Jump 2*) on an iPhone device (iPhone 7; Apple, Cupertino, CA, USA), featuring
130 a camera frame rate of 240fps²⁸. This app showed good validity for the CMJ height in comparison
131 to force platform ($r = 0.995$, $p < 0.001$). The app provides information regarding the magnitude and
132 direction of the imbalance for each dancer (F-V_{IMB}), the theoretical maximal force (F₀), the theoretical
133 maximal velocity (V₀), and the theoretical maximal power (P_{max}), according to Samozino's method
134^{15,16,24}.

135 After determining the F-V profile during jumping, groups were established according to the
136 magnitude of the F-V_{IMB}: Well-Balanced<10%, Low Force-Velocity Deficit 10-40% and High Force-
137 Velocity Deficit >40%, as suggested in a previous study^{17,18}. A control group (CG) with 10 subjects
138 (5 artists and 5 first artists) was randomly established; 5 subjects with low force deficit (LFD) and 5
139 subjects with high force deficit (HFD). An experimental group was also established with 2 subgroups
140 based on the magnitude of the imbalance, resulting in an LFD subgroup with 16 subjects (9 artists, 4
141 first artists and 3 soloists) and an HFD subgroup with 20 subjects (6 artists, 8 first artists and 6
142 soloists). Both the CG and the EG continued with the standardized training regime (rehearsal,
143 technique, Pilates, Gyrokinesis, Gyrotonic and cardiovascular training). While the CG did not follow
144 any resistance training, a training intervention, adjusted to the F-V_{IMB} of each subgroup, was designed
145 for the EG. The strength training programs designed for the LFD subgroup mainly consisted of leg
146 presses, deadlifts, squat jumps (SJ), Back-Squats, CMJs and single leg CMJs, while the HFD
147 subgroup performed leg presses, SJs, single leg CMJs, Back-Squats, CMJs and single leg SJs. Details
148 for the percentages of 1 repetition maximum (1RM) and body mass (BM), in addition to sets and
149 repetitions corresponding to each exercise, are detailed in table 1¹⁷. The experimental group
150 performed 2 sessions per week (1 hour per session) for nine weeks, on Tuesdays and Fridays, with 3
151 minutes' recovery between trials, and 5 minutes between exercises. During the 3rd and 6th weeks of
152 the intervention, CMJ height and F-V profile were retested (Sundays) to reallocate the dancers to the
153 LFD or HFD groups according to the magnitude of their F-V_{IMB} (See Table 2). A week after the nine
154 weeks of intervention (Tuesday), jump height and F-V profile were measured for the pre-post
155 comparison.

156

157 **Statistical Analysis**

158

159 All data are presented as means \pm SD with IBM SPSS (IBM SPSS version 25.0; SPSS, Chicago,
160 IL, USA) software. Normal distribution for the study variables was assessed with the Shapiro-Wilk
161 Test. Inter- and intra-group comparisons for each variable were performed using a two-way (group x
162 time) ANOVA with Bonferroni adjustment and level of significance set at $p \leq 0.05$. The reliability for
163 the CMJ pre- and post-test were analyzed using an intraclass correlation coefficient-ICC (95% CI).

164

165 The magnitudes of change, within and between group comparisons, were calculated with the
166 effect size (ES) of Coe²⁹. The criterion for interpreting these magnitudes was <0.2 = trivial, $0.2 - 0.6$
167 = small, $0.6 - 1.2$ = moderate, $1.2 - 2$ = large and >2.0 = very large³⁰.

168

169 **Results**

170 The baseline measures revealed that 46 out of 46 ballet dancers showed force deficit ($44.1 \pm$
171 15%). Sixteen displayed LFD (10-40%), while twenty dancers presented HFD ($>40\%$)^{17,18}. Due to
172 the reduction of the $F-V_{IMB}$, more dancers were reallocated to the LFD subgroup after having
173 completed the re-tests at weeks 3 (CG=10 participants, LFD=22 participants and HFD=14
174 participants) and 6 (CG=10 participants, LFD=24 participants and HFD=12 participants). At the end
175 of the intervention, no dancers showed HFD (CG=10 participants, LFD=36 participants). All the data
176 (mean \pm SD), regarding the tests performed throughout the 9 weeks of training, are presented in Table
177 2. The reliability analysis for the pre-CMJ height was (95% CI): 0.996 (0.987–0.999), and 0.995
178 (0.992–0.998) for the post-test.

179
180 The pre- and post-comparison in the control group (expressed as a grand mean) did not show
181 significant differences for CMJ height ($27.43 \pm 1.9\text{cm}$), $F-V_{IMB}$ ($45.2 \pm 14.7\%$), F_0 ($22.9 \pm 2.4\text{N/kg}$) or
182 V_0 ($4.1 \pm 0.7\text{m/s}$). Significant differences with trivial effect size were found for P_{\max} ($23.3 \pm 1.7\text{W/kg}$
183 vs $23.4 \pm 1.7\text{W/kg}$; $p\text{-value}=0.02$; $ES=0.05$). After 9 weeks of intervention, the experimental group
184 showed significant differences, with a large effect size in CMJ height ($29.3 \pm 3.2\text{cm}$ vs $33.5 \pm 3.72\text{cm}$;
185 $p\text{-value}=0.01$; $ES=1.21$), F_0 ($24.1 \pm 2.2\text{N/kg}$ vs $29.9 \pm 2.8\text{N/kg}$; $p\text{-value}=0.01$; $ES=1.51$), and V_0
186 ($4 \pm 0.6\text{m/s}$ vs $3.2 \pm 0.5\text{m/s}$; $p\text{-value}=0.01$; $ES=1.45$), while significant differences with a very large
187 effect size were found in $F-V_{IMB}$ ($43.8 \pm 15.3\%$ vs $24.9 \pm 8.7\%$; $p\text{-value}=0.01$; $ES=2.3$), and no
188 significant differences were found for P_{\max} ($23.8 \pm 3\text{W/kg}$ vs $23.8 \pm 3\text{W/kg}$; $p\text{-value}=0.93$; $ES=0$). See
189 Table 3.

190
191 The intergroup comparison showed no significant differences for the variables observed in the
192 pre-test, although for the post-test, significant differences with large effect sizes were found in CMJ
193 height ($27.5 \pm 2\text{cm}$ vs $33.5 \pm 3.7\text{cm}$; $p\text{-value}=0.01$; $ES=1.7$), $F-V_{IMB}$ ($45.3 \pm 14.7\%$ vs $24.9 \pm 8.7\%$; $p\text{-}$
194 $\text{value}=0.01$; $ES=1.9$), and V_0 ($4.2 \pm 0.7\text{m/s}$ vs $3.2 \pm 0.5\text{m/s}$; $p\text{-value}=0.01$; $ES=1.8$). Significant
195 differences with a very large effect size were found in F_0 ($23 \pm 2.4\text{N/kg}$ vs $29.9 \pm 2.8\text{N/kg}$; $p\text{-}$
196 $\text{value}=0.01$; $ES=2.5$). No significant differences were found for P_{\max} ($23.4 \pm 1.7\text{W/kg}$ vs $23.8 \pm 3\text{W/kg}$;
197 $p\text{-value}=0.74$; $ES=0.1$). See Table 4.

198
199 **Discussion**

200 The aim of this study was to observe the effect of an individualized F-V profile-based training
201 program on jump performance with ballet dancers. Our results confirm the stated hypothesis that an
202 individualized F-V profile-based training program may have potential benefits to improve jump
203 height on ballet dancers. The main findings of this study confirm previous investigations by Jiménez-

204 Reyes et al, suggesting that training programs, based on the reduction of the current F-V imbalance,
205 is an effective way of improving jump performance ^{17,18}.

206

207 As observed in the results of this study, the baseline measurements obtained in the pre-test (46
208 out of 46 dancers showed F deficit) suggest that ballet dancers are velocity-oriented in terms of the
209 F-V profile. This conclusion is in line with dance requirements of both training sessions and
210 performance, such as a large number of repetitions for technical skills, vertical and horizontal jumps,
211 changes of direction, and many stretch-shortening cycle actions with minimal foot support ^{12,13}. These
212 results may be due to insufficient resistance training sessions, aimed at improving force capabilities
213 and decreasing F-V_{IMB} ^{3,9}. Furthermore, the results of the CG (that was following its regular routine
214 with no resistance training), after 9 weeks of training, reported no significant differences in CMJ, F-
215 V_{IMB}, F₀ and V₀, although all of them increased slightly (See table 3).

216

217 The CG increasingly displayed significant differences with a trivial effect size in P_{max}
218 (23.3±1.7W/kg vs 23.4±1.7W/kg; p-value=0.02; ES=0.05). The increase of P_{max} with hardly any
219 change in CMJ height in the CG, may highlight that the improvement of P_{max}, while increasing F-
220 V_{IMB}, could result in a lack of change, or even a decrease in jumping performance ¹⁷. In relation to
221 this, the P_{max} value obtained by the experimental group after the nine-week intervention is equal to
222 the pre-test value, although the CMJ height was significantly higher with a larger effect size at post-
223 intervention (29.3±3.2cm vs 33.5±3.72cm; p-value=0.01; ES=1.21), which provides an indication of
224 the effectiveness of the proposed intervention in reducing F-V_{IMB} while increasing jump height ¹⁷.
225 This supports previous findings, suggesting that although there are different combinations of F₀ and
226 V₀ ($P_{max} = F_0 \cdot V_0 / 4$) resulting in the same P_{max} ¹⁹, there is an optimal F-V profile to optimize ballistic
227 actions ^{14,15,20}.

228

229 During the nine-week intervention, changes in the CMJ height and in the F-V profile
230 mechanical outputs can be observed (See table 2) in both experimental subgroups, LFD (9 artists, 4
231 first artists and 3 soloists), and HFD (6 artists, 8 first artists and 6 soloists). All participants in the
232 experimental group (36 of 36) were sensitive to the proposed training programs. They increased force,
233 decreased velocity capabilities and reduced the force deficit, while improving jump height. Our
234 results may suggest that regardless of the company position ¹⁰, a training methodology based on F-V
235 profiling is beneficial to improve jump height in ballet dancers, although studies with more
236 participants from each company rank are required. After the pre-test, 10 and 16 subjects were
237 allocated to the two experimental subgroups, LFD and HFD, respectively. At the end of the nine-
238 week intervention, all the subjects in the HFD subgroup had reduced their imbalance to the threshold

239 corresponding to LFD ($F-V_{IMB}10-40\%$), and in the same way, subjects assigned to the LFD subgroup
240 had decreased their deficit close to the limit of well-balanced ($F-V_{IMB}<10\%$)^{17,18}.

241

242 The pre-test comparison within the CG and EG subgroups showed no significant differences
243 for any of the variables observed in the study (See Table 4). However, the post-test presented a
244 significant increase in CMJ height ($27.5\pm 2\text{cm}$ vs $33.5\pm 3.7\text{cm}$; $p\text{-value}=0.01$; $ES=1.7$), with a large
245 effect size, and a significant decrease in $F-V_{IMB}$ ($45.3\pm 14.7\%$ vs $24.9\pm 8.7\%$; $p\text{-value}=0.01$; $ES=1.9$)
246 and V_0 ($4.2\pm 0.7\text{m/s}$ vs $3.2\pm 0.5\text{m/s}$; $p\text{-value}=0.01$; $ES=1.8$). Moreover, a significant increase was
247 found in F_0 ($23\pm 2.4\text{N/kg}$ vs $29.9\pm 2.8\text{N/kg}$; $p\text{-value}=0.01$; $ES=2.5$) with a very large effect size. Our
248 results are in line with previous studies on rugby, futsal and soccer players, suggesting that an
249 optimized and individualized training program specifically addressing the $F-V_{IMB}$ is more effective
250 to improve jump performance than traditional resistance training^{17,18}.

251

252 The design of this study was based on a previous work by Jiménez-Reyes and colleagues¹⁷.
253 Our study has fewer participants and all of them belong to the same discipline (46 ballet dancers vs
254 84 soccer and rugby players). The inclusion of athletes from different sports may be a reason for the
255 wider range of $F-V_{IMB}$ that was reported by Jimenez-Reyes, who included athletes sub-grouped
256 according to either F and V deficit, as well as subjects with a well-balanced profile (something really
257 uncommon), while the totality of our dancers showed F deficit. The intervention lasted for nine weeks
258 in both studies, and the design of the training sessions for our study was based on the same program
259 proposed by Jimenez-Reyes and colleagues to reduce $F-V_{IMB}$.

260

261 Studies using plyometric and traditional weight training have reported positive effects on
262 exercises for dancers to jump, without emphasis on any artistic skill, and once they have increased
263 their flight time (elevated themselves higher), they could implement specific technical skills for their
264 dance performance^{5,6}. Considering the high amount of ballistic actions that are part of ballet
265 performance (vertical jumps, horizontal jumps, changes of direction, etc.)^{12,13}, this methodology may
266 provide practitioners with a more effective way of enhancing these abilities.

267

268 This study has limitations that must be mentioned for a greater understanding of the obtained
269 results and to avoid misconceptions. It is important to notice that although the reduction of the F
270 deficit over 9 weeks' training has been significant in the experimental group, our study has only
271 observed the effect of resistance training designed to improve F capabilities, due to the absence of
272 dancers with V deficit. In addition, a longer intervention would be required for the dancers to achieve
273 their optimal balance, to design optimized training that would maintain the optimal F-V profile, and

274 in the meanwhile to observe the CMJ performance¹⁸. The analysis of male dancers could also provide
275 different results in terms of the magnitude and direction of F-V_{IMB}, due to the different choreographic
276 demands and training programs¹⁰. Furthermore, the changes in CMJ height and the F-V profile
277 variables are not based on ballet-specific jumps (i.e.; “Sauté in first position”, “Entrechats quatres”,
278 “tours en l’air”, etc.). Therefore, future studies could assess if the results of the current study could
279 actually transfer to the execution of ballet-specific actions.

280

281 **Practical applications**

282 To our knowledge, this is the first study to observe the effect of an individualized F-V profile-
283 based training program during jumping in dancers. Our study provides more evidence about the
284 effectiveness of this methodology and supports the use of F-V_{IMB} as a useful variable for prescribing
285 optimal resistance training to improve jumping performance. As observed in the results of this study,
286 the assessment of the F-V_{IMB} for each individual as a training variable provides the opportunity to
287 design a more optimal training plan adjusted to the individual needs of each subject¹⁶⁻¹⁸. The
288 methodology used in this study may be useful for coaches and dance teachers who wish to
289 individualize the prescription of their strength training sessions.

290

291 **Conclusion**

292 A training plan addressing F-V_{IMB} is an effective way of improving countermovement jump
293 performance in female ballet dancers, regardless of their rank position. This study suggests the
294 individualization of training programs aimed at reducing F-V_{IMB} as a useful variable to improve
295 jumping ability.

296

297 **Acknowledgments**

298 The authors thank all the dancers, teachers, and strength and conditioning coaches who have
299 been part of this investigation.

300 This study has been made thanks to the contribution of the Ministry of Economy and
301 Infrastructure of the Junta de Extremadura through the European Regional Development Fund. A
302 way to make Europe. (GR18129).

303

304 **REFERENCES**

305

- 306 1. Gamboa JM, Roberts LA, Maring J, Fergus A. Injury Patterns in Elite Preprofessional Ballet
307 Dancers and the Utility of Screening Programs to Identify Risk Characteristics. *J Orthop*
308 *Sport Phys Ther.* 2008. doi:10.2519/jospt.2008.2390

- 309 2. Allen N, Nevill A, Brooks J, Koutedakis Y, Wyon M. Ballet Injuries: Injury Incidence and
310 Severity Over 1 Year. *J Orthop Sport Phys Ther.* 2012. doi:10.2519/jospt.2012.3893
- 311 3. Koutedakis Y, Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou A, Metsios G. The Significance of Muscular
312 Strength in Dance. *J Danc Med Sci.* 2005;9(1):29-34.
- 313 4. Angioi M, Metsios GS, Twitchett E, Koutedakis Y, Wyon M. Association between selected
314 physical fitness parameters and esthetic competence in contemporary dancers. *J Dance Med*
315 *Sci.* 2009;13(4):115-123.
- 316 5. Brown AC, Wells TJ, Schade ML, Smith DL, Fehling PC. Effects of Plyometric Training
317 Versus Traditional Weight Training on Strength, Power, and Aesthetic Jumping Ability in
318 Female Collegiate Dancers. *J Danc Med Sci.* 2007.
- 319 6. Hewett TE, Stroupe AL, Nance TA, Noyes FR. Plyometric training in female athletes:
320 Decreased impact forces and increased hamstring torques. *Am J Sports Med.* 1996.
321 doi:10.1177/036354659602400611
- 322 7. Koutedakis Y, Jamurtas A. The dancer as a performing athlete: Physiological considerations.
323 *Sport Med.* 2004. doi:10.2165/00007256-200434100-00003
- 324 8. Rafferty S. Considerations for integrating fitness into dance training. *J Dance Med Sci.*
325 2010;14(2):45-49.
- 326 9. Wyon MA, Deighan MA, Nevill AM, et al. The cardiorespiratory, anthropometric, and
327 performance characteristics of an international/national touring ballet company. *J Strength*
328 *Cond Res.* 2007. doi:10.1519/R-19405.1
- 329 10. Wyon MMA, Allen N, Angioi MM, Nevill A, Twitchett E. Anthropometric Factors
330 Affecting Vertical Jump Height in Ballet Dancers. *J Danc Med Sci.* 2006;10(3-4):106-110.
- 331 11. Koutedakis Y. Fitness for Dance. *J Danc Med Sci.* 2005;9(1):5-6.
332 <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=sph&AN=17480896&site=ehost->
333 [live.](http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=sph&AN=17480896&site=ehost-live)
- 334 12. Dowse RA, McGuigan MR, Harrison C. Effects of a Resistance Training Intervention on
335 Strength, Power, and Performance in Adolescent Dancers. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2017.
336 doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000002288
- 337 13. Jeffries AC, Wallace LW, Coutts AJ. Quantifying Training Loads in Contemporary Dance.
338 *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2016;21. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2015-0012
- 339 14. Samozino P, Rejc E, Di Prampero PE, Belli A, Morin JB. Optimal force-velocity profile in
340 ballistic movements-Altius: Citius or Fortius? *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2012;44(2):313-322.
341 doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31822d757a
- 342 15. Samozino P, Edouard P, Sangnier S, Brughelli M, Gimenez P, Morin JB. Force-velocity
343 profile: Imbalance determination and effect on lower limb ballistic performance. *Int J Sports*

- 344 *Med.* 2014;35(6):505-510. doi:10.1055/s-0033-1354382
- 345 16. Morin JB, Samozino P. Interpreting power-force-velocity profiles for individualized and
346 specific training. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2016;11(2):267-272. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2015-
347 0638
- 348 17. Jiménez-Reyes P, Samozino P, Brughelli M, Morin JB. Effectiveness of an individualized
349 training based on force-velocity profiling during jumping. *Front Physiol.* 2017;7(JAN).
350 doi:10.3389/fphys.2016.00677
- 351 18. Jiménez-Reyes P, Samozino P, Morin J-B. Optimized training for jumping performance
352 using the force-velocity imbalance: Individual adaptation kinetics. Boulosa D, ed. *PLoS*
353 *One.* 2019;14(5):e0216681. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0216681
- 354 19. Jaric S. Force-velocity Relationship of Muscles Performing Multi-joint Maximum
355 Performance Tasks. *Int J Sports Med.* 2015. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1547283
- 356 20. Samozino P, Morin JB, Hintzy F, Belli A. A simple method for measuring force, velocity
357 and power output during squat jump. *J Biomech.* 2008;41(14):2940-2945.
358 doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2008.07.028
- 359 21. Jiménez-Reyes P, Samozino P, Cuadrado-Peñafiel V, Conceição F, González-Badillo JJ,
360 Morin JB. Effect of countermovement on power–force–velocity profile. *Eur J Appl Physiol.*
361 2014;114(11):2281-2288. doi:10.1007/s00421-014-2947-1
- 362 22. Giroux C, Rabita G, Chollet D, Guilhem G. What is the best method for assessing lower limb
363 force-velocity relationship? *Int J Sports Med.* 2014;36(2). doi:10.1055/s-0034-1385886
- 364 23. Giroux C, Rabita G, Chollet D, Guilhem G. Optimal balance between force and velocity
365 differs among world-class athletes. *J Appl Biomech.* 2016;32(1):59-68.
366 doi:10.1123/jab.2015-0070
- 367 24. Jiménez-Reyes P, Samozino P, Pareja-Blanco F, et al. Validity of a simple method for
368 measuring force-velocity-power profile in countermovement jump. *Int J Sports Physiol*
369 *Perform.* 2017;12(1):36-43. doi:10.1123/IJSP.2015-0484
- 370 25. Marcote-Pequeño R, García-Ramos A, Cuadrado-Peñafiel V, González-Hernández JM,
371 Gómez MÁ, Jiménez-Reyes P. Association Between the Force-Velocity Profile and
372 Performance Variables Obtained in Jumping and Sprinting in Elite Female Soccer Players.
373 *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* July 2018:1-21. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2018-0233
- 374 26. Hervéou T, Rahmani A, Chorin F, Frère J, Ripamonti M, Durand S. Force-velocity muscular
375 profiles and jumping performances of soccer goalkeeper. *Science and Sports.* 2018.
- 376 27. de Lacey J, Brughelli M, McGuigan MR, Hansen K, Samozino P, Morin J-B. The Effects of
377 Tapering on Power-Force-Velocity Profiling and Jump Performance in Professional Rugby
378 League Players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2014. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000000572

- 379 28. Balsalobre-Fernández C, Glaister M, Lockett RA. The validity and reliability of an iPhone
380 app for measuring vertical jump performance. *J Sports Sci.* 2015;33(15):1574-1579.
381 doi:10.1080/02640414.2014.996184
- 382 29. Coe R. It's the effect size, stupid - What effect size is and why it is important. *Annu Conf*
383 *British Educ Res Assoc.* 2002.
- 384 30. Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, Hanin J. Progressive statistics for studies in
385 sports medicine and exercise science. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2009;41(1):3-12.
386 doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31818cb278
- 387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400